



PRINCETON, N. J.

LIBRARY

OF THE

Theological Seminary,

PRINCETON, N.J.

SCR

1031

Case.

Shelf,

Book,









John Breckunid RS LET FROM All. Calla

A FATHER TO HIS SON,

ON VARIOUS TOPICS,

RELATIVE TO

Literature and the Conduct of Life.

WRITTEN IN THE YEARS 1792 AND 1793,

LIBERI SENSI SEMPLICE PARQLE.

PHILADELPHIA: PRINTED FOR MATTHEW CAREY, Br JAMES CAREY.

1795.

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from Princeton Theological Seminary Library

http://www.archive.org/details/lettersfromfathe00aik

CONTENTS.

- LETTER I. INTRODUCTORY, F. 13.—Filacation, its purpofes—Benefits of a copious and varied one—Oppofite plan of our folools and univerfities, whence derived—Advantage of an unfhackled fyftem of life—Purpofe of the fubfequent ferics of letters.
- LETTER III. On Attachment to the Ancients, p. 24. —Prevalence of this attachment—Mode of determining the comparative merit of ancients and moderns—Man an improvable being— Poetry—the deferiptive kinds—the higher fpecies—Reafons which have retarded its improve-

ment-Veneration for the ancients, partly owing to their language being that of the Christian religion.

- LETTER IV. The fame Subject continued, p. 31. ----Philofophy of the human mind—Dead and living languages compared—Manners and inflitutions—The ftage—Caufes of prejudices in favour of antiquity—Education and its affociations—The merit of an author confounded with that of his work—the merit of a work, with its cafual value—Effects of a dead and foreign language—Purpofe of thefe rem arks.
- LETTER V. On the Purfuit of Improvement, p. 39. Declamations against improvement, whence proceeding—their inconfistency—Perfection most attainable in civil institutions—Examination of the affertion that principles speculatively right, are practically wrong—Philosophy, what, and its merits—Sneering manner of opposing it— Serious arguments against it confidered.
- LETTER VI. On the Love of Applause, exemplified in the younger Pliny, p. 46.—Pliny's epifiles not familiar—their purpose and character—Moral effects of love of praise—Pliny a man of virtue—His literary vanity.

VI

Of that of Circe—The fable conlidered—Objections to its moral purpofe.

- LETTER VIII. On Nature and Art, and the Love of Novelty, p. 55.—The English school of arts characterized—Novelty, the great requisite to amusement—Its sources, nature and art—Neceffity of introducing art—The true object of those called imitative—Exemplished in the drama—Dramatic performances of different nations—Recitation of English, verse.
- LETTER IX. The former. Subject continued, p. 63.—Poetical Language of Tragedy— Nature and purpofe of Paftoral poetry— Romances and novels—Doctrine of novelty fummed up.
- LETTER X. On Prejudice, Bigotry, Candour, and Liberality, p. 71.—Prejudice defined—Reafonable and unreafonable prejudices—Bigotry, its character—Candour, confounded with charity—Falfe candour in judgment—Candour of temper—Liberality, diffinguifhed from indifference—Illiberal tenets—The words exemplified.
- LETTER XI. On Religious Societies, p. 80...... On the character of fects-Religious focieties diftinguished from fects-Their genuine pur-

A 3

V

poses-Schilm-Condition and duty of a minister.

- LETTER XII. On Reply in Controverly, p. 87--Story of Melancthon-Cafe when reply is unneceffary-Caufes which render it proper -the production of new argument, and mifreprefentation in matter of fact-The duty of individuals to refute charges againft them.
- LETTER XIII. On Claffification in Natural History, p. 92.—Natural progrefs of claffifying objects—from differences, and from refemblances—Ufes and purpofes of arrangement— Natural method—Artificial method—The Linnean fyftem.
- LETTER XIV. On Buffon's Natural History, p. 100.—Buffon characterifed—His principle of diminishing the number of species, by supposing artificial varieties—how far probable—Effects of domestication—Its various stages—Moral qualities of animals.
- LETTER XV. On Ornamental Gardening, p. 108. —The character of English gardening—Effential idea of a garden—An appendage to a house, and therefore regular—The conflituent parts of an artificial garden confidered—How far the appearance of art disgusts—Deceptions of modern

gardening-The two flyles compared as to novelty and variety.

- LETTER XVI. On Pope's Effay on Criticifm, p. 119.—A proper object of criticifm from its fubject—A truly juvenile performance— Its method—Remarks on particular paffages, relative to, The critical profeffion—memory, understanding, and imagination—following nature—imitating the ancients—beauties not reducible to rule—claffical writers—the character of wit—verfification—identity of mufic and poetry—cenfure of admiration—of impiety.
- LETTER XVII. On the Analogy between Mental and Bodily Difeafe, p. 135.—General refemblance of difeafes of body and mind in the means of cure—Operation of contraries, and coercive motives—Practical application of the doctrine of neceffity—Cafe of one brought up in vice, in the loweft clafs—in the higher —Vices of certain ftates of fociety—Great remedial proceffes—Neceffity of calamitous events.
- LETTER XVIII. On Spleen and Low Spirits, p. 153.—Frequency of this malady—Green's poem on the Spleen—Neceffity of temperance in preventing low ipirits—Benefit of employment —proper kinds of—Misfortune of high rank in this refpect.

- LETTER XIX. On Confedation, p. 150.—To whom the office professional—The real caufe of forrow on the death of friends—A felfish emotion, measured by the lofs—Subflitution the only remedy—Particular confolation to widowers widows—parents.
- LETTER XX. On the Inequality of Conditions, p. 159.—View of a great city—How far inequality is neceffary—Human fociety founded on the effential qualities of man—Its neceffary confequences—Purpofe of good government, to check natural inequality.—Abolition of domeftic flavery in Europe—The lower claffes not fo wretched as they feem—Real evils attending them, divided into neceffary and cafual— The latter the proper objects of remedy—The probable mode.
- LETTER XX1. On the Prevalence of Truth, p. 169.—Limitations of the maxim that truth will prevail—Falfe opinions founded on hopes and fears infeparable from man—Superflition ftill prevalent, and, perhaps, gaining ground— Mode of arguing by which it is fupported— The fame applied to religious fyftems—Certain kinds of religious opinions likely to continue popular—Preparations neceffary for the reception of truth—How far truth is likely to prevail —Its benefits.

- LETTER XXII. On Second Thoughts and Middle Courfes, p. 179.—In what fenfe fecond thoughts are beft—First impressions most to be depended on in questions of moral conduct—Sophistry of the Jesuits—First decisions of reason also frequently the foundest—On what these differences depend—When the middle way is not the fafest—Mischiefs of compromise.
- LETTER XXIII. On the principal faults of Poetical Translation, p. 187.—Purpose of translation, to please, and to inform—Accommodations necessary in translating—Dangerous latitude of addition allowed by Dr. Johnson—Pope's Odysfley—The different ideas of royalty in different ages, a principal source of faulty translation—exemplified in the translation of the Odysfley —Excess of ornament—Exaggeration and hyperbole—Effect of rhyme—Criterion of translation.
- LEFTER XXIV. On Ruins, p. 199.—The pleafure derived from them, a modern idea.— Ruins confidered as objects of fight—their value as relies of beautiful architecture. their picturefque effects.—Confidered as fentimental objects—what affociations favourable to this purpofe—Confidered as historical records.
- LETTER XXV. Remarks on an Argument in favour of the reality of Spectral Appearances, p. 208.-Nature of Dr. Johnfon's credulity-Paffage from

Raffelas—In what cafes univerfailty of belief, no argument—Conceptions neceffarily formed of departed fpirits—Caufes of delufion—Variations of fpectral appearances—Vifion and reality confounded—Varieties of form and circumftance.

- LETTER XXVI. On Cheap Pleafures, p. 217.---Love of pleafure allowable---Advantages from a relifi for the most procurable---The fources of these enumerated--Books---Conversation----The fludy of nature----A taske for the external beauties of nature----The ornamental arts.
- LETTER XXVII. On Attachment to Country, p. 229.—Patriotifm an early paffion—its tendency to excefs—Attachment to country as it influences opinions—erroneous effimates of our country's merits, proceeding from pride and vanity—errors of diffike—Conduct how influenced by attachment to country, and to individuals migration of friends.
- LETTER XXVIII. On Independence, p. 238.---Limits of independence---Advantages of it----Whitton and Steele---Horate---Mode of acquiring independence----Not owing to abfolute fituation in life---Its true fources, moderate defires, and active induftry----Ancient philofophers, and Chriftian afcetics, compared----Independence does not require the rejection of favours----How far it is uteful to contract our wiftes.

- LETTER XXIX. On the Choice of a Wife, p. 248. —Peculiar propriety of parental advice in this matter—Ground of difference of opinion between fathers and fons—The main qualities requifite in a wife, thofe of a companion and a helper— Good fenfe and good temper, the effentials— Defects of each without the other—Additional qualifications of a helper—Houfewifery—Vigour of body and mind—calls for both in a female— Perfection of female character the fame with that of male—Mifchief of hafty engagements —Fortune and family connexions.
- LETTER XXX. Valedidory, 259.—Chief purpofe of the preceding letters—Final admonitions.



N. W. W. S.

- -----

. . . .

.

.

-

- 32

•

.

LETTERS

FROM

A FATHER TO HIS SON.

LETTER I.

INTRODUCTORY.

To A. A.

OU have now, my dear fon, nearly finished an education which has been conducted upon a plan best adapted, according to my judgment, to the prefent flate of things, and to the fituation you are defined to occupy. It has been a varied and extensive plan, comprising many changes of difcipline, and embracing a large field of instruction. It has, I hope, prepared you both for active and contemplative life; for the fludy of books, and of men and nature. It has, I fay, *prepared* you; for the education of the youth can only be preparatory to the pursuits of the man; and he who is beft enabled, from a comprehensive view of the objects before him, to possible himself of those which are most worthy of his choice, is best educated.

For this reafon, I am not afraid of the cenfure ufually paffed upon a copious fcheme of early inftruction,-that it is calculated rather to make fmatterers in every thing, than proficients in any thing. Let but a folid foundation be laid of those elemental parts of learning which employ the memory when that is the only faculty in full vigour, and it is immaterial how flight is the fuperftructure first erected. I would wish it rather to resemble the feaffolding of a great building, than the finished model of a finall one. Befides that almost all the branches of knowledge have a mutual connexion and dependence; it is the only way of preventing narrow prejudices in favour of any one, at the fame time to afford a prospect of feveral, and alternately to exercise the mind upon each. As reafoning confifts in the comparison of ideas, the underflauding cannot be furnished with too large a flore to work upon. Nor need it be apprehended that confusion will arife from the early mixture of a variety of objects in the mind ; or that the time ufually allotted for education will prove infufficient for acquiring the principles of general knowledge. The phyfical character of the mental and bodily frame in youth, is an aptitude for various exertions, but an impatience of conforement to a fingle one. The mind and body can fcarcely at that period be too much employed, provided employment be judiciously varied ; and numerous examples have proved, that prodigious acquisitions may be made in very early life, by those who have proper objects prefented to them. I know that fome have chofen to reprefent thefe acquifitions as fugitive, and as calculated rather to make extraordinary children, than diftinguished This is undoubtedly the cafe when the men. ftudies of youth are laid afide in more advanced years; but when they are unremittingly followed up, I fee no reafon to doubt that the lead gained at the outfet, will be preferved during the courfe.

You are apprifed, as well as myfelf, that the established fystem of school and university education in this country, is as opposite as possible to thefe ideas ; but we know that this has happened, not in confequence of a preference founded upon fair comparison, but either of habits and ways of thinking transmitted from generation to generation, or of a neceffity derived from the plans of future life. Where honours and emoluments are only to be obtained by particular acquirements, thefe receive a relative importance, which must continue as long as the fame circumftances exift. If Greek and Latin be the only paffports from the fchool to the university; and Greek and Latin ftill, with antiquated logic and abstract mathematics, be the means of induction to degrees and B 2

fellowships, and thence of admission to lucrative offices in church and flate, they will, without question, be the leading objects of attention to those who are educated for the purpose of obtaining these offices. But their value in this case is properly *professional*, and ought no more to form a rule of estimation for perfons with different views, than the value of legal and medical knowledge to lawyers and physicians.

It is a great advantage attending an unfhackled plan of life, that these artificial estimates of things may in good measure be avoided. There is nothing in your defination which obliges you to purfue any other course of fludy, than that best fitted to enlarge your mind, and flore it with the most effentially valuable products of human knowledge. The fciences which will be properly professional to you; those of ethics and theology, fland at the head of fuch as dignify a rational being. Critical and polite literature is not only valuable for the affiftance it affords in the purfuit of those fludies, but for the pure and elevated pleafures it is capable of yielding as an ultimate object. The fludy of nature under her various forms, which cannot but be peculiarly interefting to one who afpires to an acquaintance with the Author of nature, has in it likewife every quality which can render a purfuit delightful. To all thefe the exertions of your mind will naturally be turned. Their fources will be alike open to you. You have books, leifure,

and friends; but you have no friend who has your improvement more at heart than myfelf. And as the longer tract I have paffed over in the journey of life, has, of courfe, given me a more extensive acquaintance with fome of its objects than you can yet have acquired, I truft you will not think your time misapplied in perusing the reflections on various topics, instructive or amufing, which I mean to communicate to you in a feries of letters. Whether my fentiments do or do not meet with your concurrence, you will, by examining them, be led to that freedom of difcussion, without the habit of which no difference exists between of inions and prejudices.

I am,

Your truly affectionate father,

J. A.

(18)

LETTER II.

ON STRENGTH OF CHARACTER.

DEAR SON,

F I can fpeak experimentally to any moral benefit in growing older, it is, that increasing years augment the ftrength and firmnefs of the character. This is a part of the natural progress of the human fyftem, and is probably as much owing to phyfical as to moral caufes. The diminution of mobility and irritability in the animal frame, must fortify it against external impressions, and give it a greater ftability in its action and re-action. So far, however, as this is a corporeal process, it cannot be anticipated; and the young must be exhorted to wait patiently for this advantage, till it comes to them in due course of time, to compensate for the many privations they must undergo. But if an enquiry into the purely moral caufes of the oppolite defects can fuggeft moral means of obviating them in fome meafure at any period, it will certainly be worth the pains; for a due degree of firmnels and confiitency is abfolutely cflential in forming a refpectable character. Let us, then, enter upon fuch an inveftigation.

On retracing my own feelings, I find that the first and principal cause of juvenile weakness is falje shame. The shame of being singular,-the fhame of lying under reftraints from which others are free,-the shame of appearing ungenteel,are all acutely felt by young perfons in general, and require ftrong principle or much native firmnels of temper to furmount. Most of the defections from parties and fects in which perfons have been educated, originate from this fenfation, which is perhaps more feductive to the young, than even intereft to the old. It first makes them hefitate to avow themfelves, and defirous of paffing undiftinguished in mixed companies; it next leads them to petty deceptions and compliances; and finishes with making entire converts of them, frequently with an affectation of extraordinary contempt of those whom they have forfaken, in order to prevent all fufpicion of their having been of the number. The best guard against this conduct is a ftrong impression of its meannels. If young men were brought to difcern that cowardice and fervility were the chief agents in this progrefs, their native generofity of fpirit would powerfully oppofe fuch a degradation of character. Still more might be gained by accuftoming them to fet a value upon the circumftance of ftanding apart from the mais

of mankind, and to effeem as honourable every diffunction produced by the exercise of freedom in thinking and acting. I am aware that there is a danger to be avoided on this fide, too, and that the pride of fingularity is equally ridiculous and difguftful in a young man. But this, I believe, is not the leading error of the times; which is rather a propensity to submit implicitly to the decisions of fashion, and to value onefelf more upon following, than opposing, the manners and opinions of the majority.

The fear of offending is another fnare to young minds, which, though commonly originating in an amiable delicacy of character, must in fome degree be overcome before a manly fleadinefs of conduct can be fupported. Many inftances have I known, in which the fpecies of adulation called by the Latins affentatio, has been occasioned by a mere dread of giving offence by contradiction. But fuch a habit of affenting to every thing that may be advanced, is in danger of fubverting all our principles; and we may come to practife from artifice that complaifance which we perceived to be fo agreeable, when only the confequence of modeft deference. This is an evil attending the practice, otherwife to instructive, of frequenting the company of feniors and fuperiors; and it is only to be counteracted by a mixture of free fociety with equals.

Akin

Ino BL

STRENGTH OF CHARACTER.

Akin to this is the fear of giving pain. It infpires an infuperable repugnance to the delivery of difagreeable truths, or the undertaking of unpleafant offices ; things which in the commerce of life are often neceffary to the difcharge of our duty. In particular, one whole office it is to apply medicine to the mind, must, as well as the physician of the body, conquer his reluctance to give temporary pain, for the fake of affording lafting benefit. Excefs of politeness deviates into this weakness. It makes no diffinction between faying an unpleafant thing, and faying a rude one. A course of fentimental reading is likewife apt to foster fuch an extreme delicacy of feeling, as makes the painful duties of the heart insupportable. The most effectual remedy in this flate of morbid fenfibility, is an unavoidable neceffity of mixing in the bufinefs of the world, and encountering all its roughneffer. To perfons of a retired condition, the best substitute is ftrengthening the mind with the dictates of a mafculine and high-toned philosophy.

The defire of pleafing all mankind, which is the counterpart of the two former principles, is a fertile fource of weaknefs and mutability in fome of the beft difpofitions. It is the quality commonly termed good-nature, and perhaps is in fome meafure national to Englifhmen. Young perfons are not only themfelves prone to fall into excefs of eafy good nature, but it is the quality that moft readily captivates them in the choice of an early friend. It is impossible here to blame the disposition, although it be highly important to guard against the indulgence of it; for it leads to the very fame imbecility of conduct that falle shame and cowardice do. In the courfe of our duties we are almost as frequently called upon to undergo the cenfure and enmity of mankind, as to cultivate their friendship and good opinion. Cicero, in enumerating the caufes which induce men to defert their duty, very properly mentions an unwillingnefs " fuscipere inimicitias," to take up enmities. This is, indeed, one of the fevereft trials of our attachment to principle ; but it is what we must be ready to fustain when occasion requires, or renounce every claim to a ftrong and elevated character.

When young in life, I derived much fatisfaction from thinking that I had not an enemy in the world. A too great facility in giving up my own intercft, when it involved a point of contention, and a habit of affenting to, or at leaft not oppofing, the various opinions I heard, had, in fact, preferved me from direct hostilities with any mortal, and, I had reafon to believe, had conciliated for me the *paffive* regard of most of those with whom I was acquainted. But no fooner did different views of things, and a greater firmnels of temper, incite me to an open declaration refpecting points which I thought highly interesting to mankind, than I was made fensible, that my

former fource of fatisfaction must be exchanged for felf-approbation and the effcem of a few. The event gave me at first fome furprife and more concern ; for I can truly fay, that in my own breaft, I found no obstacle to the point of agreeing to differ. It was even fome time before I could conftrue the eftranged looks of those, who meant to intimate that they had renounced private friendfhip with me, upon mere public grounds. But enough! At prefent, I can fincerely affure you, that I feel more computction for early compliances, than regret for the confequences of later affertions of principle. And it is my decided advice to you, who are beginning the world, not to be intimidated from openly efpoufing the caufe you think a right one, by the apprehention of incurring any man's difpleafure. I fuppose this to be done within the limits of candour, modefly, and real good temper. Thefe being obferved, you can have no enemies but those who are not worthy to be your friends.

Adieu !

(24)

LETTER III.

ON ATTACHMENT TO THE ANCIENTS.

DEAR SON,

YOU cannot but have remarked, that, even at this period, there are many whofe attachment to the writers of antiquity is little inferior to that of the critics and commentators who immediately fucceeded the revival of literature. Wrapt up in profound admiration of them, they fpend their whole time in fludying their works, in which they find every fpecies of excellence in its most exquisite degree; and they look down upon the best performances of the moderns, as only humble imitations of the great models which the ancients have fet before them. Every deviation from their principles, they confider as a deviation from truth and nature; and prefer a fault fanctioned by their example, to a beauty not reducible to their flandard. How far all this is owing to a just preference, or a narrow prejudice ; and if the latter, by what modes of thinking it is principally foftered, cannot be an uninterefting enquiry,

25

Many writers have employed themfelves in drawing particular comparisons between fimilar works of the ancients and moderns, and I do not mean to add to the number. It will rather be my attempt to deduce from general reafoning fome principles by which their comparative merit may be determined a triori. This may feem a frange mode of deciding upon objects which are capable of being brought to an actual parallel : but when it is confidered how few can come to the examination without prepoffeffions in favour of individuals, it may be admitted that this method has its advantages. In fact, by the force of early affociations, the beauties of our literary favourites firike us as the charms of a miftrefs do a lover. We can hardly judge of them foberly-we are all enthuliafm, or all coldnefs. You cannot but have heard, at the recital of the fame piece, fome exclaiming, How divine ! and others, What wretched ftuff !- yet both parties paffing for men of tafte. Let us then feek a firmer foundation for our judgment.

All philofophers agree, that man is peculiarly characterized as an improvable being, not only with refpect to the individual, but to the fpecies. It is true, many caufes may for a long time fufpend the courfe of improvement, or even occafion a retrograde motion; nor does the capacity for it in the fpecies extend to every attainment of the individual. Many arts depend fo much more upon exercise than upon rule, that the excellence of a particular artift cannot be transmitted to a fucceffor; hence a later age does not fland on the faculders of an earlier one with refpect to them. This is very much the cafe with the arts of painting and fculpture. In thefe, after the difcovery of the technical modes of working, and the existence of models fufficiently excellent to direct the tafte of the learner, every advance towards perfection must proceed from individual talents and industry. With a block of marble and a chifel, and a foul touched with the fire of genius, and habituated to the contemplation of fine forms in art and nature, the Grecian fculptor called into life his Apollo or Venus, and left to future artifts only to admire and imitate.

Among the products of literature, poetry has been thought peculiarly to refemble the arts above mentioned, in foon arriving at a perfection, to which after-improvements of the human species could make no addition. Ingenious differtations have been written to prove, that a simple state of man and nature, as they exift in the first dawnings of civilization, is the condition most propitious to poetical attempts; and, in fact, many of the favourite productions of the mufe in various countries date from fuch periods. This theory, fupported as it is, by various plaufible arguments, is, however, in my opinion, rather elegant than folid. When language and the art of verfification had reached to a certain pitch of refinement, that poetry which confifted in the defeription of natural objects, and of the fimple affections of the heart, might, indeed,

27

at once attain excellence ; and the attempts of a more polifhed age to improve upon it, might degenerate into tinfel and conceit. Still, however, as nature herfelf does not alter, and as the fimpleft manners are always exifting among a certain clafs of mankind, a writer of true take may at any time excel in delineations of this kind. Inftances of this are likely to happen, when, after long periods of refinement, the relifh for fimplicity comes round again. This feems to be the cafe among us at prefent; and he must be a very prejudiced reader, who can prefer the literary tafte of the ages of Elizabeth and the Charles's, to that of the prefent day, in refpect to justness and truth. If the pictures of nature exhibited by a Cowper and many other modern poets be compared with those of any former age of English poetry, I will venture to affert, that they will be found beyond comparison the most chaste and exact. It may here be remarked, that a fimple age is never fenfible of the merit of its own fimplicity; but, on the contrary, is fond of laying on with profusion all the ornament it poffeffes. This is universally true of favages, with refpect to the decoration of their perfons, and all the little apparatus of their cabins. It is equally true of the language and rude compositions of a people still barbarous, or only rising towards civilization. Their productions, therefore, are lefs uniformly fimple than those of an age which can fully conceive the difference between different flyles, and possefiles judgment enough to exhibit each in its purity.

But with refpect to the higher fpecies of poetical compositions, there can be no possible reason to fuppofe that excellence in them will be the growth of an early flage of civilization, or that it will not in general keep pace with other choice products of the mind in their progrefs towards perfection. Uniformity of defign will not exift before accuracy of conception,-beauty of arrangement before a just fenfe of order,-propriety of felection, before the principle of congruity,-frength and delicacy of fentiment, before a habit of abstract thinking,fplendour of diction, before the large and varied ufe of language. Unlefs, therefore, it were in the power of native genius to overcome impoffibilities, we should never expect to fee a capital work, combining all the excellencies of plan, imagery, and fentiment, and at the fame time free from grofs defects, produced in an uncultivated age, or by an illiterate author.

But, however probable the progreffive improvement of poetry may appear in theory, it will be faid, that its actual progrefs has not corresponded with this fuppofition. For this, however, various caufes may be affigned, and especially the following. Some works of extraordinary merit, and peculiarly calculated to become popular, appeared at an early period, and obtained fuch a high degree of admiration, that they became models in their respective

29

kinds, and reftricted all fubfequent efforts of genius to mere imitation. Thus, from the time of Homer, epic poetry became an artificial composition, whose rules were in reality drawn from the practice of the Grecian bard, rather than from the principles of nature. Lyric and dramatic poetry were in like manner fixed, though at a later period, by Grecian models ; fo that the Roman writers of fimilar performances could not be faid to bring any thing of their own to their works. The fame fhackles of imitation have hung upon the poetry of modern Europe ; whence a fair comparison of the powers and genius of different periods is rendered fearcely practicable. The leading fpecies of poetry, like the orders of architecture, have come down to us fubject to certain proportions, and requiring certain ornamental accompaniments, which perhaps have had no foundation whatever but the cafual practice of the earlieft mafters; nay, poffibly, the whole exiltence of some of the species has had the same accidental origin.

Meantime, the veneration for the ancients has been raifed to the higheft pitch by this perpetual reference to them as models; and it has been concluded, that works which have engaged the fludy, and called forth the imitation of fo many fucceeding ages, must posses a fupreme degree of excellence. But after all, their reputation may have been much more owing to accident than is commonly fuppofed. That the Grecian poets, continually recording the

C 3

deeds of their countrymen, and offering incenfe to the national vanity, should have been held in high efteem at home, was natural. That the Romans, receiving all their literature from Greece, fhould adopt its principles and prejudices, was also to be expected. But that they fould transmit them to fo large a portion of the civilized world, and this, not only during the period of their domination, but to new races of men, fo many centuries after the downfal of their empire, must be reckoned accident, as far as any thing in human affairs can be called accidental. Had not the Christian religion establifhed a kind of fecond Roman empire, even more capable of fwaying the opinions of mankind than the first, it is highly improbable that we should at this day have been commenting upon the claffical writers of Greece and Rome. It is, indeed, aftonifhing to reflect, by what a ftrange concatenation of caufe and effect, the youth of Christian Europe should be inftructed in the fables of Greek and Latin mythology, which were fallen into contempt even before Rome ceased to be heathen. It certainly has not been on account of their wildom and beauty that they have furvived the wreck of fo many better things. They have been embalmed in the languages which contained them, and which, by becoming likewife the depofitories of Chriftian doctrine, have been rendered facred languages.

But it is time to give you a little refpite.

LETTER IV.

THE FORMER SUBJECT CONTINUED.

ROM the tenor of my last letter, you have, doubtlefs, perceived the intended application of my argument a priori. And without hefitation I avow that the supposition that any kind of intellectual product will not partake of the general improvement of the mind, under fimilar circumftances, appears to me perfectly unphilosophical. While, then, it is acknowledged that modern times, in extent and accuracy of knowledge, have far furpafied those periods which ought rather to be regarded as the infancy than the antiquity of the world, I cannot fee why the moralist, the metaphyfician, the hiftorian, the critic, the orator, and the poet, too, fhould not be benefited by the progrefs. Horace has faid, " that the fource of good writing is good fenfe ;" and what is this, but the refult of reafon operating upon experience? It may, indeed, be urged, that there are certain topics, upon which, after men in a ftate of civilization have once begun to think, little additional knowledge can be gained by experimental or feientific proceffes; and the philosophy of the human mind may be given as an inftance. Every man bearing about him, and viewing round him, the fubject of this kind of investigation, no length of time or foreign aid feems wanting to enable him to carry it as far as his faculties will permit. And it is probably true, that fearcely any points of moral and metaphyfical fpeculation efcaped the acute refearch of the numerous Grecian fchools which devoted their whole attention to fludies of this kind ; nor at the prefent day do many of thefe points feem nearer being fettled than they were two thousand years ago. Yet, if the ancients treated them with as much fubtility and ingenuity as the moderns, the latter will, I believe, be generally allowed to have excelled in clearness of arrangement, and folidity of argumentation ; fo that where certainty is not now attained, there is great reason to suppose it unattainable. And I can fearcely conceive, that many perfons, after making themfelves mafters of the modern theories respecting the mind, will think it worth while to rctrace the labyrinth of ancient metophylic.

The limitation I made of the fuperiority of modern writers to cafes in which the *circumflances were fimilar*, would probably be made much ufe of by a zealot for antiquity, who would attempt to fhew, that the language, manners, and influttions of the ancients gave them, in a variety of inflances, peculiar advantages over the moderns.

As to language, however, let the intrinsic preeminence of the Greek and Latin be placed ever fo high, still, with respect to us, they are dead languages, in which we could not read a fentence fo as to be underflood, or write a flort composition to as not to be ridiculed, by an old Greek or Roman. I am far from charging with affectation those who fall into raptures with the verification of Virgil and Horace, or the numerous profe of Plato and Cicero. I am perfuaded that by long attention they have brought themfelves to a perception of fomewhat excellent, though it be a different thing from the real excellence. But can it be doubted, that the fame attention paid to one's own, or another living language, the true pronunciation and all the delicacies of which may with certainty be known, will afford at leaft as folid and rational a pleafure ? Language and modes of thinking have a close connexion with each other ; and where the latter become more accurate and methodical, the former must necessarily improve in force and precifion. New ideas must likewife require new words; as knowledge, therefore, advances, languages must become richer, and that, not only in direct terms, but in figurative and allufive expressions. The former is an advantage in accuracy, the latter in eloquence : and it would be a vain attempt to transfule into claffical Greek and Latin, the clofe argumentation of a Hume, and the excurfive rhetoric of a Burke.

With regard to the changes which manners and inftitutions have undergone, though this may, in fome few inftances have rendered modern times lefs favourable than the ancient to certain fludies, as particularly those to which great emulation was formerly attached by means of public rewards and applaufes, yet this caufe cannot have operated to any confiderable extent upon literature in general. There can never want motives to excel in what is truly valuable; and though the species of encouragement may vary, the effect will be fimilar. If oratory among the ancients had more fcope at the bar, with us it has more in the fenate; and that of the pulpit is an entirely new creation. If the plaudits of affembled Greece were animating in a high degree to dramatic attempts, those of a modern theatre, enforced by the folid benefits of a third night, are fearcely less to :-- though I do not mean to inftance the theatre as one of the beft fchools of taite; but neither was it in the age of Augustus. Horace, you know, complains that, even among the knights, pleafure had migrated from the ears to the eyes; and the Roman stage might at least vie with those of the Haymarket and Covent Garden, in proceffions and triumphs. Nay, I cannot but fuspect, that in the most brilliant times of Greece, the choruffes and the whole jeu de theatre were more addreffed to the love of extraordinary spectacles in a wondering populace, than to the judgment of fober critics.

ATTACHMENT TO THE ANCIENTS.

But I shall not further purfue comparisons between particular kinds of literary productions, at different periods. My purpose was rather to fuggest general principles of judging, which might ferve as a counterposife to the preposses usually entertained on these subjects. In conformity with this defign, I shall conclude my letter with some remarks on the causes which have fostered an unreasonable attachment to the writers of antiquity.

Education has been the primary fource of thefe prejudices. For many centuries, all the literary characters in Europe have been fed and nurtured with the claffics, and have employed the beft years of their lives in attempting to underftand and imitate them. Affociations thus cemented, are fcarcely ever to be diffolved. Every fentiment of the foul is interested in preferving them, and the paffions rife up to defend the decrees of the judgment. Even the practical fciences, which ought to receive leffons from every day's experience, have for ages been chained to the fchools of those early mafters. In my own profession, how many writers of real talents do I find, who hefitate to admit a cotemporary truth when opposite to the authority of Hippocrates and Galen. At prefent, indeed, this fervitude is pretty well over in our country; but learned foreigners still take a great deal of unneceffary and fruitlefs pains to reconcile the maxims of modern experience with the premature dictates of the fathers of physic. Pride concurs

35

with prejudice in maintaining the value of what we have diffinguifhed ourfelves in acquiring; and the credit of those acquisitions by which literary honours are obtained, must be supported for the fake of the honours themselves.

This general impression in favour of ancient literature, is fubject to particular causes of fallacious judgment. One of thefe is, the common practice of confounding the merit of the writer with that of his work; as if fuperior abilities should always produce fuperior performances. But though the inventor flands higher in the fcale of genius than the improver, yet the workmanship of the latter will in many refpects be more perfect than that of the former. This is fufficiently obvious in pieces of mechanism, and other works of mere utility; where it would be thought a ftrange prejudice to prefer the original draught of the most ingenious artift, to the improved copy of his journeyman. And why fhould not the fame observation apply to the mechanical parts, at leaft, fuch as the plan and disposition, of a literary defign ? Although the article of claffical faith, that " Homer was the greatest poet who ever existed," be admitted in its full extent, the general fuperiority of the Iliad to the Æneid or Paradife Loft, will not follow as a legitimate confequence.

Another deception is, confounding the merit of a performance with its cafual value. Every thing which conveys information of the manners and fen-

ATTACHMENT TO THE ANCIENTS.

37

timents of a remote age, is a fit fubject for liberal curiofity; and those remains of antiquity which abound in fuch information deferve the attentive fludy of the philosopher as well as the philologer. But this value, in many cafes, arifes more from the faults than the excellencies of a writer, whofe minute details of common occurrences, or references to idle and extravagant fables, may deform his work as a production of genius, while they afford high gratification to the curious antiquary. Had Homer composed another Iliad instead of an Odysfey, he would probably have exhibited much more fublimity of conception, and grandeur of defcription, of both which the Odyffey contains very faint traces : but we should have loft a copious store of information concerning the arts and domeftic manners of that early period, which no other work could fupp'y. The circumftance of language comes under this head of extrinsic value. To trace the progress of men's ideas, by means of the expressions in which they clothed them-to view terms derived from fensible objects gradually transferred to intellectual notions, and fimple energies receiving their fucceffive modifications-is highly intereiting to the philosophic mind. Hence men of fpeculation have always been defirous of knowing a multiplicity of languages; and they have read with eagerness very inferior compositions, if transmitted in the tongue of a remote age.

Further : a foreign, and still more, a dead language, never gives us its matter with exactly the fame imprefiions as we fhould receive from it in our own. Many beauties are loft, but, in return, many imperfections are concealed. And, in particular, the air of tritenefs and vulgarity which ever attends performances of inferior rank in our native language, is thrown off by allying the matter with words which can never be quite familiar to us. Many a moral fentiment which would make an ordinary figure in Englifh, ftrikes us with the force of a deep maxim in Latin or Greek, and dwells on our memory. This, indeed, is a *real* advantage arifing from the fludy of thofe languages; but it is not to be placed to the account of peculiar excellence in their writers.

To what purpofe have I addreffed to you all thefe obfervations? Moft certainly not to perfuade you to lay afide your favourite claffics, which, befides the folid pleafure and inftruction they are capable of affording you, are, in fome meafure, profeffional objects of your fludies. Indulge a liberal admiration of their excellencies. Imprint their beauties upon your imagination, and their morals upon your heart. But do not be feduced to regard as models of perfection, what were only the experiments of early art—do not think that the powers of men have declined, while their advantages have increafed—and, above all, do not decide by ancient authority, what can be brought to the fair teft of modern reafon.

Farewel!

(39)

LETTER V.

ON THE PURSUIT OF IMPROVEMENT.

YOU have frequently, I queftion not, been difgufted with the common can't employed against all projects for improvement, " that perfection is a thing not attainable here below—that every thing human must partake of the defects of human nature—that it is a folly to aim at impossibilities" and the like. This language, which might with equal truth have been held at every flage of human advancement, is therefore equally trivial in all; and he who admits that it would have been an injury to mankind if ten centuries ago it had operated to discourage attempts for improvement, can give no fusficient reason why it would not be fo at the prefent day.

If you confider the perfons from whom this firain of declamation proceeds, you will infallibly find it to have its origin in ignorance, weaknefs, or felfifinefs. Often in *ignorance*, the declaimer being neither fufficiently informed of the prefent flate of the art or fcience to which he refers, nor differing the means for its further advancement. Often in weaknefs-want of energy of temper and force of understanding to support a vigorous exertion. Oftener than all in felfifhnefs, when perfonal advantages are derived from prefent defects, which would be endangered by any attempts to amend them. Every generous and elevated fpirit will inculcate maxims directly the reverfe ;- that perfection is the point conftantly to be aimed at, whether attainable or not; and that no purfuit beneficial to mankind has hitherto been brought to a flate in which it is incapable of further progrefs. This is admitted to be the cafe with refpect to perfonal advances in religion and virtue, even by those who are the leaft inclined to improvement in general-for it is afferted by authority, which they dare not contradict. It is likewife readily acknowledged, with refpect to most of those arts and fciences, the free progress of which does not oppose the interest of individuals. And it feems impoffible to affign a reafon why the fame maxims should not apply to every fubject in which the human faculties are engaged, provided it does not relate to things manifelly beyond their reach. If perfection be any where attainable, it would feem to be peculiarly in those inflitutions which are the creatures of man-in which he has a fpecific end and purpofe in view, involving no wills or powers but his own-which are purely matters of convention between man and man, that may he made whatever he chooses to make them. Such are all the regulations belonging to civil fociety. In thefe concerns, if the *end* be first precifely laid down, and if experience be faithfully confulted as to the fuccefs of different *means*, it is fearcely poffible that continual progrefs should not be made, as the world advances in reason and knowledge, towards a perfect coincidence of means and end.

You may probably have met with the affertion, that, " in the fcience of politics, all principles that are fpeculatively right, are practically wrong." This fentence was the fally of a witty writer, who is much more diffinguished for faying lively things than folid ones. Like other paradoxes, it will not bear examination. It carries a palpable contradiction on its very face ; for in a practical fcience, the proof of the rectitude of its fpeculative principles is only to be found in their agreement with practice. What should we fay of a fystem of perfpective, the rules of which gave every figure falle and difforted ; or a fystem of menfuration, by which no one measure turned out right ? The reason affigned by the writer for the oppolition between principles and practice in the inftance he adduces, is, that the principles are founded upon the fuppofition that man acts reasonably-which he does not. This remark is evidently an ebullition of fplenetic fatire ; but were it just, the legitimate conclusion would be, that the principles were erroneous; for if man be really not a reasonable creature, they erred in reprefenting him as fuch. To whatever clafs he belongs it will not be denied that he is actuated by *matives*; and thefe motives it is the great bufinels of those who plan fystems of law and government to discover. Such fystems alone can be speculatively as well as practically right; and in them the theory can be no more at variance with the practice, than cause with effect. The writer's affertion, therefore, is a mere fophism, which I should not have thought worthy of resultation, had I not observed it triumphantly repeated, as the mature conclusion of a fage in worldly affairs, by perfons who concur with him in a diflike to appeals to first principles in this and fome other matters. The truth is, they believe man to be possible of more reason than they are willing to allow, and it is his reason that they are afraid of.

To refolve things into their first principles is *f bilofophy*, the nobleft employment of the mind, and that which alone confers a title to real *wifdom*. Without a portion of it, the experience of a long life may only ferve to accumulate a confufed mass of opinion, partly true, partly falfe, and leading to no one certain conclusion. The want of a philofophic mind makes many men of bufinefs mere plodders, and many men of reading and even of obfervation, mere retailers of vague unconnected notions. Order, precision, concatenation, analysis, are all the refults of philofophy. Yet even this word, as your must have remarked, as well as those of improvement and reformation, has been the fubject of ebloquy. It has been branded with the epichet of

impious by the bigot, of arrogant by the cautious, and of visionary by the dull. It has drawn down the anathemas of the ferious, and the ridicule of the light. Above all, it has been treated with that ironical fneer, which is fo common a refource to those who are confcious of being deficient in argument. " Thank heaven ! I am no philosopher ; I pretend not to be wifer than those who have gone before me. I do not boaft of the difcovery of new principles. I must beg leave to retain my antiquated notions, notwithstanding philosophers call them prejudices." Thefe flowers of polemical rhetoric, which decorate fo many fermons, fpeeches, and effays, though they have loft the attraction of novelty, are yet of no fmall efficacy in fwaying trivial minds ; and the argumentum ad verecundiam to which they appeal, is apt to overpower unaffuming modefty. Such a ftrain of frothy infolence is beft difconcerted by admitting it ferioufly as an honeft confession of inferiority. I would fay-" I know you are not a philosopher-I never took you for one-your education and habits of life have difqualified you from all pretentions to the character -your opinions are mere prejudices, and do not merit a refutation."

But if there be those who *bona fide* are afraid of philosophy, because very mischievous doctrines have been propagated under its name, let them be told, that what they dread is only the use of reason in a large way, and upon the most important subjects ;* and that if, on the whole, we are better for the gift of reafon, though fome abufe it, we are likewife better for afpiring to be philosophers, though fome falfely and for bad purpofes arrogate the title. A very common topic of railing against philosophy, is the extravagant and contradictory opinions held by the ancient schools of philosophers. But with whom ought they to be compared? Not with thefe who have been enlightened by direct revelation, but with the vulgar and bigots of their own times, who implicitly received all the abfurdities which fraud and fuperflition had foifted into their fyftems of faith. If, by the efforts of unaided philosophy, out of a people thus debafed, could be raifed a Socrates, an Epictetus, an Antoninus, what honours fhort of divine, are not due to it ? Nor have its fervices to mankind in later ages been much lefs confpicuous; for not to infift on the great advancements in art and fcience which have originated from natural philosophy (fince they are questioned by none), what man of enlarged ideas will deny, that the philosophy of the human mind, of law, of commerce, of government, of morals, and, I will add, of religion, have greatly contributed to any fupcriority this age may claim over former periods ? If philosophy thus employed hath occasioned fome evils, a more correct and diligent use of the fame

* Hujus opus unum est, de divinis humanisque verum invenire. S'ENEC.

44

will remove them. If erroneous conclusions have been drawn from a premature or partial induction of facts, they will be rectified by a future more extensive induction. After all, no medium can poffibly be affigned between reafoning freely, and not reafoning at all—between fubmitting implicitly to *any* human authority, and to *none*.

We are placed in this world with a variety of faculties, and of objects on which to exercife them. Doubtlefs, there are in nature limits which we cannot pafs; but what man fhall prefume to mark them out for other men ?—what man fhall fay to his fellow-men, I permit you to exercife your reafon upon thefe objects, but I forbid you from exercifing it on thofe ? Many, indeed, have fo prefumed; but the friends of truth and mankind have ever refifted their ufurped authority.

For you, my dear Son, I do not apprehend that you will be backward in afferting the nobleft prerogitive of man. Of all improvements, that of your own mind is of the moft confequence to you. It is likewife that the moft in your power, and in the purfuit of which you will be leaft liable to thwart the interefts and prejudices of others. Remember, however, that the fureft mark of progrefs is a full perception of the difproportion between acquifitions already made, and those which remain to be made.

Adieu!

45

(46)

LETTER VI.

ON THE LOVE OF APPLAUSE, EXEMPLIFIED IN THE YOUNGER PLINY.

DEAR SON,

IT has for fome years been my cuftom, after the perufal of an author, to note down the general imprefilons it left on my mind; and this practice, which I began as ufeful to myfelf, I have followed with more attention, fince I reflected that it might be rendered of fome utility to my children. It may therefore not unfrequently happen, that fuch remarks afford the fubject of a letter; and at prefent I mean to communicate to you my reflections on the elegant and infructive *Epiflies of Pliny*.

Dr. Johnfon's obfervations concerning the fallacy of the common notion, that a man lays open his mind without difguife in his familiar correspondence, would be firikingly confirmed by these letters, provided they could properly be termed familiar. But though many of them are addressed to the most intimate friends he had in the world, and relate to perfonal topics, yet as we know that they were published by the writer himself, after they had undergone his revision and correction, we may be affured that their purpofe was not the fimple effufion of his mind. In fact, the evident defign of almost every letter in the collection is, as we commonly express it, to fet himself off; for they turn upon fome act of munificence which he had performed, fome inftance of his literary and oratorical reputation, his attachment to fludy, his philosophical temper of mind, his love of virtue, in fhort, upon fomething that may heighten his character in the idea of his correspondent. His leading foible, indeed, the thirst of applause, they very amply exhibit; for he neither wifhed to conceal it, nor could he do it confiftently with his purpofe of obtaining applaufe But we shall in vain look for any touches of nature which may make us acquainted in other refpects with the man. All is fo varnifhed over with fplendid fentiments, and elegancies of thought and expression, that no peculiar features are difcernible. The fubject of every letter is a theme, on which the finest things are to be faid; and we are continually tempted to believe, that the benevolent or generous action he relates, was done for the express purpose of displaying it to a friend in its fairest colouring.

Yet fince, from the concurring teffimony of writers, we know that Pliny was in reality a most exemplary character both in public and private life, another inference to be drawn is, that the love of admiration, how much foever it may deferve the name of a *weaknefs*, is not on the whole unfavourable to virtue. The defire of praife is a *motive* to do that which we think may deferve praife. This may occafionally, to perfons of a corrupted tafte, lead to endeavours at excelling in trivial and ufelefs performances : but it can fcarcely ever lead to actions manifeftly bafe and flagitious. And on thofe who have formed a juft fenfe of what is praifeworthy, its operation will be beneficial, by engaging felf-love as an auxiliary to virtuous principles.

The age of Pliny abounded in characters of the pureft virtue. It would feem as if the flocking and detestable forms in which vice had exhibited herfelf under the worft of the Roman emperors, had awakened in mankind a double admiration of her opposite. At the fame time, the refined civility of the age had fostened the rigid morality of the old Romans into a fyitem in which the humane virtues had their proper place. Trained in the beft principles, and early imbued with veneration for the nobleft characters, Pliny courted the public efteem by an imitation of exalted worth; and if his virtue was not of the complexion of that which can content itfelf with its own confcioufnefs, yet it was fufficiently founded in habit and conviction, to induce him to be what he wished to appear. In every age and country, the public will have reafon to be amply fatisfied, if its men of rank and high office shall be Plinies.

The vanity of this writer appears leaft refpectable when it turns upon literary fubjects. It was his ardent defire to be thought, not only an excellent pleader and rhetorician, but a proficient in every kind of composition, profe and verfe, light or ferious. That his fondness for difplaying himfelf, rendered him extremely prolix, may be judged, not only from his boaftful relations of pleadings of five or fix hours at a time, and his frequent commendations of good hearers, but from his laboured and diffuse panegyric on Trajan. I doubt not that the patient and even applaufive attention to his long declamations and recitations, of which he fo often informs his friends, proceeded rather from a refpect to his character, and a wifh to pleafe him, than from the real fatisfaction of his auditors. From . various paffages in his' letters we may difcover that application was made to this foible by perfons who were defirous of ingratiating themfelves in his favour. This is the danger of an excellive love of applaufe ;- not that it fhould vitiate the heart, but that it should corrupt the judgment, and lay a man open to the ridicule of the malignant, and the artifices of the defigning.

Farewel!

E

(50)

LETTER VII,

ON THE STORY OF CIRCE,

DEAR SON,

HERE was a period of criticism in which the works of Homer were fuppofed to contain an encyclopedia of human knowledge; and every thing of art, fcience, and wifdom, which after-ages had developed, were afferted to lie in their feeds within the compafs of his hiftory and fable. Under this impreffion, commentators were naturally led to fearch for recondite meanings in every fcene of invention, by which he diversified his poems; and particularly they fought to improve the barrennefs of his morality, by allegorifing his fictions. The double nature of the heathen deities ferved their purpofe very happily in many of thefe attempts; and there was little difficulty in perfuading the reader that Pallas was wifdom perfonified in infpiring an action of policy, though a few lines before fhe had prompted deeds of valour as the martial goddefs. Sounder criticism has brought back many of these fancied allegories to fimple narratives. Reasoning upon the character of the age in which Homer lived, and the general strain of his writings, it has refused to admit ideas and designs manifestly originating in a very different state of intellectual progress.

You may recollect our reading together the epiftle of Horace to his friend Lollius, and admiring the eafy good fenfe with which he deduces leffons of moral wildom from the writings of Homer. Thefe are, in general, fuch as any real hiltory filled with a variety of events and characters might fuggeft; but from the adventures of Ulyffes he felects two as confeffedly allegorical,

Strenum voces et Circæ poculi nofti;

and the fame opinion of them has, I believe, been entertained by all fucceeding commentators to the prefent day. Of the Sirens' fong, I do not, at this time mean to take notice; but I fhall offer to your confideration fome remarks on the flory of Circe.

The leading circumftances in this narration, of an enchantrefs turning men into beafts by a charmed cup, and of a wife man by virtue of a countercharm refifting the force of her fpells, afford fo plaufible a foundation for a moral allegory on the debafing effects of fenfuality, and the prefervative power of wifdom, that we need not be furprifed at its having been univerfally received as fuch. Accordingly, the *Circean cup* has become a phrafe in every cultivated language ; and the moft celebrated poets of different countries have imitated or newmodelled the flory with the happieft effect. Yet independently of the general argument against allegorical interpretation, drawn from Homer's character of writing, there are in the flory itfelf, when closely examined, such contradictions to the supposed moral design, that we must either give it up as a falle notion, or conclude that the author was absolutely void of the judgment requisite for fuch a species of composition.

Let us trace the outline of the fable.

Ulyffes, landing upon the ifland of Circe, fends a party to explore the country. They arrive at the palace of Circe, who courteoully invites them to enter; and all but Eurylochus comply. She fets before them a mixture of meal, cheefe, honey, and Pramnian wine; the fame composition as Nector prepares for the wounded chiefs in the Iliad. With this fhe mixes poifonous drugs; and after they have all partaken of the refection, fhe firikes them with a rod, and they are inftantly transformed into fwine. Now, what is there in this that looks on their parts like intemperance or gross fenfuality ? Could they have done lefs than accept a civility which had nothing extraordinary in its circumftances, and in which they did not, as far as appears, exceed the bounds of moderation ? Homer, who is fo copious in the praifes of hospitality, certainly could not mean to reprefent it as a fault to partake of the hof-

52.

pitable board; and his greateft beroes are by no means backward or abitemious on fuch occafions.

But what follows ? On the return of Eurylochus, who not knowing the fate of his companions, concluded that they were all murdered, Ulyffes bravely refolves to fet out alone in order to explore the event. In the way he is met by Hermes in the fhape of a youth, who informs him of the nature and mode of Circe's enchantments ; and prefenting him with a root called Moly as a prefervative directs him, on being touched with the rod, to draw his fword and threaten Circe with death. " Then (fays he) fhe will invite you to her bed ; and do not you on any account refufe the offer, fince it will conciliate her kindnefs: but firft bind her with an oath not to plan further mifchief againft you." Ulyffes acts in all points as he was commanded.

What then is this *Moly*? The commentators dare not call it *temperance*—that would be too manifeft an outrage to the circumftances of the adventure. They make it therefore *infruction* or *prudence*, and thus they are at once conftrained to lower the moral to a mere leffon of caution. *Moly*, how ever, would better express the later doctrine of *election*, and the finles privilege of the faints: for Ulyffes, without any merit of his own, indulges with impunity in much groffer acts of fenfuality than his men had done, who were turned into beafts merely for following the common dictates of nature. The fequel is fill more irreconcileable to the fuppofed allegory of temperance; for Ulyffes ftays a whole year with Circe, fharing her bed, and making merry with her good cheer, without ever thinking of Ithaca, till his men remonftrate with him, and urge his return. It is obfervable, that this part of his conduct is exactly that which the Italian poets have attributed to their *intemperate* heroes, who are prefented as examples of great virtues with great defects. Critics attempt to obviate this objection to the ftory, by faying that Ulyffes was not intended for a perfect character. But in an adventure meant to exemplify a particular virtue, it would be abfurd indeed to make the principal circumftance a deviation from that very virtue.

On the whole I cannot but be convinced, that Homer in the flory of Circe had no other end in view, than in that of the Cyclops, the Læftrigons, and various others, namely, to gratify the paffion for novelty and love of wonder belonging to all ages and all readers, by introducing into the travels of his hero, all thofe extraordinary narrations which he had learned from tradition, or the reports of mariners. This purpofe, fo natural in a poet of a rude age, will account, not only for the ftrange matter intermixed with many of his fables, but for their being introduced at all. He who looks for any better reafon for many things that he will find in the early writers, will only facrifice his own judgment to their reputation.

Your affectionate, &c.

(55)

LETTER VIII.

ON NATURE AND ART, AND THE LOVE OF NOVELTY.

DEAR SON,

THE English school of the fine arts has distinguifhed itfelf from every other, by a more univerfal reference to nature as a flandard, and a bolder rejection of principles of art long and widely eftablished. Impatient of rules, little endowed with a capacity for ingenious and elegant fiction, but Grongly fenfible of natural beauty and fublimity, our men of tafte have fallen into a peculiarity of manner which has its excellencies and its defects. It has fostered an exact judgment in reprefentations of nature, whether mental or corporeal; it has elevated the imagination with the nobleft cbjects, and touched the heart with the most genuine paffions ; but it has narrowed the range of pleafurable fenfations, and has infpired a fastidious difrelifh of many efforts of ingenuity. By endeavouring to purfue to the first principles of an abftract philosophy every speculation concerning the fine arts, a habit has been introduced, of refufing to be pleafed where the fource of pleafure could not be clearly traced; and that duftility of foul towards attempts to amufe, which is fo happy a preparative to their effects, has been reprefied by the pride of reafoning. Perhaps the true philofophy of the human mind has fuffered as much from this ferutinizing fpirit, as the capacity for enjoyment has done—perhaps the right folution of a fundamental theorem has been miffed by looking too far for it. I intend in this letter to offer to your confideration the varied operations of a fimple principle, which, I conceive, will explain and juftify many things that our national feverity of judgment has queftioned or rejected.

What is the great requifite in all endeavours to entertain ?--novelty. Satiated and diffatisfied with things within our daily view, we roam in refliefs fearch after fomething either abfolutely new, or novel in form and degree. This peffion, which is in fome meafure univerfal to the human race, and which is ever stronger in proportion to the advancement in knowledge and civilization, might, perhaps, by the acute metaphyfician be referred to fome remoter principle, but practically it is ultimate; and the defires it excites nothing elfe can fatisfy. Inflead of afking, "Who will flew us any good ?" our cry is, Who will flew us any thing new ?---and he who is fortunate enough to be able to do this, is fare of a recompence.

56

There are two fources from whence this defire feeks gratification : nature and art. In nature, whatever has never before, or but rarely been prefented to us, affords pleafure on that account, which is greatly enhanced when the object is in other refpects capable of exciting agreeable fenfations. This is undoubtedly the nobleft, the moft delicious, and perhaps the most copious fource of pleafure; but to many, its enjoyment to any great extent is precluded by circumftances, and probably length of time will exhaust it in all. The inhabitant of a great city, imprifoned within its walls by bulinefs or neceflity, can only at fecond hand receive the impressions proceeding from a view of the grand and beautiful of nature's works. And even the villager, though placed amid the most picturefque affemblage of woods, lakes, and mountains, muft inevitably find their charms pall upon his fenfe, unlefs fupported by new objects of curiofity opening from a clofer refearch into the wonders of creation. It is the fame with that part of nature which relates to the mind. The ordinary difplay of paffions and interefts which we behold in real life and in hiftory, proves at length infufficient to fill our minds. We eagerly look out for more extraordinary characters and events; and at laft are compelled to quit nature altogether, and feed our appetite for novelty upon imaginary beings.

To art then, in fome form or other, we all refort for a remedy of the *tadium vita*; and national

taftes are chiefly characterifed by the mode and degree in which it is employed. It is in the arts termed imitative, that differences in thefe refpects are most remarkable. It might have been fuppofed, that, referring to nature for their archetyes, they could vary only in the greater or lefs perfection of their imitation. But as this has not been the cafe, it is evident that these arts must have some additional object. In fact, they are not, in general, intended to give exact copies of nature. Their purpofe is to heighten her, to difguife her, to alter her, perhaps for the worfe, but at any rate to produce novelty. Nature fupplies the form and feature, but art contributes the drefs and air. It is in vain to attempt upon general principles to determine the proportion each fhould preferve in the combination. For whether the end be to pleafe or to move, to flatter the imagination or excite the paffions, the fuccefs of the means will greatly depend upon manners, habits, and perhaps phyfical diverfities, in respect to which no one people can be a rule to another. But I have dwelt too long upon general ideas-let us come to examples.

The drama is of all the efforts of art that which approaches the neareft to nature. It has every advantage conjoined, which the others poffefs fingly; and indeed in fome circumftances almost ceases to be a representation, but is the thing itself. Yet how differently have different nations conducted their dramatic spectacles, and how manifestly have

they intended variation from nature, where copying it would have been obvious and eafy. The Greeks, as you well know, wrote all their plays in measure, and pronounced them in recitative with the accompaniment of mufic, and with regulated gefticulation. They covered the ftage with a chorus, which was made privy to the most fecret transactions, and interrupted the dialogue by odes of the most elevated poetry. All this was certainly deviating far enough from reality; yet never were the powers of the ftage over the paffions more confpicuous than in Greece, and never were a people more enthufiaftically fond of theatrical exhibitions. In all thefe points the Romans exactly copied them. Modern nations have in different degrees followed the ancient models. All have adopted verse as the vehicle of tragedy, and moft, of comedy. They have, at least in the interludes, affociated dance and mufic. But the Italians, in their operas, have employed throughout the fame artifices of recitative, fong, and measured action, that were used by the ancients. A true-bred Englishman laughs at all this, or yawns. Some of our first wits have not difdained to point their ridicule against heroes flabbing themfelves in cadence, and lovers expiring with a quaver, But a fenfible Italian furely does not want to be told that this is not nature. He looks for nature in the ftory, the paffions and the fentiments; but by allying it with the charms of exquifite mufic and graceful gefture, he feels that

he obtains fomething more, without lofing any thing. It may, indeed, require time and excreife to acquire a true relifh for fuch exhibitions, and fashion may have induced many to affect at these spectacles a pleasure which they do not feel, especially when the language of the piece is a foreign one. But I think we cannot, without grofs prejudice, doubt that they are capable of exciting genuine raptures, and that, in perfons whofe fenfe of propriety is as just and delicate as our own. You know that in this matter I claim an unprejudiced opinion, at leaft on the fide for which I am pleading, fince my own taites are perfectly home-bred, and my conviction of the power of fuch arts is founded more on the teltimony of others, than on my own experience. I confeis, that I was inclined to laugh at the idea of heroic dancing, till a friend of mine, a judicious unaffected country gentleman, who had been to fee Veftris in a ferious opera, affured me, that he had received from his action fenfations of dignity, grace, and pathos, furpaffing any thing of which he had before formed a conception.

What is tragedy among ourfelves? Is it not a dialogue in verfe, intermixed with all the decorations of poetry?—and is this *nature*? I am aware that English blank verfe may be fo pronounced, as to be no verfe at all; and this fuppofed improvement was introduced on our flage by Garrick, whofe idea of perfect recitation was that of imi-

tating natural fpeech as nearly as poffible. In highly impaffioned parts, and efpecially where fhort and broken fentences copied the real language of emotion, this mode certainly gave him an advantage in exciting the fympathy of a common audience. But where the writer was, and meant to be, poetical, I cannot but think that a recitation with the ore rotundo of Booth and Barry, in which a mufical flow was given to fentences by means of returning fwells and cadences, with a light fufpenfion of the voice to mark the close of each line, had a finer effect, and better coincided with the purpose of the poet. It is obvious to remark, that if verfe is not to be pronounced as fuch, it is unnecessary to write it; for any pleafure the eye can receive by parcelling out lines into divisions of ten fyllables, must be merely childifh, unlefs it originally refers to the ear.

In every country but our own, verfe is read with what we call a tone or chant—a fort of modulation between finging and common fpeaking; as it undoubtedly was likewife by the Greeks and Romans. In this mode of reciting, emphasis is, to our ears, almost entirely lost, as any one will perceive on hearing French verfe read by a native. Yet no readers appear more impressed with their fubject, or more to interess their hearers, than the French. We always endeavour to preferve the emphasis, though often to the total loss of the modulation. Which of these methods is best, cannot easily be

F

determined by general principles, but muft be referred to taftes and habits already formed. On the whole, however, that nation which derives the greateft pleafure from its performances, has beft attained its end. With this remark, and the corollary—that no one nation can be a competent judge of the verification of another—I conclude my prefent letter, to refume the fubject in my next.

LETTER IX.

THE FORMER SUBJECT CONTINUED.

HE train of thought which I have followed, next leads me to confider the poetical language of tragedy ; another circumftance in which art takes the lead of nature. I know, indeed, that critics have afferted figurative diction to be natural to perfons labouring under ftrong emotions; but for proof of this affertion, I find quotations from Shakespear, instead of appeals to fact. One cf thefe critics, and of no mean rank, has given as an example of the natural playfulnefs of a lover's inagination, Juliet's fancy of cutting out Romeo all into little ftars when he is dead. I do not deny that a certain degree of mental excitement (to use modern phrafeology) may, I'ke a cheerful glafs, vivify the imagination, and impart a glow and fluency of expression; but I never knew a real inftance in which violent passion, like intoxication, did not overwhelm the intellectual faculties, and abolish all connexion of thought and choice of language. But tragedy cannot confift of ahs and ohs, of exclamations and broken fentences. Its purpose is to delight, to inftruct, to elevate, and above all, to gratify the defire of novelty: the paffion of tragedy is therefore neceffarily made fluent, inventive, eloquent, metaphorical, and fententious. See how Milton characterifes the tragic writers of the Grecian fchool.

Thence what the lofty grave tragedians taught, In chorus and Iambic, teachers beft Of moral prudence, with delight receiv'd In brief fententious precepts, while they treat Of fate, and chance, and change in human life, High actions, and high pafilons beft defcribing.

PAR. REG. iv. 161.

It was evidently after this model, that he framed his Samfon Agonifies and Comus, pieces, however ill adapted for the modern English stage, which will continue to charm and instruct the cultivated reader, as long as the language in which they are written exifts. Nor would Shakespear himself, though peculiarly ftyled the bard of nature, have afforded a whole fchool of poetry and morals, had his dialogue been a real pattern of that natural fimplicity which is ufually supposed to characterife it. To every impartial obferver it will be manifest, that his " brief fententious precepts" are generally brought in with effort; and that his fublime and often far-fetched images rather belong to the playwriter, than to the speaker. The fweet Racine and the lofty Corneille communicated their own diffinctions to all their characters, and were properly "deferibers of high actions and high paffions" in their feveral ftyles. In fhort, if tragedy be not confidered as a fublime *poem*, rather than a mere fable to move the paffions for a moral purpofe, it will be impoffible not to prefer the Gameiter and George Barnwell to any performance of Shakefpear, Corneille, or Sophocles.

It would not be a difficult task to apply this principle of novelty to various other species of poetical composition, and particularly by it to account for the supposed necessity of *machinery* in the Epic, which can scarcely have any other reasonable purpose than to excite wonder; but I shall at prefent content myself with some remarks on its fundamental importance in *Passoral*.

The nature and defign of paftoral poetry have been very differently reprefented by critics, and their opinions have been refpectively fupported by appeals to the practice of different writers. I have no doubt, however, that the true fecret of the pleafure derived from paftoral, and confequently, of the genuine plan on which it fhould be written, is an univerfal longing after a certain imagined flate of fociety, which never did exift, but which may readily be conceived, and by its innocence, tranquility, and fimple delights, fweetly confraft with the turbulence and evils of the real world. It is no new opinion that this poetry has a reference to the golden age; but by this age, I would not un-

derftand any period recorded by tradition, but rather a kind of Utopia, in which the wounded and wearied fpirit of man has ever delighted to take refuge. In this fancied picture, however, there is a natural part; for fuch are the real charms of nature, that even imagination can do no more in decorating a terrefirial paradife, than to collect in one fpot, and in their highest perfection, all the delightful productions of different climes and feafons. More has fometimes been attempted ; but the novelty of trees bearing flowers of gems and fruits of gold, has not atoned for its incongruity; and after all, an orange tree is a more beautiful object. But manners, alas! must be invented for the fcene. The tender paffion in a degree of purity it never poffeffed, content, difinterestedness, benevolence, fimplicity, and delicacy, which, if ever they infpired one bofom, certainly never did one hamlet, muft concur, along with fome alloy by way of contraft, cto form inhabitants for the blifsful fpot. Amid fuch a faery people, I confess I do not regret nature ; nor at my age am I ashamed of lofing myfelf in the Arcadian walks of a Paftor Fido and Aminta. To contaminate a beautiful creation of the fancy with rude manners and coarfe expreffions, merely because they belong to the miferable shepherds of this actual world, appears to me a wretched attempt at accuracy. Better difcard this fpecies of poetry altogether, than render it the vehicle of difguft. Of what value are the ftrifes and mean paffions of ruftics, that they fhould be decorated with the graces of verification? and make a part of our moft elegant amufement? Is it to teach us mankind, and prevent our being impofed upon by falfe reprefentations? Alas! we know too well that no Arcadia exifts upon modern ground, and that vice and wretchednefs prevail in the hamlet as well as in the city. But why might we not for a time be indulged with forgetting it?

Paftoral, in the light I confider it, is rural romance. As in the compositions which were once fo celebrated under the name of romance, a fet of human beings, trained up in fanciful principles, and elevated to the highest feale of imaginary perfection, are engaged in a feries of equally extraordinary adventures; fo in pastoral, the model of character and the incidents are derived from a fictitious flate of fociety. The natural circumstances, however, of the pastoral life, accord best with a certain fimplicity of language and manners; whence the conceits and quaintneffes in the dialogue of fome of the Italian paftoral dramas, by violating congruity, offend again & true tafte. Yet, in fact, to refine the language of shepherds from all admixture of groffnefs, and to decorate it with the fimpler graces of fweetness and purity, is almost an equal departure from reality. But without fome fuch accommodation to our longings after a new and better flate of mankind, the great end of pleafing cannot be accomplified.

Were I inclined to purfue my fubject at length, I might take occasion, from the illustration I have employed, to treat on romantic fictions in general, and to inftitute a comparison between the old romance and the modern novel. But not defiring to detain you fo long on this topic, I shall only touch upon a circumstance apparently contradictory to that love of novelty on which I have laid fo much ftrefs; and this is, the preference now fo univerfally given to novels, over the romances which furnifhed matter fo much newer and more marvellous. The reafon of this fact feems to be, that we are much more creatures of feeling than of imagination; and that nature being predominant in our paffions, all attempts to excite the fympathetic emotions must fucceed in proportion as they approach her standard. I before admitted, that the novelty prefented by nature, is of a nobler kind than that produced by art. Uncommon characters and extraordinary events, therefore, which have a natural foundation, will always interest more than those which are wholly artificial. Now, the writings ftyled novels, are intended to imprefs us like the narrations of real occurrences. They even pretend (however fallely, for the most part) to instruct us in the knowledge of human life. Their effect depends on a kind of illufion, which makes their perfonages appear to us like familiar acquaintance, whole fentiments and actions are what we foould expect from the circumftances under which they

are placed. Romance, on the other hand, tranfports us into a new creation-a world of wonders, peopled with inhabitants expressly formed for the fcene. They have fundamentally, indeed, the passions of men ; but fo modified by habits of thinking and acting peculiar to themfelves, that they do not produce the ufual refults of those paffions in real life. An Amadis will fall in love as well as a Grandifon, but will not love like him, or like any other mortal. Yet even Grandifon is not a common character, nor is his hiftory a common one-and hence the novelty of the fable. It is true, the ordinary run of novels exhibit pictures which are little more than old faces new dreffed and grouped; and yet they are perused with avidity by a certain class of readers. But the tafte for fuch reading is a kind of falle appetite refembling that for fnuff and tobacco, which rather feeks the fupply of a want, than the enjoyment of a pleasure.

It is now time to fum up my critical doftrine, which I fhall do in few words. This is—that even the pleafure derived from natural objects is confiderably dependent on their *novelly*—that art more peculiarly applies to this fource of gratification that even those termed *imitative*, have a purpose diffinct from copying nature, which is, the allying it wich fomething new, as the clothing and vehicle —and that with respect to the degree in which these additions may be made with a happy effect, it depends in great meafure upon local habits and affociations.

I may, perhaps, hereafter apply these ideas to another topic. At prefent,

Farewel!

(71)

LETTER X.

ON PREJUDICE, BIGOTRY, CANDOUR, AND LIBERALITY.

DEAR SON,

As the profeffional concern you will have in the opinions of mankind, may fometime or other involve you in controverfy, I fhall offer to your confideration fome reflections ou the true import of certain words, than which none more frequently occur in controverfial writings, though their application is for the most part extremely loofe and undeterminate. The accurate use of terms is in all cafes important; but that of the terms in queftion is peculiarly fo, in those times of violent and bitter party contention.

The first that I shall mention is PREJUDICE. This word, according to its derivation, implies a judgment prior to examination ;—it seems, therefore, on its very face, to bear the mark of rash and unreasonable decision. But in common language, its meaning is frequently softened down into an impression which a man does not foruple to avow,

and for that reafon probably does not recognize to be wrong. We readily own a prejudice against a man or a caufe, if we have grounds from experience for thinking ill of them. And as it is frequently neceffary, in the occurrences of life, to come to a practical determination in a cafe where we have nothing but fuch a prefumption to guide us, we cannot be blamed for following the best lights we are able to procure. Prejudice in this inftance is only a reafonable analogy, by which we draw inferences of what will be from what has been. I know that a perfon has acquired an office or truft by fraudulent means .- I am inclined to credit an accufation of his having exercifed it fraudulently. A magistrate has betrayed an outrageous fpirit of party virulence .--- I fufpect that he has been influenced by it in his decifion of caufes in which party was concerned. The fupporters of a certain fyftem have always avoided difcuffion, and as much as poffible decried the ufe of reafon .---I infer that their fystem will not fland the test of reafoning. In all thefe inftances, the judgment I form may in strictness be termed a prejudice, becaufe it refults from preconceptions, not from direct examination of the point in queffion. But it is cenfurable only when it prevents me from recurring to fuch an examination when in my power; and makes me acquicfce in probability when I might have attained certainty.

Prejudice is Llamable and unreafonable, when

the inferences it draws either do not at all follow from the premifes, or not in the degree it fuppofes. Thus (with due fubmiffion to the fcience of phyfiognomy), if I conclude a man to be a knave or a fool from the length of his nofe, or the projection of his chin, I fuffer myfelf to be miffed by an abfurd method of prejudging what cannot be determined by fuch a rule. Scarcely lefs falfe prejudice would there be in the judgment I fhould form of his character, from his known opinions on fpeculative points of philofophy or theology. In the inftances the conclutions are totally faulty—the two members of the proposition having no more agreement, than in that line of Pope,

-each ill author is as bad a friend.

In other infrances, the error is only in degree. A perfon maintains a fyftem manifeftly, to my apprehenfion, d-fiructive of all moral obligation, whence I conclude him to be a man of lax morality. But though this be a natural confequence, it is not a certain one; for daily experience proves, that men may lead the most exemplary lives with principles apparently calculated to produce an opposite effect; fuch principles either not operating at all, or being counterasted by more powerful ones. National and professional characters lead to erroneous conclusions in a fimilar degree. When drawn from entensive and accurate observation, they may julity influence the first opinions we form

C

of individuals; but when they are adopted as univerfal and irrefragable rules of judgment, and render us inacceffible to all proofs of a contrary tenor, they degenerate into the worft of prejudices. Controverfies political and religious are peculiarly fullied with prejudices of this kind. Every fect and party has its diftinct obnoxious character, impreffed on the minds of its violent antagonifts, who affociate it to every individual of the clafs, however contrary to the manifeft courfe of his conduct. But I am now got to the confines of another word, which is,

BIGOTRY. This may be confidered as prejudice combined with a certain malignity. It is not only prepoffeffed in its judgment, but entertains its prepoffeffions with paffion, and feels impreffions of ill-will against those who oppose them. It refifts all attempts at confutation with pertinacity and anger. An antagonist, in its estimation, is a foe, to be filenced by other means than argument. A bigot never reafons but when he cannot help it, and thinks himfelf outraged by being compelled to descend into the field of equal contest. At the hazard of diferediting his own ftrength and fkill, he is ready to call out for the civil arm to handcuff or knock down his opponent. After the Earl of Nottingham had written a defence of the orthodox faith against the attacks of Whiston, and had received for it the folemn thanks of the University of Oxford in full convocation, he attempted to

put an end to all further controverfy, by introducing into the Houfe of Peers a bill denouncing most fevere penalties against any one who should henceforth oppugn the eftablished doctrines. So mean a thing was bigotry even in a noble champion ! The bigot requires to be humanized before he is enlightened, and the correction of his heart must precede that of his understanding. Simple prejudice is at once removed by removing the veil which concealed the truth; but bigotry fofters its prejudices as it would protect a child or a miftrefs. To speak of a fincere bigot is a tautology, fince bigotry includes the idea of fincerity. The bigot is ready to give fubftantial proof of the reality of his zeal, often amounting to the facrifice of his deareft interests. 'On the other hand, the epithets mild, moderate, literal, rational, can rever in any degree belong to a bigot. It is not bigotry to be firmly attached to a caufe, and to conceive of it as a thing of the highest moment; but it is bigetry to that the ears againft all arguments on the oppofite fide, and to refuse others that liberty of judgment which we ourfelves affume.

CANDOUR is in fome measure the opposite of bigotry; for its effence confists in a disposition to form a fair and impartial judgment on opinions and actions. In the common use of the word we feem to include a leaning towards a more favourable judgment than is firicitly true. But this appears to me to be deviating from the proper fense

G 2

of candour, into that of *charity*, which, as the Apofile deferibes it, "thinketh no evil." Now, a perfon cannot have been long and intimately acquainted with mankind, without feeing reafon too often to think a great deal of evil of men's motives and principles of action ; and if he imputes to them no more than the rules of juft inference warrant, I imagine he is not chargeable with the violation of candour.

There is an affectation of candour which I cannot but think very detrimental to the interests of truth and virtue. It is, when in fpeaking or writing, a complaifant credit is given to men's own expolitions of their motives, in actions which to the common fense of mankind explain themfelves upon totally different principles. If the hypocritical cant of morals difplayed in manifeftos, apclogies, declarations, and other appeals to the public in fuspicious causes, is, from a notion of candour, to be treated with deference, what must be inferred, but that candour is a very weak, or a very worldly principle? Clofely connected with univerfal profligacy, is univerfal indulgence; and if excufes are readily admitted to palliate or explain away manifest violations of honour and honesty, the great barriers between right and wrong will be in danger of being overthrown. Certain things which are cultomarily done, are yet fo clearly wrong, that we cannot be made to feel them otherwife without debauching our principles or undet . ftanding. If we fee men, whofe general characters we love and efteem, falling, through ftrong temptation, into thefe errors, it is a much better exercife of candour to dwell upon every virtue they poffefs, and fet it to their credit in counterbalance to one failure, than to vindicate them from the failure itfelf, by falfe reafonings or improbable fuppofitions.

The word candour may, however, be underflood, as referring chiefly to the qualities of the heart, and implying that whitenels or purity of foul, which infpires the defire of maintaining friendly difpolitions towards all mankind; and which in *itfelf*, at leaft, finds no caufe to judge harfhly of others. And the continuance of this propenfity through all periods of life is highly defirable, fince it will prove the beft prefervative againft virulence and acrimony in controverfial debates, and will tend to heal thofe wounds on focial comfort, which bigotry is perpetually inflicting. This fpirit is fo beautifully defcribed in fome lines of Grotius's poem on the death of Arminius, that I cannot refrain from tranfcribing them.

> Cui caritate temperata libertas Certat manere diffidentibus concors : Pizque purus æquitatis affe&us, Damnatus aliis, ipfe neminem damnat ; Modeftiæque limitem premens, donat Nunc verba vero, nunc filentium paci.

> > G 3

LIBERALITY is a word perhaps of more indeterminate use than any of the former. Its proper meaning, when applied to fentiment, feems to be, that generous expansion of mind which enables it to look beyond all petty diffinctions of party and fyftem, and, in the effimate of men and things, to rife fuperior to narrow prejudices. From its metaphorical relation to bounty, it indicates free allowance, unflinted by rigid rules. The liberal man, Like the fenate of ancient Rome, is fond of largely extending the relation of fellow-citizenship, and loves to admit all mankind to a fraternal share of the regard of their common Parent. The chief difficulty in adjusting the claims to liberality in controverfial points, arifes from the pretensions that mere indifference often makes to it. But though it be admitted, that without fomewhat of an impreffion of the uncertainty or comparative unimportance of the fubjects about which difputants are fo much divided, it is fearcely poffible to regard them with a liberal fpinit, yet this flate of mind is not of itfelf liberality. It may, and often does, produce an arrogant and contemptuous mode of treating opponents not arrived at fo happy a degree of laxity, which is as really contrary to the fpirit of liberality, as the opposite ftrictness can be.

It must, however, be confested, that there is in the very nature of fome tenets, fomething fo effentially adverfe to liberality, that they never can be imagined to fubfild together. A man who is fo unfortunate as to believe that all but thefe of his own way of thinking are doomed to eternal rebrobation, can fearcely, whatever be the native temper of his mind, view with any thing like liberal allowance the opinions oppofed to his own, or the attempts to propagate them. How can he give the hand of fraternity to one whom he fuppofes the inveterate foe of God and man? How can he raife himfelf above differences, which in his own effination rife infinitely beyond every thing elfe?

Among the caufes we have for thankfulncfs, it is not the leaft confiderable, that we have been taught to regard the whole human race as one family, all capable of rendering themfelves approved by their common Father, who, in allotting them different portions of light and knowledge, has certainly not expected from them an uniformity of belief and practice.

I conclude with a brief exemplification of the use of the terms in question.

When Jefus preached, *Prejudice* cried, "Can any good thing come out of Nazareth?" "Crucify him, crucify him," exclaimed *Eigetry*. "Why, what evil hath he done?" remonftrated *Candour*. And *Liberality* drew from his words this inference, "In every nation, he that feareth God and worketh rightcoufnefs, is accepted with . him."

Your truly affectionate, &c.

(80)

LETTER XI.

ON RELIGIOUS SOCIETIES.

DEAR SON,

WE have read together an Essay on Sects and Establishments, with an admiration in which we might fufpect an allowable partiality, had not the unbiaffed voice of the public given an equal atteftation to its merit. The truly philosophical view it has taken of the fubject, and the novel and acute obfervations with which it abounds, expreffed with a characteriftic force and brilliancy of language, have fairly entitled it to the rank of a mafter-piece in its kind. The home truths it contains have not, I believe, been univerfally relifhed, but they have commanded the affent of impartial obfervers. Poffibly, however, fome of the ideas given in it concerning Seds, are rather historically than effentially true; and new ftates of opinion and manners may arife, in which different principles must be called in for the purpose of determining on their character and fate. Inafmuch

as fects are the counterparts of establishments, the fpirit of the one must depend upon that of the other; and it may happen, that without any manifest change in an establishment, its influence on men's minds may be fo much altered, as materially to alter the nature of diffent from it. I will not fay that this has actually taken place among us; yet in proportion as the full right in every individual to choose his mode of religion is commonly admitted, as penalties and difabilities are foftened or abolished, and as men are accustomed to view with unconcern different fyftems of faith and worfhip, it is evident, that the circumftance of belonging to a fect or an eftablishment, will produce lefs effect upon manners and character. In this ftate of things, indeed, according to the doctrine of the Effay, the caufe of Sects will infallibly decline; but I know not whether the fpirit ct forming religious focietics will not, on the contrary, gain ground. It appears to me that this is already the fpirit of many feparatifts, who, while they have loft all attachment to fects, as confifting of united bodies known by particular defignations, have by no means become indifferent in their choice of religious inftitutions.

By a religious fociety, in contradifinction to a fell, I understand fimply this—that a number of perfons of a fimilar way of thinking, for no other purpose than merely to enjoy to the greatest advantage their own tastes and opinions in religion,

affociate to form a congregation. It is perfectly immaterial to them (further than as they may with the prevalence of what they most approve) whether or no there exift any other fuch fociety in the world. Religion is to them merely a perfonal affair, unconnected with other interefts; and their only motive for affociating in it at all, is that they find a duty or advantage in focial worthip, which compels them to adopt means for its performance. They have nothing to do either with attack or defence, unlefs the grand and universal principle of the right of private judgment in matters of religion be called in queftion. In fupport of that, they make a common caufe with all other feparatifts, and fo far they act as fectaries; but otherwife, they have nothing more to difcufs with the eftablishment, than with any detached fociety like themfelves. As their purpose is fimple, they find no reason to ftand apart from the reft of the world in any thing elfe. Having, indeed, avowed a ferious attachment to religion, by exerting an active choice in the mode, they are fensible that immoralities would appear peculiarly inconfistent in them, and that in things of a dubious nature, it is more becoming their characters to incline to firictness than laxity.

It will probably be objected to this idea of the formation of religious focieties, that they would foon want zeal fufficient to keep them together. But, in the first place, what in this cafe is the defideratum ?--- not to increase the numbers of blind followers of a name or a doctrine, but to provide for the wants of those to whom focial religion is really an object of felection. To fuch perfons, differences not abfolutely effential, will yet appear of fome importance; and as even in things indifferent, we conceive it an eftimable privilege to exert a free choice, it would feem not likely that this liberty fhould be undervalued, in a matter at least connected with a thing of fupreme confequence. Then, in fact, many of those doctrines upon which feparate congregations are formed, are in a high degree important, relating to nothing lefs than the object of divine worship, and the conditions of acceptance in a future flate. And while eftablished churches, and even ancient fects, remain stationary, fome of these doctrines are making an accelerated progress. While, therefore, religion continues to exert an influence over the mind, and the spirit of liberty retains its activity, it can fearcely be fuppofed, that a fucceffion of voluntary focieties will ceafe to be formed, adapted to the varying or progreffive flate of religious opinion, although they are unfupported by the peculiar manners or interefts of a fect. Peculiarity of manners, though it undoubtedly tends to draw clofer the bands of union in a fociety, yet offers an additional obstacle to those who may be inclined to enter it, and difposes many the more readily to quit it. It has likewife the bad, effect of diverting the attention from points of real importance, to trifles; and of narrowing the heart, by carrying into life diffinctions only meant for the temple. It is always better to refer our actions to one great and decifive principle, than to many fubordinate ones. The exercise of private judgment in matters of religion, may well fland upon its own fingle ground, without calling in the aid of petty concomitants.

The caufe of feparation has gained one confiderable advantage in the prefent age, which is, that we fearcely hear any more of the f.n of fchifm, with the apprehenfion of which timid confeiences were formerly diffurbed. Long ago, indeed, John Hales faid, in his Tra7 on Schifm (never published, however, in his works, till 1721), " wherefoever fille or fuspected opinions are made a piece of the church liturgy, he that feparates is not the fehifmatic." The impoffibility of fubltantiating this charge against a party fo as that it might not easily be retorted, and the fatility of every fcheme proposed for comprehension, as it was called, feem to have made the minds of men erfy in this part'cular. Still further, the fuppofed fin itfelf has, in the opinion of many, been expanged from the crtalogue; for experience has flewn, that the cau'e of religion, far from being weakened by thefe divisions and fubdivisions of its professions, has acquired additional ftrength. The more it is made a man's perfonal choice, the greater interest he

takes in it; and as focieties differ from each other rather about modes and articles, than about grounds and fanctions, the main authority of religion is not faaken by fuch differences. The ancient comparifon of religious infraction to grain fractifying in the earth, will also apply in this refpect, that, like the roots of corn, the fpirit of religion becomes more productive by division.

You may think it an ornillion that I have fuld nothing of the *policical* induces of feets, and of the lofs that would fufficia by breaking them into unconnected focieties. I had not forgot this topic, but I well knew that the lefs that is faid concerning it, the better.

With respect to the perfon appointed by fuch a fociety to fuperintend the bulinefs and public worfhip, and perhaps of private infruction, I do not perceive that he has any other general line of conduct to purfue than, by all proper means, to render himfelf as acceptable as politible to his congregation. Their style of manners, if of itself unobjectionable, must be his. They will naturally expect to find in him the affectionate and uleful friend, the agreeable and inftructive companion; but he will be under no neceffity, in order to gain their favour, to employ arts or compliances derogatory from a manly character. His office and station have nothing in them which can infpire difrespect. If he is dependent, fo are all who live by the public ; but I fearcely ever knew an inftance in which the

advantages of education and office did not enable a perfon in that fituation to affume a liberal independence of behaviour, within the limits of prudence and good temper. He need not renounce the world, though, like every man of wifdom and virtue, he renounces its follies and diffipations. He must, in order to be respectable, sustain his character with confistency and decorum, and it is a character which demands fome peculiar facrifices; but for those he is amply indemnified, by the opportunity of rifing above the common level, and taking his flation with the graver and weightier part of fociety. He is not precluded from aiming at perfonal influence and refpect from the community at large, by a dignified fuavity of manners, and ufeful and ornamental accomplishments. Were not these objects within his reach, I should, as a father, be very unwilling that a fon whom J efteem, fhould engage in the profession.

Farewel!

(87)

LETTER XII.

ON REPLY IN CONTROVERSY.

OW far it is advifable to answer the charges of an antagonist in controversy, is a question you ask, with reference to the actual conduct of a diftinguished perfon whom we both highly efteem. I shall begin my reply with a ftory out of the life of Melancthon by Camerarius. That great and amiable man was the fubject of much virulent abufe, as might naturally be expected to fall upon one, who in the interesting business of reform, purfued a middle courfe, almost equally remote from the extremes on either part. When ftrongly urged by his most intimate friends to publish a vindication of his conduct, " I will anfwer you, (faid he) as my little daughter did me. She had one day been fent on an errand, and staid much longer than the ought to have done. I met her in the ftreet, and faid to her, Now, child ! what will you fay to your mother when the chides you for flaying fo long ? I will fay nothing, replied the poor child."

What is the inference from this flory ? Is it that Melancthon had really nothing to reply to the charges brought againft him ? The probability is, that he was confcious of being able to fay nothing which would produce any effect on minds predifpofed againft him; for the matter of accufation was that prudent conciliatory behaviour which he did in reality approve and practife, and which he neither could nor would difavow; and therefore a reply would have been of no avail. And this confideration, in my opinion, leads to the true rule of conduct in thefe cafes.

A writer publishes his fentiments on a controverted point in politics or theology, and fupports them by the best arguments in his power. A hotheaded champion rifes on the oppofite fide, who in print flyles his notions impicus or feditious, his arguments trivial and abfurd, infults his perfon, vilifies his fenfe of learning, and imputes to him the worft motives. What matter is there in all this for an answer? The writer does not mean to difavow his opinions becaufe an opponent thinks ill of them. His arguments are not refuted by the abufe of one who, perhaps, from incapacity or ignorance, is utterly unable to comprehend them. Of his fense and learning he has conflituted the public his judges by the act of publication, and to their judgment at large he appeals. His motives can only be known to his own heart ; and afferting them to be good, will no more convince his ene-

mies, than the contrary affertion has convinced his friends. If, therefore, he has obtained from nature or exercife a due command of temper, he will preferve a dignified filence, till an attack of fome other kind fummons him to the field. Now this other kind muft be characterized by one of thefe two circumflances—the production of new and forcible arguments against him, or a mifreprefentation in matter of fact of a nature materially to injure his character.

With refpect to the first inftance, a difputant who honefuly argues for the fake of truth alone, will either freely retract what he cannot maintain, or will fludy for new arguments to fupport what he full believes, notwithstanding the plaufibility of the objections raifed against his mode of proving it. But in each of these cases a *reply* is his duty; for filence can proceed only from difingenuousfness, or from indolence The public whom he addreffed have a right to all the fatisfaction he can give them; and the cause at iffue must not be left to float in indecision, if it be in his power to contribute further to its determination.

Falfehood or mifreprefentation is a perfonal reafon for a reply, and often a very cogent one. Though the laws affume in fome points the guardianfhip of a perfon's reputation, yet the modes in which it may be affailed are fo numerous and indefinite, that he mult in great measure rely on his own protection ;---and furely few things better de-

H₃

ferve protecting. The fages in the healing art have laid it down as a maxim, " Nullum capitis vulnus contemnendum." The fage in human life might with equal truth establish the position, That no attack on moral character is to be flighted. Though proceeding from the most infamous and despicable of mankind, they are never without fome power of hurting; and filence under them will pafs, in the effimation of a great part of the world, for an acknowledgment of guilt. If, therefore, an unprincipled antagonist attempts to render a man odious, either by reprefenting him as faying what he never has faid, or by inventing perfonal flander or calumny against him, it will generally be as prudent as it is equitable, to cite him to the bar of the public, expose his difhoneft arts and malignant intentions, and with flrong hand drag him forth like Cacus from the midft of his fire and fmoke, to light and punifiment.

Nor does this advice concern the writer alone. Any man upon whofe character an unjuft attack is made, will do right to vindicate himfelf, provided the charge relate to a matter of fact which can be brought to a decifive iffue. That abufe, indeed, which is levelled at individuals merely as belonging to a particular profeffion or party, and is only an inference from fuch a fact, merits little notice, however it may bear upon moral character. Its effect depends upon a general opinion, which an individual cannot alter. Large bodies of men thus

cenfured, may think it worth their while by public declarations of their principles to give the lie to fuch charges; but for a fingle member to do fo, is always either unneceffary or useles. He must in those points stand or fall with his party. But accufations which mark out the individual as fuch, are of a different nature. They tend as much to injure a perfon with his friends, as to encourage the malice of his enemies; and he muft not expect to be fupported against them upon public grounds. We live in an age, in which the virulence of party-contention, and the facility with which flanders are propagated, render it equally necefiary to be circumfpect in our actions, and spirited in felf-defence. The public is indeed just and generous when convinced; but calumnies are readily adopted, and the refutation of them always cofts fome exertion. A man fails in the duty he owes to fociety, as well as to himfelf, who, through indolence or apathy, fuffers malignity and falfehood to triumph in the accomplishment of their purpofe. They fhould be oppofed boldly, fpeedily, and openly. Every ftep in the contest should be clear and decisive; and principles should always be aimed at, however hedged in by forms and confequence. Every man capable of doing a fecret injustice is a coward. He will shuffle, equivocate, and farink; but if held by the firm grafp of truth and courage, he cannot efcape an ignominious exposure.

Farewel!

(92)

LETTER XIII.

ON CLASSIFICATION IN NATURAL HISTORY.

AM very glad to find, my dear fon, that you receive fo much pleafure from the purfuit of Natural Hiftory. No pleafures are more pure, more unmixed, more eafily procurable ; and the fludy of nature is in many respects peculiarly fuited to your profession and situation. You do right first to follow it in a practical way, making yourfelf acquainted with the appearances of objects, and afcertaining their names and places in a fystem. But it will be useful occasionally to interpose reflections on the fludy in general, and to take extended views of that economy of nature which is one of the nobleft fubjects of contemplation. In order to lead you into fuch a train of thought, I shall communicate to you fome remarks on the claffification and arrangement of natural fubfiances, which I wrote down at a time when these topics occupied a good deal of my attention.

When a perfon begins to examine the productions of nature around him, he will first be struck with a perception of their infinite number and boundlefs variety. The whole will feem to him a vaft affemblage of objects, grouped into all poffible kinds of difcordant forms, and prefenting on every fide an inextricable wildernefs of diversity. But on a more leifurely and attentive furvey, he will prefently defery in this mais of things, numerous refemblances and conformities between particular objects, which will dispose him, by a precess to which he is fcarcely confcious, to feparate them into classes, and make a kind of arrangement of them in his mind. This first rude classification will be formed upon fome of the most remarkable exterior qualities of the fubjects; and will only mark out fome of the greater divisions, ftill leaving undiferiminated the minuter differences which diftingaifh one kindred form of being from another. Thus, the three kingdoms (as they are termed) of nature, will foon be feparated; the minoral being characterized from its ident and unchanging quality; the vegetalle from its growth and facceflive changes; the animal from the fuperadded faculty of voluntary motion. In each of these will prefently be diferrned fabordinate divifions ; as in the animal creation, the feveral claffes of quadrupeds, birds, fiftes, and infects; in quadrupeds, the diffinctions of great, fmall, mild, ferocious, graminivorous, and carnivorous. But this mode of proceeding will for a long time furnish only fuch general ideas as fall very faort of the purposes of methodical arrangement; and it will not happen till after accurate refearches have been made into the more intimate flructure of bodies, that marks are difcovered fufficiently numerous and diffinct to identify genus and fpecies.

But there is another process of arrangement, equally natural, that comes at one frep near to the individual. In the common course of life, every perfon becomes familiarly acquainted with certain forms of nature, fo as to have the idea of them ftrongly impreffed upon the fenfes. A thing of this kind, therefore, ferves him as a flandard, to which he can refer a variety of other objects in the way of comparison, as being like it in some point, and unlike it in others. Thus, when a man habitually acquainted with dogs first fees a fox, he will conceive of it as a fmall dog, with a fharper nofe and more bufby tail than ordinary; and by thefe marks he will deferibe it to another man, who, from his previous knowledge of the dog, will probably recognize the fox whenever he meets with it. In like manner, the tiger and leopard are faid to be animals of the cat-kind, and thence a tolerable idea of their form and manners is obtained before feeing them. And combinations may be made of parts refembling those of objects already known, by which a new production may be characterized. Thus we fay that a plant has the leaf of an oak, the flower of a rofe, the fruit of a plum, the fcent of a jeffamine, &c. The defects of this method are, that, in the first place, it does not extend far

enough, the fpecies with which perfons are commonly acquainted, being too few to ferve as archetypes of any confiderable portion of the works of nature; and fecondly, that it is inaccurate, fince degrees of refemblance admit of every pofible gradation, and ftrike different obfervers differently. It is, however, on an union of the two principles of arrangement above-mentioned, that all fyftems of claffification have been founded.

But before we proceed further, it will be proper to take into confideration the uses and purposes of arrangement. Thefe are principally two; one, to aid the memory by laying up the flores of knowledge in a regular manner, and applying precife determinate names to every fingle object, fo methodized, that they may be found when wanted; the other, to afford a fummary connected view of the natural refemblances and differences between objects in their most important qualities. exhibiting the relations between caufes and effects, and those gradations of being which conflitute the great chain or fcale of existence. It is the latter only on which the philosophy of natural hiftory depends. The former is a mere matter of nomenclature, neceffary, indeed, but as a means, not an end.

The perfection of arrangement is when these two purposes are united; that is, when the most important circumstances in the structure or geonomy of natural productions, are felected as the characters on which their divisions and fubdivisions are founded; and this conflitutes what is called a natural method. When this is rendered complete, we can, not only, on examining the real fubject, readily determine its place in the fyftem, and confequently its name; but e converso, on being told the name and fystematic place of the fubject, we can infer the most effential circumftances of its nature and hiftory. To give an inftance of this from Mr. Pennant's Synophis of Birds : -if I find an unknown bird, with webbed feet, a flat bill, and a broad fringed tongue, I trace it at once by thefe marks to the genus Duck in his fyftem, and by carefully examining the deforiptions of the feveral fpecies in this genus, I can difcover its name, and learn all that naturalists have faid about it. On the other hand, if I am told that a bird fo named is of the Duck genus, I am fure, first, that it is a water-fowl; next, from its webbed feet, that it is a fwimmer; and then, from the form of its bill and tongue, that it lives either upon foft vegetables, or upon fuch animal food as it can feoop up, and feparate at leifure, but not upon living active prey. Here I have a delightful perception of that adaptation of means to ends which affords to convincing a proof of the agency of a defigning caufe in the wonderful plan of creation ; and I alfo difeern one link of that waft chain which binds together the whole economy of nature.

But it is not in every part of creation that this perfection of arrangement can be obtained. The fpecies in fome claffes are fo extremely numerous, their general properties are fo uniform, and their peculiar ones fo various and minute, that we c nnot find characters in them fufficient to establish diferiminations at the fame time precife and important. This is particularly the cafe with tle vegetable kingdom; and the difficulty of the talk has given rife to numerous attempts in their claffification, upon different principles. What is abfolutely neceffary to the purposes of utility, is the eftablishment of divisions and fubdivisions, diffingifhed by marks at the fame time ftable, obvious, and numerous; otherwife the votary of this pleafing fludy may range over the world of vegetation, like Eneas in fearch of his golden branch, without being able, unlefs heaven directed, to identify any one object of which he may have heard or rear'. This, however, can only be effected by an artificial fystem, that is, one, the diffinctions of which are taken from circumstances felected for the purpose of arrangement only, and not on account of their relative importance. The thing wanted is a natural alphabet, composed of a number of letter, unmeaning, perhaps, of themfelves, but capable, by a vaft variety of combinations, of diffingu fhing with perfect precifion all the tribes, families, and individuals of that immense nation from each other.

I

All modern botanists agree, that it is in the parts of fructification that diffinctive marks for the purpole of arrangement are to be found in vegetables. The great number and variety of these afford, by means of combination, an almost inexhaustible fund of differences, accommodated to the feveral orders of division and fubdivision on which accuracy of method depends. It is upon thefe, you know, that Linnzeus has founded a fystem, which its merit has brought into general use; and which would want little of abfolute perfection, as an artificial one, if it were as uniform in its application, as it is regular in its principles. But it labours under a defect from which no artificial arrangement can free itfelf; which is, that it frequently thwarts that diffribution into families, which nature has pointed out by refemblances fo ftrong, as to render feparation a violence fearcely tolerable; fo that either his principles muft be facrificed, or a very obvious deformity incurred by adhering to it. In thefe emergencies, the conduct of the author has not been uniform ; fometimes he has ftood firm ; oftener he has yielded. In the latter cafe, fpecies, in order to keep to their genera, are placed under classes and orders to which they do not belong; fo that if a learner unfortunately lights upon them before he has acquired a knowledge of the genus, he may hunt through the whole fyftem before he can investigate them. It is as it, in a dictionary, a word beginning with the letter A

should be placed along with others of fimilar fignification under D. The caufe of this defect is, manifeftly, that Nature has not attached fo much importance to the circumstances on which his primary and fecondary divisions are founded, as to make them uniform in productions formed in general after the fame model. And, indeed, through the whole of the Linnzan claffifications, in all the kingdoms of nature, there runs the fame attention to minute circumitances, in queft of diftinctive marks, which throws an air of littlenefs over his fyftems, and gives them the praife rather of ingenious invention, than of coincidence with the fublime plans of creation. You will, I hope, know how to prize them for their utility in enabling you to acquire the knowledge of nature, without miftaking an acquaintance with them for that knowledge.

Farewel !

(100)

LETTER XIV.

ON BUFFON'S NATURAL HISTORY.

DEAR SON,

N my former letter on the fubject of Natural History, I flightly characterized the great Mafter of Avrangement. At prefent I mean to communicate to you a few reflections on a writer who holds an equally high rank in a directly opposite mode of treating these fubjects, the illustrious Count de Buffon.

The works of this naturalift and philofopher, unrivalled in deferiptive eloquence, and filled with curious and exact details of matter of fact, exhi-Lit alfo continual marks of that difpolition to theotize which is almost infeparable from genius. Not fatisfied with being the *fecretary*, he affumes the office of *legiflator* of nature; and frequently cuits the humbler task of painting things as they are, for the loftier purpose of speculating how they have been and may be. One leading principle runs through all his difcussion of this kind;—a difpofition to reduce as much as poffible the number of *fpecies*, by fuppofing perpetual varieties generated by climate, domeflication, and other incidental caufes. He is ever in fearch of the original flock from whence a number of kindred fpecies have proceeded; and largely indulges himfelf in fuppo-fitions refpecting the means by which all the fhades and ramifications of difference have been produced, often highly ingenious, but often, too, in my opinion, perfectly gratuitous and delufory.

This deduction of numerous prefent forms of nature from a few original archetypes, does not appear to me, even a priori, a very probable hypothefis. All the parts of nature have a mutual relation to, and dependence on, each other. If it be admitted that a large tract of country has long existed in the form of folid land, it must have been clothed with vegetables accommodated to each foil and fituation. Thefe muft have afforded food and fhelter to the infest race, with which vegetables are every where found to fwarm. Their multiplication to a noxious excess, must have been checked by the numerous birds which derive their chief fubfiftence from them. Quadrupeds, though lefs clofely connected with the other claffes of creation, yet must be supposed to have an appropriate place, and may reafonably be imagined to have exifted wherever their existence was consonant to the general arrangement of things, We view, withcut furprife, in regions very diftant from our own,

all this general order of nature exifting, yet made up of fpecies to different from ours in the different claffes, that we muft neceffarily refer them to a diffinct origin. Is it a greater wonder that other fpecies fhould be formed upon a model nearly refembling ours? After having gazed with admiration at the Paradife-birds in an Afiatic foreft, or the Toucans in an American one, and recognifed the creative power that originally placed them there, fhall we perplex ourfelves with endeavouring to account how the thrufhes, pigeons, and finches, could get there, and by what means, with a general fimilitude to thofe tribes as they exift with us, the variations which diferiminate them should have been produced.

A decided purpofe of what we call *Nature*, is to give birth to variety; and, according to a remark of Buffon himfelf, whatever can exift feems actually to exift. She fports a thoufand ways in colour, fhape and proportion, keeping only within the bounds neceffary to fearer the great purpofes of continuing and propagating exiftence. Why then fhould migration be called in to frame an imagined genealogy of kindred tribes, which in one country as well as in another, ferve to fill up the great plan of being? In the vegetable kingdom, where, as migration cannot have taken place, except in cultivated plants, all variations in others muft have been original, fearcely an inflance can be found of perfectly fimilar fpecies exifting in the two great continents, even where the generical refemblances are most firiking. But fo preposition is Buffon against the notion of the original formation of nearly refembling species of animals in distant parts of the world, that where he cannot deny their prefent existence, and is unable to conceive a natural migration, he frequently invents the most unlikely fupposition of their conveyance by men; and, on the other hand, he as frequently rejects, without reason or authority, the ocular toflimony of travellers to their being found in parts of the world where he does not choose to admit them.

Of the means by which changes in original fpecies may be fuppoled to be effected, the principal are climate and domeffication. That both of thefe are capable of producing confiderable effects, we can fearcely doubt; and carefully to enquire into these, and from a series of established facts to deduce a fcientific theory of this important part of the animal economy, would be a most valuable addition to phyfiology. But to employ them in the explanation of perplexing facts, at random and without any proper clue of known caufes and effects, is rather to propagate error than true feience. Yet this M. de Buffon perpetually does, and more efpecially with regard to domeflication. Whether by this vague term he underflands fuch a perfect fabjection and fubferviency to man as we fee in a horfe, and the dog; or fuch a lax connexion with him as fubfifts in the cat and the

pigeon, there is fcarcely a change in form and difposition which he does not ascribe to it, as hypothesis may require. It can ennoble or debafe, enlarge or diminifh, ftrengthen or enfeeble, just as fuits the prefent occafion. It has given the camel his bunches and callofities, and has made the horfe fleek and fine-limbed. It has created all the varieties of shape, fize, and instinct, in the family of dogs, from the lap-dog to the mashiff, from the greyhound to the fpaniel. It operates even upon the free winged tribes; and contaminates by a touch those who only approach it at a diftance. To deny the great effect of fuiting and contrasting breeds, of feeding, houfing, and exercifing the animals which man felects for his particular ufe, would be to betray grofs ignorance or prejudice. But, on the other hand, to extend the operation of known caufes beyond all bounds of proof or analogy, and to apply words for the purpose of argument, where the things are totally diffimilar, is to level all diffinction between imagination and reafon.

If domefication be used as a general term to express every affociation between man and animals, it is obvious that to reason with any accuracy on its effects, it must be divided into different flages. The first is that in which they are merely fed unconfined; man repaying himself for this care by the opportunity of making prize of them more easily when he wants them. Wild rabbits and

pheafants are in this degree of dependence on man. It is but a little ftep beyond this to provide them with a detached lodging, as pigeons in a dovecote, or even to confine them within bounds, provided an ample range be allowed them, as deer in a park. In all these cases no other changes in them can be reafonably fuppofed, than fome diminution of their natural fagacity and active powers, owing to the greater eafe they find in fublifting, and perhaps, an improvement in fize and bulk in the individuals from their being better fed. A farther ftage is that of animals kept in the fold and the yard, whole whole fublishence and protection depend on man, and who live with him and with their fellow-fubjects in a flate of fociety, but without conftraint. This is the condition of domeffic fowl, and fwine. Among these, varieties of fize and colour begin to fhew themfelves; which, however, are probably owing not fimply to their domeflication, but to the contrivance of men, in felecting peculiar individuals, or importing foreign varieties, for the purpole of propagating the breed; for without this care, an uniformity foon comes to prevail, with a fet of qualities, derived rather from climate, than from other circumftances.

The most complete flage of domeflication is that of dogs and of beafts of burden. These are trained up to be the fervants or companions of man; and their natural qualities are all directed to this purpose. They live a life of perpetual constraint.

To inftinct is fubfituted habit ; to native wants and defires, the will of a mafter. Their food, their lodging, their exercife, the propagation of their fpecies, are all fubject to artificial rules. By thefe, variations in fize, shape, colour, and facultics of all kinds, are carried to their utmost extent. But in order to keep up to any given flandard, a continued attention and fuperintendence is necefiary ; for all these acquired variations are merely individual, or at least temporary, and the fpecies has a perpetual tendency to relapfe to its natural model. From this principle, which I believe is univerfal, it appears an error to affign a remote domeffication of progenitors, as the caufe of fubfifling varieties in wild animals; as it is Ekewife probably an error to impute any confiderable alterations to the very imperfect domesticity in the ftages first deferihed.

No writer in Natural Hiftory dwells fo much as Buffon on the manners, and what may be called the moral character of animals. These speculations are extremely curious and entertaining; though you will readily conceive that in a writer of a warm imagination and lively feelings they will be very apt to become fanciful and delufory. Those of Buffon will probably often appear to you to deferve this character; though on the other hand it must be acknowledged in their favour, that his perfonal observations have in many inflances been conducted with the most patient and minute attentions; and certainly very few writers have poffeffed equal advantages with himfelf. He warns his readers against falling into the mislake of attributing to animals the passions and fentiments of men; yet I cannot fay, that he always avoids it himfelf. On the whole, Buffon is an author whom all may read with pleasure, but whom none but the informed and judicious can read with unmixed improvement.

Farewel!

(108)

LETTER XV.

ON ORNAMENTAL GARDENING,

DEAR SON,

IN one of my former letters I hinted a future application of the confiderations on nature and art and the love of novelty, to another of the fine arts; and I mean now to perform my promife in fome remarks on *Ornamental Gardening*.

There is nothing in which the English taske more triumphs, than in the change it has effected in the whole fystem of this art; a change which for more than half a century has been gradually taking place, and may now be faid in this country to be complete. This confists in entirely banishing almost every thing which conflituted the artifice and contrivance of ancient gardening, and in their ftead fubflituting a plan of embellished nature, imitative of the fcenery of real landscape, and of which the fundamental law is to exclude every appearance of regularity. You have feen, I doubt not with pleafure and admiration, fome of the

fineft creations of this kind. To you they had all the graces of novelty; and viewing them as a tranfient fpectator, without the comparison of a different model in your mind, you have perhaps implicitly admitted the principles on which the new fystem has obtained to universal a preference to the old. Yet, on reflection, you will readily perceive the great share fashion must have had in fuch a general alteration of tafte; and you may be inclined to examine the matter a little more clofely, not for the purpose of knowing whether you ought to have been pleafed with what you faw-for we ought always to be pleafed when we innocently can -but whether fomething very different might not pleafe as much, or more. Let us then enter upon a difquifition of this kind.

The effential idea of a garden, as it has exifted in all ages and countries, is that of a place, where, by the aid of culture, vegetable productions may be reared, more excellent in kind, and more pleafing in diffribution, than the ordinary growth of nature. Even in the moft genial climates, it was found that flowers and fruits would be much improved by care and felection; that a number of the fineft plants, greatly beyond the natural variety of any diffrict, might be accumulated in one fpot, and cleared of all mixture with the noxious and unfightly; while by fome artifice of arrangement, they might be prefented with more advantage to the eye, and formed into pleafing fpectacles of novelty. In hot countries, the delicious luxury of cooling fhades and perpetual verdure might be enjoyed to far greater perfection in regular walks, beneath trees felected for beauty and fragrance, and bordered by rills which the hand of art had directed, than in the wild foreft, entangled with brakes, and rendered impaffable by moraffes. In cold and changeable climates, the fhelter of walls and hedges was abfolutely requifite for the prefervation of delicate vegetables, and during a confiderable part of the year was agreeable to the perfon who wifhed to furvey their beauties.

No pleafure derived from art has been fo univerfal as that taken in gardens. This, in the first place, was owing to the union of fimple gratifications they afforded; not fewer than four of the fenfes, the tafte, fmell, fight, and feeling, being most agreeably affected by horticulture. And if the refinements of ornamental gardening have excluded the objects of the first of these, it has been only to enjoy the reft in a more exquifite degree. For a garden, therefore, to be fragrant, gay, and refrefning, is as effential, as for a houfe to afford fhelter against the inclemency of the feafons. But the combination of different pleafing forms into groups and compositions of novelty and beauty, is what has given the art of gardening a place among the finer inventions of genius. And in judging of the different ftyles of ornamental gardening, we are to endeavour to difcover the principles beft adapted to produce happy effects of this kind.

Formerly, the pleafure-garden was always confidered as an appendage to the houfe ; its plan and decorations were therefore a fubordinate branch of architedure. That it should have been fo regarded, was very natural. To enjoy the pleafures of a garden to advantage, it was neceffary that they fhould be near. Its fragrance was received into the apartments of the house; its walks invited even the indolent to faunter in the fun or repose under the fhade; and its gay forms and colours feafted the eye with variety of beauty within the fphere of diftinst vision. Its flights of steps, walls, porticos, and terraces, gave the architect an opportunity of gradually letting down the maffy height of his main edifice, and fhading off ftone into verdure. That fomething of this kind is wanted by the eye, will, I think, be acknowledged by every unprejudiced obferver at the first view of a modern manfion, rifing unfultaided from the midit of a naked lawn. Thus regularity was a fundamental idea in planning a garden; and inftead of any endeavour to make it refemble a natural scene, every contrivance was used to produce artificial effects with the materials of nature. I can fcarcely admit, however, that the leading principle of the art was,

To form with verdure what the builder form'd With fione;

K 2

for although trees cut into fhapes, and hedges fashioned like walls, have occasionally been introduced as objects of vulgar admiration, yet better tafte has rather aimed at producing novelties more confonant to the effential character of garden fcenery. Of fome of thefe, nature herfelf may be faid to have afforded the rude fketch. Thus, a woodbine running from tree to tree, and encircling the tops of bushes, formed a fort of flowering canopy, which agreeably fheltered the wandercr from fun and fhower. Art caught the idea, and fashioned an arbour or ireillage, the regular framework of which directed the rambling fprays to weave an impenetrable covering, at the fame time commodious and free. Thus, the velvet carpeting of the turfy down, pleafing to the eye and foft to the feet, was transferred to the "dry fmoothfhaven green." The advantageous elevation of the rifing bank, was copied in a terrace. The shady walk between lofty trees in a natural wood, was improved into the ftraight clear avenue; and the cafual arcades of intertwifted thickets, fuggefted the close walk overarched by bending hazels. Walks of gravel or grafs, laid down by line and rule, interfecting flower-beds and fhrubberies of regular and perhaps fanciful forms, not only correfponded with the general regularity of the outline by which the garden was bounded, but amufed by perspective effects. Water spouted up in a jet d'eau was a novelty, and certainly a very elegant

one. The bafon and long canal gave new ideas of liquid extension. Ornamental buildings, ftatues, urns, and vafes, intermixed with scenes of verdure and folitude, pleafed by the contrast they afforded to similar works of art in the ftreets and squares of a city. A beautiful plant shooting from the midst of rich carving, over which it threw its easy foliage, had surely as good a right to admiration, as the imitation of it in a Corinthian capital.

Thefe, and a variety of other inventions which composed the enchanted gardens of France and Italy, produced in a high degree the general refult of furprife. The garden was as much a creation of art, as the palace to which it belonged ; and in both, after the purpofes of utility were answered (by which, in the garden, I mean the fimple gratifications of the fenfes afforded by the cultivation of vegetables) the remainder was addreffed to the love of novelty. And as it is the characteristic of nature in all her works, to fhun regularity, fo when art attempted to produce novelty, regularity of disposition was the first thing thought of. The fame difference that exifts between the rocky cave or woodland fhed, and an edifice of flone or timber, was conceived to diffinguish the flowery meadow or thicket, from the cultured garden. This idea was fo obvious, that I think it wants no defence; but we are now to confider whether the late refinement of banifning all regularity, and employing art only to produce a copy of beautiful

K 3

nature, be capable of yielding, on the whole, 2 greater degree of pleafure.

As an objection to the old ftyle it has been made a kind of universal maxim, " That the appearance of art always difgufts ;" but I do not difcover upon what principle this is founded. The footfleps of art, indicate invention, industry, order-they are the footfleps of man. In moft works of the artift they cannot be concealed; and the very endeavour to conceal them is fuch an exertion of art as must discover itself. If then, it is intended by the contrivances of modern gardening to delude the fpectator with an idea that the fcenes he beholds are really natural, it is certain that the attempt will not fucceed. Nor, indeed, can the owner of the coftly and laboured plan ever wifh it to fucceed. The pride of art and of opulence will not fuffer this wifh. Yet many of the rules of tafte feem to have no other foundation than to fofter fuch an illufion. When the Poet of the English Garden thinks it necessary to give a long receipt in verse how to make green paint, for the purpose of rendering invisible the rails which are to feparate the pasture from the lawn, we may be permitted to regret that either the poet or the painter fould employ their art on an object fo trivial. I am fenfible, indeed, that in this cafe pride finds a gratification from an artifice which is to deceive the fpectator into a belief, that the extent of its poffeffions are only terminated by the

114

diftant horizon. This is with many the true interpretation of the precept, to "call in the country"—to make it pass for their own.

But we will quit the deceptions of modern gar. dening, and fairly compare it with the ancient, with refpect to the beauties they are both capable of producing. The free graces of nature, it is faid, and with juffice, yield a perpetual fund of variety; while the regularity of art cannot avoid a conftant tendency to a tirefome uniformity. Whatever, therefore, there be of novelty in the fingular scenery of an artificial garden, it is soon exhausted; whereas the infinite diversity of a natural landscape presents an inexhaustible store of new forms. It is added, that the forms of nature are intrinfically more beautiful than those of art; that the flowing ftrokes of the former, compared with the ftraight lines and fnarp angles of the latter, constitute the effential distinction between grace and ftiffnefs. Even moral ideas are brought in to decide the preference ; and a take for nature is faid to be equivalent to a love of liberty and truth ; while the votaries of art are pronounced flaves to formality and conftraint. As I think there are few more impaffioned admirers of nature in all her forms than myfelf, I will venture to refer to my own feelings on the occasion. These inform me, that the pleafures to be derived from the various fcenery of a fine country, are, indeed, faperior to any which art can beflow. Architecture, painting, gardening, all fink to toys before them. But the comparison is not between a landscape and a garden, but between one style of gardening and another; and conceiving myfelf to refide in the midft of natural beauties, which I may not at all times be able or difpofed to enjoy, I confider what *fupplemental* pleafures can beft fill up the vacancy. In this view, a garden, connected with the house, lying directly beneath the eye, prefenting forms novel from their regularity, and rich in artificial ornament, offering choice of fun and shade, of warmth and coolnefs, as the feafon may require, and gradually fubfiding into the uncultured wildness of nature-does in reality feem preferable to an imitation of those very fcenes with which I fuppofe myfelf already fatiated. This imitation, if it be in a large ftyle, is indeed the thing itself. To roll a river through a new channel, to fpread out a lake, raife mountains, fcoop out vales, and plant forefts, is to create a country-a noble effort, certainly, in those who have compass and fortune fufficient for the purpofe, and who inhabit a diffrict fcantily provided with natural charms. But this, in my idea, is a flight beyond gardening; and if attempted in the limits of a few acres, produces only laboured littlenefs. The tumbling rills of the Leafowes were fuch miniature cafcades, that they appeared more like flage fcenery than objects of romantic nature. And the level lagun formed out of three or four

116

pafture fields, and dotted with clumps of half a dozen dwarfifh trees, while it is perfectly efficacious in communicating to a houfe the cold comfortlefs fenfation of unfheltered nakednefs, can excite no image of the grandeur of a wide expanded plain.

I should perhaps venture to fuggest an union of fome kind between the two tastes, were I not deterred by the decisive fentence of the *Poet*, who pronounces them absolutely irreconcileable; and in confequence, though with manifest reluctance, dooms to destruction the venerable avenue of oaks which may have heard the strains

Of Sidney's, nay, perchance of Surry's reed, Heav'ns! muft they fall? They muft, their doom is paft.

And why ?—Becaufe nature abhors a flraight line even more than fhe formerly did a vacuum. And this, too, is the dictate of the bard who has transplanted the unnatural Greek chorus into the English drama !

With fome indignation, but more pleafure, I turn to another Poet, and eminently a poet of nature too, who has confecrated this noble production of united art and nature in verfes which, I dare predict, will outlive the fentence of its deftruction.

How airy and how light the graceful arch, Yet awfal as the confectated roof Re-echoing pious anthems ! while beneath The chequer'd earth feems reftlefs as a flood Brufh'd by the wind. So fportive is the light Shot thro' the boughs, it dances as they dance, Shadow and funfhine intermingling quick, And dark'ning and enlight'ning, as the leaves Play wanton, every moment, every fpot. COWPER'S TASK.

I cannot conclude my long letter more happily; fo

Adieu!

(119)

LETTER XVI.

ON POPE'S ESSAY ON CRITICISM.

DEAR SON,

THOUGH it is for the most part a poor employment to endeavour to point out faults in a performance of reputation, and to diminish the admiration with which it has usually been regarded, yet as far as inculcating the true principles of literature is of any confequence, it is important occafionally to difcufs the merits of those works on which the public tafte is chiefly formed. And this is peculiarly just and proper with refpect to fuch pieces as are themfelves critical, and written with the profefied intention of eftablishing rules for compofing and judging. Among works of this kind, few are more diffinguished than Pope's Eliay on Criticifm. If the circumstance of its being written in verfe has, on the one hand, impaired its authority, on the other, it has ferved to make it more read, and to fix its maxims more thoroughly in the memory. In fact, few pieces are more referred to in the way of quotation; and after the high praifes it has received from fuch names as Warburton, Johnfon, and Warton, its influence upon the opinions of writers and readers cannot be fuppofed inconfiderable. Such commendations, indeed, render it a hazardous tafk to call in queftion its merits. But my experience of men and books has not ferved to augment my confidence in great names; and if I can give good reafons for the objections I shall make, I fear not that you will regard my attempt as prefumptuous.

Dr. Warburton, at the close of his Commentary on this Effay, ftrongly calls it to the reader's recollection, that its author had not attained his twentieth year. This view of it as a juvenile performauce is a very proper one. It may justly excite our admiration of the early display of poetical powers it exhibits, and should fuggest every indulgence of candour to its defects; but it should make us helitate in attributing to it that comprehendion of view and accuracy of conception, which were by no means the most firiking qualities of the author in the full maturity of his powers. It does not belong to my purpose to point out the imperfections with which it abounds as a mere poetical competition, What I have to do with, are the falle thoughts and vicious principles, which render it a very unfafe guide in matters of tafte, notwitinitanding the large admixture of maxims

founded on good fenfe, and expressed with the utmost brilliancy of language.

With refpect to the *method* of the piece, as far as it really poffeffes a method not forcibly held together by the commentator's chain, it may be affirmed, that the arrangement of matter is fimple and natural, but not very clofely adhered to. Many of the rules and remarks are brought in with little connexion with what preceded, and apparently might be transported without injury. And after all Warburton has done for Pope, and his difeiple for Horace, it is certain that the reader of each poet will fcarcely, without a previous clue, become fensible of more than a fet of detached maxims, connected only by the general fubject.

Pope begins with an affertion which, if true, would render his work of very confined utility, namely, that critics, as well as poets, must be born fuch.

Both must alike from heav'n derive their light, These born to judge, as well as those to write.

And he further limits the profession of criticism, by requiring that both talents should be united in the fame perfon.

Let fuch teach others who themfelves excel, And cenfure freely who have written well.

But furely both these are very false notions; for nothing feems to be more a matter of acquirement than the habit of judging accurately on works of art; and this habit appears from innumerable infrances to be perfectly diffinct from the faculty of practifing the arts. Indeed they have much oftener exifted feparate than combined.

Thus in the foul while Memory prevails, The folid power of Underftanding fails; Where beams of warm imagination play, The Memory's foft figures melt away.

The beauty of imagery in these lines, should not make us blind to the want of justness in the thought. To reprefent ftrength of memory as incompatible with folidity of underftanding, is fo obvioufly contrary to fact, that I prefume the author had in his eye only the cafe of extraordinary memory for names, dates, and things which offer no ideas to the mind; which has, indeed, been often difplayed in great perfection by mere idicts. For, it is difficult to conceive how the faculty of judgment, which confifts in the comparison of different ideas, can at all be exercifed without the power of floring up ideas in the mind, and calling them forth when required. From the fecend couplet, apparently meant to be the converse of the f.rft, one would fuppofe that he confidered the understanding and the imagination as the fame faculty, elfe the counterpart is defective. Further, fo far is it from being true, that imagination obliterates the figures of memory, that the circumflance which

122

caufes a thing to be remembered is principally its being affociated with other ideas by the agency of the imagination. If the poet only meant, that those ideas about which imagination is occupied, are apt to exclude ideas of a different kind, the remark is true; but it should have been differently expressed.

One Science only will one Genius fit.

The maxim is as faile, as it is difcouraging, and derogatory from the powers of the human mind. It is, perhaps, generally true, that the genius is exclusively fitted for attaining excellence in one of the great classes of mental acquisitions, as feience, art, invention, &c. but he who can make himfelf master of one *feience* properly fo called, may commonly with equal application attain any other.

First follow Nature.

This trite rule can be of little use without being opened and exemplified. It is perfectly obvious, that in all the arts which are imitative or deforiptive of nature, she must be the archetype; but the proper manner of fludying nature, and transferring its images to each particular species of the works of art, variously combined, contrasted, and perhaps heightened and altered, is the great defideratum on which their true theory and practice is founded. We shall foon fee, that Pope cuts short all difeusions of this kind, by reducing his general It 2 precept to the fingle practical direction, Imitate the ancients.

When first young Maro, &c.

That Virgil, not only in his general plan, but in most of the fubordinate parts, was a close copyist of Homer, is undeniable, whatever be thought of the supposition that he set out with a design of drawing from the fources of nature, and was diverted from it by the difcovery that " Mature and Homer were the fame." The modern idolatry of Shakespear has elevated him to the same degree of authority among us; and critics have not been wanting, who have confidently drawn from his characters the proofs and illustrations of their theories on the human mind. But what can be more unworthy of the true critic and philosopher, than fuch an implicit reliance on any man, how exalted foever his genius, especially on those who lived in the infancy of their art ? If an epic poem be a reprefentation of nature in a course of heroie action, it must be fusceptible of as much variety as nature herfelf; and furely it is more defirable that a poet of original genius fhould give full fcope to his inventive powers, under the reftrictions of fuch laws only as are founded on nature, than that he should fetter himself with rules derived from the practice of a predeceffor. When Pope praifes the ancient rules for composition on the ground that they were " difeovered not devisid," and were

524

only "nature methodized," he gives a just notion of what they *ought* to be. But when he fuppofes Virgil to have been properly "checked in his bold defign of drawing from Nature's fountains," and in confequence to have confined his work within rules as flrict

As if the Stagyrite o'erlook'd each line,

how can he avoid the force of his own ridicule, where a little further in this very piece, he laughs at Dennis for

Concluding all were defperate fots and fools Who durft depart from Ariftotle's rules?

Such are the inconfistencies of a writer who fometimes utters notions derived from reading and education, fometimes the fuggestions of native good fense !

Some beauties yet no precepts can declare, For there's a happinefs as well as care.

If the meaning of the writer here is only, that rules will not ftand inftead of genius, and that a poet's greateft beauties are rather the refult of a happy flow of fancy, then the careful purfuit of precepts, the truth of the remark is indifputable. But if, applying to the critic, he means to tell him that certain poetical beauties are irreducible to rational principles, and only to be referred to *luck*, *chance*, a *brave diforder*, and fuch other unmeaning nctions, we may affert that he was indeed young in the *philofophy of criticifm*. He appears, however, to have been in the right train, when he fays, that where the *lucky licence* anfwers its purpole,

------ that Licence is a rule ;

but he confufes all again by the often-quoted maxim,

Great Wits fometimes may glorioufly offend,

And r.fe to faults true Critics dare not mend.

for he fought rather to have concluded, that fuch fuccessful deviations from common practice are not faalts; and that the true critic fhould enlarge his rules to the comprehension of thefe real, though unufual, excellencies. So much, indeed, does he perplex himself between veneration for ancient rules, and regard to the practice of eminent poets, that the whole passage is full of contradictions, which cost his commentator much fruitless pains to reconcile, and oblige him to take shelter in a comparison between the sublimities of poetry, and the mysteries of religion, "fome of which are above reason, and fome contrary to it."

Pope goes on to obferve, that though the ancients may make thus free with their own rules, yet that modern writers flould copy this inclulgence with caution, and not without " their precedent to plead." On the contrary, a liberal mole of reafoning would allow more freedom to the moderns, who poffers fuch flores of new ideas, to deviate from ancient rules, than to the ancients who made and acknowledged them.

Those oft are firatagems which errors feem, Nor is it Homer nods, but we that dream.

Either Steele or Addifon, in one of his periodical papers, humouroufly defires his reader, when he finds him dull, to fuppofe he has a defign in it. This doctrine is here ferioufly inculcated with refpect to *the ancients*; but its abfurdity is fo manifeft, that we may regard it only as the lively fally of a young author who was fond of faying finart things, without being folicitous about their truth. A judicious poet may defignedly *under-write* fome parts of a long work, or, rather, he will find it impofible to be every where equally brilliant, but he will never with defign write what is childifh and infipid, if he thinks it to be fuch.

Hail Bards triumphant, born in happier days !

This noble eulogy on the poets of antiquity is not to be admitted without many exceptions and limitations; efpecially if it is meant to extend to all that unequal and motley affemblage of writers known by the ticle of *the claffes*. Of thefe, many are valued and read merely becaufe they are ancients; and even the moft excellent afford fufficient feope for manly criticifm, which can never arrive at folidity of principles, if it is obliged to regard the negligences and defects of great writers with filent reverence.

True Wit is Nature to advantage drefs'd, What oft was thought, but ne'er fo well exprefs'd; Something, whofe truth convinc'd at fight we find, That gives us back the image of our mind.

The poet in cenfuring the narrow and partial tafles of fome critics, begins with that for conceit, or a glitter of dazzling thoughts rifing one after another without meaning and connexion. This is, falle wit ; as a contraft to which, he gives a definition of the true, in the preceding lines. But he has evidently, by this purpole of contraiting the two kinds, been led to a defcription which exhibits none of the peculiar features of wit, as other writers have reprefented it, or as he himfelf ufually understands it. By this definition, any just moral fentiment, any exact picture of a natural object, if clothed in good expression, would be wit. Its teft being an agreement with images previoufly existing in our own minds, no other quality is requifite to it but truth. Even uncommonnels is not taken into the character; for we must often have thought it, and be able to recognize it at fight. Nor has he given any diffinct idea of that advantageous drefs which makes a natural thought witty. No drefs can fuit fome thoughts fo well as the most fimple. Exalted featiments of the heart, and

POPE'S ESSAY ON CRITICISM. 129

fublime objects in nature, generally ftrike moft when prefented in language the leaft ftudied. Indeed, he ufes, within a few lines, the very fame metaphor of drefs, in exposing the finical tafte of those who value a work for the ftyle rather than the fense; and the fact certainly is, that the most confessed witty writers have often been little folicitous as to the manner of expressing their notions.

Pope evidently entertains a different conception of wit from that of the definition above quoted, in the lines immediately following.

As fhades more fweetly recommend the light, So modeft plainnefs fets off fprightly wit, For works may have more wit than does them good, As bodies perifh thro' excefs of blood.

Now, "modeft plainnefs" is no foil or contraft to wit as characterized in the definition, becaufe it may be the moft "advantageous drefs" for a thought. Again, that wit which may fuperabound in a work, muft be a different thing from "natural imagery joined to good exprefilen," for in thofe, what danger can there be of excefs? He was certainly now recurring in his mind to thofe brilliant flafhes, which, though often introduced with falfe judgment, are not, however, falfe wit.

The two characters of *bad critic* and *bad poet* are grofsly confounded in the paffage relating to poetical numbers; for though it be true, that vulgar readers of poetry are chiefly attentive to the melody of the verfe, yet it is not they who admire, but the faliry verfifier, who employs monotonous fyllables, feeble expletives, and a dull routine of unvaried rhymes. Again, an ordinary ear is capable of perceiving the beauty arising from the found being made an echo to the fenfe—indeed it is one of the most obvious beauties in poetry—but it is no eafy task for the poet to fucceed in his attempts to render it fo, as Pope has fufficiently proved by the miserable failure of fome of his examples in illustration of the precept.

The pow'r of music a'l our hearts allow, And what Timotheus was, is Dryden now.

Mufic, properly fo called, and the melody refulting from verification, are things radically different in their nature and principles, though perpetually confounded in the figurative language of poets and writers on polite literature. Nor, indeed, do we poffefs terms by which these two kinds of pleasing found can well be feparately deferibed. The names and characters, however, of peet and mufician, are fufficiently diferiminated ; and Pope has committed a grofs error in confounding them in the prefent inftance. There is no refemblance between the manner in which Alexander was affected by the music of Timotheus, and that in which we are affected by the poetry of Dryden deferiptive of that event. The first was, as story relates, an instance of the powers of pure found, skilfully

modulated and changed. The latter is a most animated picture of fucceffive difplays of paffion ; and much more refembles the effect of a history-painting, than of a piece of mulic. The mere verlification is a very inferior point in Dryden's Ode, though it is a principal one in Pope's rival Ode on St. Cecilia's day. Alexander's Feaft fet to Handel's mufic may, indeed, be paralleled to the performance of the Grecian; but then Handel, and not Dryden, is the modern Timotheus. It is ludicrous enough, that Pope's comparison of ryden to a harper, should come fo near to the idea formed of Pope himfelf by a crowned head, who is reported, on hearing the poet greatly extolled in his prefence, with a view of attracting his notice, to have asked, if Mr. Pope were a fiddler.

Fools admire, but men of fenfe approve.

This prudifi fentence has probably made as many formal coxcombs in literature, as Lord Chefterfield's opinion on the vulgarity of laughter, has among men of high breeding. As a general maxim, it has no foundation whatever in truth. Pronenefs to admiration is a quality rather of temper than of underftanding; and if it often attends light minds, it is also infeparable from that warmth of imagination which is requifite for the ftrong perception of what is excellent in art and nature. Innumerable inflances might be produced of the rapturous admiration with which men of genius have been firuck at the view of great performances. It is enough here to mention the poet's favourite critic, Longinus, who is far from being contented with cool approbation, but gives free fcope to the most enraptured praife. Few things indicate a mind more unfavourably conflicted for the fine arts, than a flownefs in being moved to the admiration of excellence; and it is certainly better that this paffion fhould at first be excited by objects rather inadequate, than that it fhould not be excited at all.

After properly exhorting his critic to candour and good-nature, the poet is, however, indulgent enough to point out fome topics on which he may be as four and fevere as he pleafes. The first fault given up to his rage is *Olfcenity*; and doubtlefs, if the critic think it worth his while to direct his formidable artillery against fuch an obvious violation of propriety, no friend of virtue and decorum will reftrain him. It was not, however, perfectly decent in Pope to express fuch a rigid zeal on this fubject, when feveral of his own juvenile pieces, ftill preferved in all editions of his work, are by no means free from the blemifh he fligmatizes.

The next devoted crime is *Impicty*. Now, a perfon may be very converfant with the rules of poetical criticifm, without being able exactly to determine on the validity of a charge of impicty; and there is reafon to fulpect that our young lawgiver was himfelf in this cafe. He fays,

132

The following licence of a foreign reign Did al. the dregs of bold Socinus drain; Then unbelieving Priefts reform'd the nation, And taught more pleafant methods of falvation.

Socinian is a very potent term of abufe, and has, at various periods, been applied with fingular advantage by those who wished to render their antagonifts odious; yet the religion Socinus profeffed will bear comparison, in point of fervency and purity, with that of the most faintly names upon record. As to the "more pleafant methods of falvation," we are told by the right reverend annotator (a much better authority on this fubject than the poet) that they were the daties of Christian morality, which fucceeded the doctrines of grace and fatisfaction held in the preceding age. Now, that thefe new divines offered falvation upon eafier terms than their predeceffors, by fubflituting practice to belief, and a man's own efforts to vicarious fatisfaction, is not a very obvious fact; nor is it a neceffary confequence of fuch tenets, that " vice should find a flatterer in the pulpit." " Such Monfters," whatever the poet might think, are not to be fubdued by the thunders of belles-lettres critics, but by the adamantine weapons of found argument.

Here I close my remarks on this performance. It would be no difficult task to adduce from it many more instances of shallow judgment on books and things, either incidentally mentioned, or defigned as exemplifications of his rules; but my purpofe was to fhew you how little it deferves the high effimation in which it has been held as a didactic work. This, I truft, has fufficiently appeared, from the vague and inconfequent manner of thinking on fundamental points, difplayed in the cited paffages. The character of a confummate critic at twenty is what Pope may well refign, and ftill retain enough of juft reputation to place him in the most confpicuous rank of English literature.

Farewel!

134

LETTER XVII.

ON THE ANALOGY BETWEEN MENTAL AND BODILY DISEASE.

DEAR SON,

T has been afferted, that every man's way of thinking takes a tinge from his profession or manner of life. Of the truth of this remark I am perfonally fenfible, from the habit I have formed of applying medical ideas to moral fubjects. It is, indeed, nothing new to regard all mental vices and defects as fo many difeases of that part of our frame; and moralifts of all ages have been fond of running comparisons between maladies of the body and the mind. Yet I cannot but think, that fonethiag still remains to be done in the practical application of the doctrine; and that it is of importance, both with respect to the fuccessful treatment of mental difeafes, and to the prefervation of our tranquility under a view of the evils of life, that this refemblaace foould be ftrongly imprefied on our thoughts.

One confequence would undoubtedly be the refult ; that we should not expect to cure these diforders by triffing and cafual remedies, but fhould fix our confidence folely on fome vigorous plan, confifting in the refolute application of opposites, upon the medical maxim, contraria, contrariorum effe remedia. It is the want of power or refolution to put in practice this grand principle of the healing art, that renders moral diftempers in general fo inveterate. What can be relied upon to oppose ftrong natural inclination, conftant example, and confirmed habit, but fome agent equally powerful, which shall, not in the way of perfuasion, but by coercive force, be employed to draw over the mind to a contrary ftate of feeling ? Where this can be put in practice, there is no cafe of moral depravity fo desperate as to be without the hope, nay, perhaps, without the certainty, of a cure ; where it cannot, the flightest vitiation is hardly to be removed. It is not without experience that I fpeak in this matter. More than once has it happened to me to be confulted as a friend on occasion of the difcovery of very ruinous tendencies in young perfons. In these instances, diffuading all petty expedients, I recommended fuch a total change of external circumftances, as would of necessity induce as complete a change of views and habits ;---and the event juftified my advice. That this was a right method, was, indeed, fufficiently obvious; but it might not be fo obvious that it was the only

right one; at leaft, parental indulgence is frequently glad to shelter itself under the plausibility of fome lefs decifive mode of proceeding. But to one who has a just notion of the operation of motives upon the mind, it will be very apparent, that as long as those which are induced for the purpose of remedy continue inferior in force to those which nourish the difease, no benefit whatever can be expected from their application. Actions which we would avert will either be done, or not be done. They will infallibly be done, if the motives for them preponderate; they will not be done, if the contrary takes place. There is no medium; and fuch is the power of habit, that every inftance either of yielding or of refifting, favours a fimilar termination when the trial next occurs. Whence may be demonstratively shewn the weakness of expecting any advantage from the mere repetition of efforts that have already proved unavailing.

You are better acquainted than myfelf with the fcholastic controversies concerning liberty and necessity. I frequently hear them called mere logomachies, and such I am inclined to suppose they are, when carried to their utmost degree of abstraction. But that they are not entirely without practical effects upon common minds, I am from observation convinced; and in particular, I have no doubt that the tendency of the popular notions concerning man's free agency, is to infpire 'too much considence in the efficacy of the feebler aids

M 3

to morality, fuch as precept and argumentation. By those who entertain exalted ideas of the felfdetermining power of the foul, it is readily conceived, that placing before it an irrefragable fyllogifm in favour of virtue can fearcely fail to enable it to refift all the allurements of vice. But the poet could long ago pronounce, "Video meliora, proboque, deteriora fequor;" the true interpretation of which is, that conviction of the underflanding is not the flrongeft motive that can be prefented to the human mind.

A perfon cannot have furveyed mankind with an attentive eye, without perceiving in many cafes fuch an irrefiftible feries of caufes operating in the formation of character, as must convince him of the actual exiftence of a moral necessity ;- that is, of fuch an overbearing prepellency of motives tending to one point, that in no one inftant of a man's life could he be fuppofed capable of a courfe of action different from that he has really adopted. Purfue an individual belonging to any one of the flrongly-marked claffes of fociety from the cradle to the grave, and fee if the process of fixing his character has not been as regular and unalterable as that of his bodily conflitution. Take one of those, too frequent in this great metropolis, who may be faid to be fuckled with vice and infamy, the breed of a profitute and housebreaker, born , and educated in the precincts of St. Giles's. With the first use of language he learns blafphemy

and obfcenity; his little hands are practifed in picking pockets, and his infant understanding in framing tricks and falfehoods. His early pleafures are dram drinking and debauchery of every fpecies; and when not roufed by appetite or compulsion, he paffes away the time in the flupidity of floth. He fees nothing before him but acts of rapine, cruelty, and brutality. Chaftifements teach him craft, and inflame his paffion for mifchief. Not only the duties of religion and the obligations of virtue are things utterly beyond his comprehension, but he is a perfect stranger to all the comforts of decent life. Thus by the all-powerful force of education and habit, he is formed into the character of a ferocious beaft ; certain to end his life by violence, if it be not fooner cut off by the confequences of intemperance.

This, it will be faid, is an extreme cafe; but even in the opposite rank of fociety, among those who, as we commonly fay, may live as they like, inflances may be found of equal fubjugation to the law of neceffity. Take the heir to a large entailed effate, brought up while a child in a house diffinguined for riotous luxury and irregularity. Let him be nurfed in ideas of felf-confequence, flattered by obfequious fervants, and indulged in every caprice of appetite and paffion by weak or negligent parents. Transfer him to a public fehool, with a large allowance of pocket-money; and thence, when rifing to manhood, to fome genteel college in an university. Then fend him on his travels, accompanied by an ignorant mercenary tutor. Let him make a due flay in every corrupt metropolis in Europe, the refort of his idle countrymen; and finish by *fludying the town* in his own. Laftly, return him with a complete apparatus of guns, horfes and hounds to his native woods, there to refide the uncontroled lord of a herd of tenants and dependants, with no other object in life than to take his pleasure and maintain his hereditary fway. Is it in the nature of things possible that this man should turn out any thing elfe than a lowminded, brutal, tyrannical debauchee ?

The phyfician knows that certain modes of living will infallibly bring on certain difeafes, which will defcend from parents to children, and can never be extirpated as long as the original caufes prevail. The moralist may equally foretel certain vices as the confequence of certain conditions and manners in fociety, which will prove unconquerable while circumstances remain the fame. The morbid tendency in both cafes is too ftrong to be counteracted by common remedies. Nothing but a total change of habit, effected by means equally powerful and long-continued with those which bred the malady, can work a cure. To establish fuch an alterative plan has been the zim of all the great reformers of mankind. It was that, you know, of our most revered friend, Mr. Howard, who was fully fendble what a combination of corrective

powers was neceffary to produce any confiderable and lafting effects upon perfons long hardened by criminal courfes. But fuch coercive methods can only, in the common flate of things, be applied to those who have made themselves the objects of legal punishment. For the reformation of a whole people, and especially of the higher classes, nothing can be relied upon but one of those grand remedial proceffes, which are probably within the moral plan of Providence. Nations whom a long course of profperity has rendered vain, arrogant, and luxurious, in whom increasing opulence has generated increafed wants and defires, for the gratification of which all barriers of honour and juffice are broken down, who are arrived at that ftate in which, according to the energetic expression of the Roman historian, they can neither bear their vices nor the remedies of them ;-are only to be brought back to a right fenfe of things by fome fignal cataftrophe, which shall change the whole form of their affairs, and oblige them to fet out afresh, as it were, in the world. A conviction that fuch events are neceffary, and that they are kindly intended as remedies of greater evils than they immediately occasion, is the only confideration that can tranquilife the heart of a benevolent man who lives in a period when these awful operations are in a peculiar manner carrying on.* It may reconcile him

* Solet neri. Hoc parum eft : debuit fieri. Docernuntur ilta, non accidunt.

SENEC. Epift.

to the various delays and fluctuations in the progrefs towards a final event which he cannot but ardently defire. It may convince him that *nothing* is loft; that no evils are without their correfpondent benefits; and that when he wifnes for a fpeedy fettlement of things by the quiet operation of reafon, without any of the harfh methods by which flubborn vices are to be forcibly cradicated, he wifnes for an impracticability as great, as the furgeon who would hope to cure an inveterate cancer without the knife or the cauftic.

Thefe are times, my Son, in which reflections of this kind are particularly feafonable. You are capable of giving them their due force; and even fhould you find yourfelf totally miftaken in your expectations as to the refult of fuppofed remedial proceffes, you are provided with principles which will enable you to acquiefce in the humble confidence that, however diftant, the time will come, when all evils both natural and moral shall receive their final cure.

(143)

LETTER XVIII.

ON SPLEEN AND LOW SPIRITS.

DO not be alarmed, my dear Son, at the fubject of my prefent letter. It is not becaufe I have obferved in you any indications of a tendency to *low fpirits* that I make them my topic, but becaufe I know them to be the malady that moft eafily befets perfons of a literary turn and fedentary profeffion. And however youth and variety of purfuit may at prefent fecure you againft their attacks, the time will probably come, when it will require fome effort on your part to refift an enemy, whofe affaults become continually more and more pertinacious, with lefs and lefs power to repel them.

So general, indeed, is the evil of low fpirits in certam conditions, that I confider it as the grand leveller of human life—the malignant fpell that renders all the diffinctions of rank, knowledge, and underftanding, almost totally inefficacious in creating those differences of degree in happiness that should feem almost necessarily to refult from them. It is that which makes the fplendid palace and luxurious banquet of the nobleman lefs pleafant to him than his poor hut and coarfe meal to the labourer; —which defeats the well-imagined fchemes of enjoyment from liberal curiofity and literary leifure; —which infufes liftleffnefs and difguft amid the moft fludied refinements of public amufement; —which, in flort, fooner or later, gives convincing proof of the vanity of expecting to live happily by living only to be entertained.

This malady, under the name of Spleen, has been the fubject of a variety of publications, ferious and humourous, moral and medical. Among the reft, it has given title to one of the most original poems in our language, replete with wit, imagery, and obfervation of mankind in an uncommon degree. I need fcarcely tell you that I mean Green's poem of the Spleen. The author feems, like Horace, to have roved through the regions of philosophical fpeculation without any decifive choice, till at length he fettled in a refined and rational epicurifm. His favourite maxim is, to let the world glide by, viewing its shifting scenes as objects of amusement, without being enough interested in any to feel acutely from difappointment. His is the philofophy of good-humoured fpeculative indolence; and if a man wants excufes for fitting ftill and avoiding every caufe of trouble and vexation, he can no where furnish himself with happier quotations. Who has not heard of

Reforming fchemes are none of mine, To mend the world' a vaft defign, Like theirs, who firive in little boat To tug to them the fhip afloat, &c.

The principle of this, that

Zeal when baffled turns to Spleen,

must be ad nitted to have some foundation in fact; and may justly be pleaded against the indulgence of eager withes and extravagant expectation in public projects; yet I cannot but think, on the other hand, that to inculeate indifference to all those objects which are most capable of rousing the foul, and giving employment to its nobleft faculties, is not the best advice for keeping off that liftlefs languor which is the parent of fpleen. In fhort, though the perufal of Mr. Green's poem may prove an effectual remedy for an occasional fit of low fpirits, yet I am of opinion, that the course of amufive fpeculation it fo pleafingly fuggefts, with the vacation from all cares and ducies, public and private, will not anfwer as the general regimen agginft this difeafe of the mind.

Were I to treat medically on this fubject, I fhould lay a very particular firefs upon *temperance* as the grand prophylactic : and I fhould make the word import much more than its ufual fignification. A plentiful dinner every day on a variety of diffues, with a bettle of wine to wafh it down, feems in the common opinion perfectly compatible with a

-1

plan of firict temperance ; and if it be preceded by a regular morning's ride to get a hearty appetite for this dinner, every thing is thought to have been done that men could do for the prefervation of health and fpirits. Let gout and hypochondria come when they will, the mode of living is not to be blamed-the one is hereditary, the other conflitutional. This doctrine may pass for orthodox in the medico-moral cafuiftry of a vifitation or corporation-feaft; but it is neverthelefs indubitably true, that fuch a good liver has no more right to expect equal and unclouded fpirits, than a minister of flate has, an unfpotted reputation and clear confeience. But I shall dwell no longer on this topic, and proceed to that part of the regimen which relates more immediately to the mind.

This refls upon a fimple foundation; for were I alked, upon what circumflance the prevention of low fpirits chiefly depended, I fhould borrow the ancient orator's mode of enforcing the leading principle of his art, and reply, employment, employment, employment! This is the grand panacea for the tedium wite, and all the train of fancied evils, which prove fo much more infrpportable than real ones. It is a medicine that may be prefented in a thoufand forms, all equally efficacious. It may be compounded of all the different proportions of mental and bodily exertion; nay, it may be felely the one or the other, provided it be employment. For I will not befitate to affert, that to have the

146

mind ardently engaged in a purfuit that totally excludes exercise of the body, is much more favourable to the spirits, than a languid mixture of both.

We are apt to pity a perfon occupied by humour or neceffity in a talk which we think dull and tirefome. Our compassion is here misplaced. No talk heartily entered upon can be tirefome, and a bufinofs is always better than an amufoment. I have no doubt that Dr. Johnfon was much happier while compiling his dictionary, than in the luxurious indolence of Streatham. And what but a confeioufnefs of the neceffity of employment to his comfort could have induced him, in the laft years of his melancholy life, to make ferious proposals for a translation of Thuanus? A late translator of Homer, whole admirable original productions have led many to lament that he should have been for employed, has in truly pathetic language taken an affectionate leave of his long work, as the fweet folace of many and many an hour, which by its means was made to glide by uncounted. Aad, I fear, the innate melancholy of genius has rendered him too good a judge of the value of fuch a relief. For anfwering this purpofe, the fpecies of employment must be one which does not Arain the faculties to their highest pitch; for fuch an exertion can be fupported, by common minds, at leaft, only during a fhort proportion of time. A fleady equable occupation, requiring rather care and diligence, than flights of fancy or the powers of in-Nº 2

vention, is the proper *flaple* (if I may fo call it) of a well-employed life.

With refpect to the numerous body of those who may be idle if they pleafe, they will find confiderable difficulty, as well in the choice of proper employment, as in the exertion of refolution enough for the vigorous performance of a fpontaneous tafk. A majority of them will, therefore, be doomed to the intrusions of Spleen, at intervals when neither active pleasure nor bufiness preferves the mind from its attacks. But this is no other than the necessary confequence of fituations of life wholly artificial, and which make no part of the original plan of human nature. They who are ambitious of flations in which there are no dutics to perform, no incitements to exertion, muft net expect to poffefs that conftant cheerfulnefs, which is the folace of toil, and the reward of ufeful activity. Providence certainly never intended to make fuch a difference between creatures of its hand, as that fome fhould live only to enjoy, while others lived only to be the ministres of the enjoyments. Though in an advanced flage of focicty many must be exempted from the fentence of eating their bread in the fweat of their brow, yet it is an immutable decree, that the oil of gladness shall brighten the face of industry alone.

For myfelf and my children, there is no danger left we fhould come to want motives for the regular employment of the faculties beitowed upon us.

148

Let us not murmur at the kind operation of fuch a neceffity. For how much virtue and happinefs are not men indebted to that conflictution of things, which impofes upon them an obligation to act and to refrain !

Farewel !

P. S. Since I wrote this letter, I have been perufing a Difcourfe in which the benefits refulting from employment are confidered with reference to the great fyftem eftablished by the Deity, whereby perfonal and general happines are in fo admirable a manner made to coincide. It is there particularly shewn, how occupation contributes to our happines by inducing a temporary forgetfulness of *felf*; nothing being fo much the bane of enjoyment, as the reference of our actions to the *felfsb* prineiple. This excellent piece, which I cannot too warmly recommend to your attention, is Dr. Priestley's Sermen on the Duty of not living to ourfelves. (150)

LETTER XIX.

ON CONSOLATION.

DEAR SON,

YOUR intended profession refembles mine in this respect, that it is a duty frequently belonging to each, to administer confolation under the fevereft diftrefs human nature can feel-that arifing from the lofs of friends by death. In mine, indeed, the office is rather spontaneous than profeffional; and the houfe of difeafe is generally quitted by the phyfician when it becomes the houfe of mourning. But where attachments of friend. ship have made us fomewhat more to a family than mere fee'd attendants (and no profession fo much favours those attachments) we cannot hurry away from the fcene of affliction. Though our art has failed, our counfel and fympathy may be advantageoufly employed to alleviate human milery; and callous indeed muft his heart be, who is capable of refuling his confolatory aid on the plea, it is not my bulinefs. In fact; few perfons will be found

better acquainted with practical confolation than the medical faculty; and if any experience I may have acquired in this matter can be of fervice to you, to whom it will be truly a professional concern, you will thank me for communicating it.

With refpect to the confolatory views that religion affords, highly as I think of their efficacy, particularly of that derived from the habit of fubmitting to the difpenfations of Providence in full confidence of their kind purpofe, I fhall not at prefent touch upon them. It is unneceffary for me to fuggeft fuch confiderations to you. I shall confine myfelf ftrictly to topics which refer to this world, and to our own powers in fubduing the imprefiions of grief. But as we cannot expect to be fuccefsful in removing effects, without a thorough knowledge of their caufe, it will be neceffary to begin with confidering what is the real caufe of the forrow we feel from the lofs of friends.

I am very far from agreeing with thole who refer all our fympathetic emotions to *felf*. I am *fu* ethat the feelings with which we behold the fufferings of a fellow-creature are generally void of the remoteft reference to our own condition. While, then, a dear friend is lying before us in the agonies of a fevere difeafe, our fympathy is pure; it is directed to him, without any mixture of felfifh confiderations. But when the ftruggle is closed by death, the cafe is entirely changed. If his life was o little confequence to our happinefs, the mind inftantly feels relieved of her burden ; and the tender regret which remains, is rather a foothing than a diffrefsful fenfation. It is thus we feel when the infirmities of a good old age are brought to their period, and when long and hopelefs difeafe, which deftroyed all the ends of living, receives its final cure. But when our deareft interefts were at stake in the life of our friend, the instant of the total extinction of hope, is that of the most exquifite pang of grief. The very rage and ftorm of forrow then rifes ; and the fenfe of loss rushes upon the mind in all the black colouring of defpair. Here it is impoffible not to recognize a felfifb caufe of grief. It may, indeed, be fomewhat tinged with remaining pity for the fufferer : but the great object of pity is felf; and the feeling of deprivation is in fubftance the fame as that proceeding from the lofs of any other worldly comfort. The real meafure, then, of affliction on fuch occafions, is the degree in which the mourner's happiness was dependent on the life of the deceafed; and if we were able exactly to estimate this for another perfon, we might certainly foretel the range of his present and future distress. Such an estimate, however, is difficult to make ; for the fources of enjoyment, and confequently of regret, are fo different to different perfons, that what appears a fanciful and capricious caufe of forrow to one, fhall affect another as fomething the most folid and durable. Yet there muft, on the whole, be a cer-

.152

tain proportion between loffes in the common mode of calculating them, and the pain they occafion; and though in the very first movements of grief this proportion may not appear, we may faddy reckon upon its final operation. A fend mother of a numerous family, whofe infant at the breast is taken from her, may for a short period feel a fenfe of lofs equal to that from losing her husband or eldest fon; because the child was, for the time, the object of her most frequent attentions and careffes. But this state cannot be of long duration. Her happines in its main points was no more dependent upon fuch an infant, than that of a child upon its favourite bird. He weeps bitterly when it is flown, but a new one to-morrow makes him forget it.

The extent of the lofs being therefore the true meafure of the grief refulting from it, the natural and fimple confequence muft be, that all effectual confolation muft fpring from the means offered to the mind for repairing the lofs. As a merchant who has feen his richly-freighted veffel perifh before his eyes, can receive no comfort equal to that of collecting forme wrecks of the treafure driven to land; fo the mourner, deprived of the deareft object of his affections, to whom he looked for the chief folace and pleafure of his life, can only feel relief from the contemplation of forme remaining fource of happinefs, which may afford a fubfitution, refembling in kind, however inferior in degree. The proper office, then, of a friend who

undertakes the arduous talk of confolation, is to difcover and prefent to the view of the fufferer every object from whence a reparation of the lofs may be derived. I am aware, indeed, that in the first movements of generous forrow there is a delicacy of fentiment which fpurns the idea of compromifing its feelings, and regards it as a fort of violation of the dead, to fubmit their value to any cool calculation of utility. It delights in exaggerating every circumftance which heightens the lofs; and prides itfelf, as it were, in regarding it as irreparable. To this " infirmity of noble minds," all due indulgence fhould be fhewn, but without lofing fight of what, after all, is the true principle. The grief being fundamentally felifh, must receive its cure from confiderations which apply to felf; and thefe, however gradually and indirectly, must at length be brought forwards. It is a fortunate circunftance when the commanding language of duty can be made to coincide with the foothing fuggeftions of comfort; for no delicacy can be pleaded against an appeal to duty. The mourner dares not fay or thick, My grief for the deceased absolves me from all the claims of furviving objects whom nature has committed to my care .---- But duty prompts active exertions, which are the fureft prefervatives against the most baneful effects of forrow. Hence fome of those cales which feen of all the most deplorable, are found to be lefs injurious to the mind in their confequences, than others

where the lofs is in appearance lighter. It is feldom that the widowed mother of a large and unprovided family is abfolutely overwhelmed by her calamicy; whereas the wealthy parent deprived of a favourite child frequently finks into the palfying defpair of melancholy.

Let him, then, who aims at administering a confolation beyond the reach of cuftomary forms, begin with putting himfelf as nearly as poffible in the fituation of the afflicted perfon, and fearching out the points on which grief really bears, apply his attention to difcover what will eafe it there. The widower, fitting in gloomy folitude, or looking willfully on a group of children deprived of a mother's cares and tendernefs, wants a companion for his lonely hours, and a helper in parental and domeftic concerns. Let bin, as far as he is able, become that companion; and let him employ his thoughts in finding out friends or relatives who may in some measure succeed to the maternal office, and regulate the difordered fate of family affairs. For the defolate widow, loft in the perplexities of bufinefs, and terrified with her forlorn unfheltered condition, let him difentangle complicated accounts, obtain the best council in dubious proceedings, mufter all the connexions of kindred and friendship, and interest them in her behalf, fet before her confoling profpects of future expectations, and fhew her that the world is not that wildernefs of defprir to her and her children which in the first paroxyfms of grief she imagined it to be. Her loss is perhaps the greatest that a human being can sustain. Its substitutes therefore should be fought with the greatest diligence, and from the most various quarters.

To parents weeping over the untimely grave of a beloved child, the confoler fhould call to mind their remaining children, and fetting them full in their view, he fhould fay, Here are your comforts -here are your duties ! Thefe are enough to fill your hearts and occupy all your attentions. By due cultivation, you may obtain from them more than a compensation for what you have loft. The tree has indeed, been mutilated, but it may be brought to yield as much fruit as if all its branches were entire. To those whose only hope is blashed -whofe prospects of a rifing generation to cheer and honour their declining years is for ever clofed -let it be tenderly yet firmly urged, that they live in a world filled with relations of every kind between man and man-that the ties of friendfrip, neighbourhood, and country, ftill fubfift in their full force-that the duty of net living to curfelves is in all cafes binding, and if faithfully performed, will not fail to, repay itfelf by heartfelt pleafures. Afk them what they would have been had they never poffeffed a child. Would the world have been a blank to them, containing nothing worthy of their care and attachment? Cruelly difappointed as they have been-ruined as are all their

plans of remaining life, yet it is in their power to fet out anew, and create to themfelves those objects of interest which would naturally have engaged their attention had they been childlefs. Are their minds strong and their views elevated ?--prefent to them fome large object capable of employing all their exertions in the purfuit, and of fatisfying their reason in the end. Under worse than the *death* of an only child, Howard took into his protection all the friendlefs of mankind, and was confoled. Are their minds weak and their tastes trivial ?---their child was little more to them than a play-thing, and a thousand other play-things may supply its place.

Thus in all cafes of lofs, fome fubfilitution may be found, which, if it does not obliterate the c.lamity, yet lightens it. The flroke of misfortune never falls fo heavy as was expected. It is alleviated by a variety of things which flood for nothing in the computation, but which kind nature, ever fludious of our happinefs, feizes upon, and employs to fubdue her bittereft foe, obdurate grief. If great forrows overwhelm us, little joys unite to baoy us up again. This procefs may in general be relied on as of fure operation; and, in fact, reiders the office of confoler only one of temporary neceffity. But during the first accefs of grief, it is frequently one of high importance; and on its fulful execution much of future peace and comfort may depend. You remember the pretty metaphor of Shakespear;

> Being that I flow in grief, The fmalleft twine may lead me.

The first impulse in such a state may be of great moment to the direction of after conduct. One requisite, however, for performing successfully the office of consolation, nature alone can bestow—a feeling and benevolent heart. In that, I fear not your deficiency. That it may enable you in this, as in all other duties of your station, to act to the full statisfaction of yourself and others, is the most cordial wish of

Your truly affectionate, &c.

(159)

LETTER XX.

ON THE INEQUALITY OF CONDITIONS.

DEAR SON,

IN my perambulations of this immenfe metropolis, where human life appears under all its forms, and the excess of opulence is closely bordered on by the most fqualid poverty, many are the reflections that occupy my mind, often to the temporary forgetfulnefs of my bufinefs and way. Of thefe, fome of the most painful arife from the contemplation of the prodigious inequality among mankind, and the ftate of indigence and degradation to which fo large a portion of them appear condemned. Between the inhabitant of the fplendid fquare, and the tenant of the gloomy alley, the apparent difference is fuch, that if we take our ideas of the nature and defination of man from the one, they feem no more applicable to the other. than if they were beings of different orders. One appears the fpoilt child, the other, the abandoned outcast of this world. There is, indeed, a class 0 2

between the two extremes on which the mind may dwell with more complacency; but if this be made a ftandard for the fpecies, our perplexities are only increafed by obferving the double deviations from it. After thus brooding over a chaos of confufed thought, I feem at length to difcern the forms of things with more diffinctnefs; and the fatisfaction this affords me is fuch, as to make me defirous of communicating it to you.

The first point absolutely requisite to be fettled in order to view the actual condition of mankind with proper feelings, is, how far it is a neceffary one. Some benevolent philosophers, shocked and difguked with the flate of fociety as it appears in all large combinations of men, have taken refuge in the fuppofition that it is all artificial and unnatural. They have gone back to the favage condition, and affociating their own refined ideas with the fimplicity of that flate, they have formed a. picture of human life, poffeffing the moral advantages of civilization, without its vices and inequalities. But as long as this is no more than a fcene of fiction, though drawn by the most masterly, hand, it deferves no regard in the decision of a. queftion within the reach of real obfervation.

In order to form true notions of what: man effentially is by his nature, the only fure way of proceeding is the fame that we fhould adopt in fudying the nature of any other animal. Confult his hiftory for a long feries of ages. See what his

160

leading character has ever been, and conclude with confidence that fuch it will ever be. If the operation of his faculties and propenfities have at all times tended to certain effects, there is the fame reafon to fuppofe that they will ever continue to do fo, as that any other of what we call the laws of nature will remain inviolate. Bees will ever construct combs; beavers will raife dams; rooks will form fettlements; and men will build cities. The principle of congregating is fo ftrong within him, that it will ever determine the condition of the bulk of the species. For, confider what effects neceffarily flow from it. Men affembled in focieties mutually sharpen each others faculties, and open new fources of enjoyment, and confequently, of defire. To the arts of first neceffity, fucceed those of convenience, of elegance, of splendour. Arts fuppofe artifts ; both the contriving head, and the labouring hand. The first, being a rarer quality, will be more valued than the fecond. In the fame manner, all the other more uncommon and valuable qualities both of mind and body will raife their poffeffors above the ordinary level, and fecure them particular advantages. Thus, property will be acquired, will produce laws and government for its fecurity, will accumulate, will be allied to magistracy, and in confequence will enforce and augment the natural inequalities among men. All thefe things are in the infeparable relation of caufe and effect to each other; and to

expect the first without the fecond, or to fit downin fruitlefs lamentation that we cannot have all we wish, without fomewhat that we diflike, is childish and unreasonable.

Men, therefore, by the conflictution of their nature, will ever tend to unite in large maffes; and thefe maffes will fall into the grand divisions of rich and poor, high and low, governors and governed. This is abfolutely unavoidable, for even abolishing at once all the arts and conveniences of civilized. life would not reftore men to equality. Diftinctions of power and influence fubfift in the favage horde as well as in the luxurious city. But taking fociety with this neceffary condition, there is still ample room for the operation of human wifdom in increasing its advantages and diminishing its evils. These remedial attempts are part of man's nature likewife; and they are carried into effect by the employment of the very fame faculties which, directed another way, have occasioned the inconvenience. If these are negligently or unfaithfully ufed, the condition of fociety becomes much worfe than it might have been. Thus, if inftead of counteracting by civil regulations the ftrong tendency to inequality, it be favoured and perpetuated by them, every evil proceeding from this fource will, of course, be aggravated. And, in fact, the greateft differences that we observe in the apparent happiness enjoyed by different nations, principally arife from the tendency of their

political inflitutions to augment or reftrain the difparity of conditions. Every good governments contains in it a *levelling principle*; for what is the purpofe of equal laws, equal rights, equal opportunities of profiting by natural and acquired talents, but to annul artificial diffinctions, and caufe the race of life to be run fairly? In return for the protection afforded the rich, it loads them with heavier proportional burdens; and it provides fome legitimate mode by which the will of the many fhall make itfelf known and refpected, in order to counteract the grafping projects of the few.

But, it may be faid, what, after all, have thefe contrivances done ?- have they in any country, confiderably advanced in arts and commerce, prevented those evils of great inequality which you began with lamenting ? Much lefs, I acknowledge, has been effected than might have been hoped. But before we enquire further into the profpects of future improvement, let us refiect upon one thing that has been done for the melioration of human life in its lowest form; and this is, the abolition of domeflic flavery throughout all the civilized countries of Europe. Recollect, that in all the ancient ftates, which boafted the most loudly of their freedom and ifonomy, the menial fervant, the artizan, the cultivator of the earth, was a flave, who held life and all its petty comforts at the arbitrary pleasure of a fellow-mortal, often brutal,

violent, and needy. Image to yourfelf, ftreets refounding with the lafh and the cries of the tortured—fields covered with herds of men in chains, and their drivers—dungeons and racks in every private houfe—age fuffered to perifh in filth and famine, and youth the prey of luft and cruelty. Is any thing on this fide the Atlantic fo bad as fuch a ftate ? And has not this bleffed change been effected by amending the principles and informing the underftanding of men ?

We may now, with hearts fomewhat relieved, enter the clofe court and funlefs alley,

Where the pale artift plies the fickly trade ;

where the mechanic, the day-labourer, and those employed in the numerous vile, but neceffary, offices in a great city, have their abode. The fallow dingy countenances, uncombed locks, and beggarly apparel of thefe people, difguft your fenfes, and their manners equally shock your moral feelings. You fhrink back, and are almost ready to renounce the relationship of a common nature with fuch beings. The idea of their prefent and future existence makes you shudder, and all the fplendours of opulence which fhine at the expence of fo much wretchednefs, are dimmed in your eves. But when you confider that thefe are the reprefentatives of half a million of human beings in this metropolis-that fuch they ever have been, not only here, but in every other feat of

164

INEQUALITY OF CONDITIONS.

arts and commerce—you will be almoft compelled to conclude, that their cafe *cannot* be fo bad as it feems. Far, far be it from me to infult poverty by declaiming on its advantages! We have had too much of that cant. It is impoffible honeftly to fuppofe that the perfons I have been defcribing, enjoy an equal fhare of the comforts of this life, however philofophically we effimate thofe comforts. But I can never bring myfelf to believe, that the *neceffary* condition of a majority of the human race is a decidedly wretched one. With refpect to thofe I am now confidering, a great proportion of them certainly are not defitiute of a variety, of the things that make lifedefirable.

Survey them more clofely. They have a home, a family, kindred, neighbours; converfe, rights, a certain liberty of action, and no inconfiderable fhare of fenfual gratifications. The circumftancess that difguft you in beholding them, do not difguft themfelves—habit has rendered them callous to the evils of dirt and tatters. When I: acknowledge that it has also made them infenfible, to moral depravity; I perhaps confeismo more than would be true of the modess of life in the higheft ranks of i fociety. Their vices are, indeed, grofs, and obvious ; but you, I am fure, are not one of those who effimate the noxious qualities of a vice chiefly from its groffnefs. They have their virtues too, and of a kind as undifguiled as, their vices. They, are ever ready to help one another in diffrefs, and loudly unite in decrying every thing unmanly, cruel, and villanous.

Still, their condition is attended with many ferious evils, which, if they can be remedied, certainly ought to be; for to the happiness of fo large a portion of fociety, every other corfideration ought to give way. But in order to produce any favourable change, it is first requifite to diftinguish the necessary circumftances of their fituation, from the cafual. The necefiary, are those connected with that inferiority of flation which, I have attempted to shew, must be the condition of a majority in all human focieties, and more efpecially in those where the powers of the mind are most cultivated. I am of opinion, therefore, that it is not in the power of merely political inftitutions to do more for the advantages of the lower claffes, than fecure them from oppreffion, and prevent their interefts from being facrificed to the avarice and ambition of the higher. Whether this can be done much more effectually than is already done by the conftitution of our own country, I shall not enquire; but I am ready to confess, that my expectations of benefit are not turned towards changes in that quarter. It is on the removal of fome of the cafual evils attending the condition of the poor, that my hopes of feeing the world happier chiefly depend; among which I reckon grofs ignorance

166

bad morals and pernicious habits. That it it within the reach of human industry to produce great, amendment in these particulars, and that, even in a metropolis fo enormous and licentious as this, I no more doubt, than that all remaining flavery might be abolished, as the past has been. A comparifon of different nations and focieties, already affords full demonstration of the great differences in this refpect that different care and management will create. The labouring classes of all towns are not left ignorant of every principle of religion and morality, and void of all encouragement to practife economy and the decencies of life. To the difgrace of this enlightened country, it has been one of the most remifs in attentions of this fort ; but I truft a spirit is awakened which will suffer it to be fo no longer. In promoting a reform of this kind, every man, however contracted his fphere of action, is able to advance the public good; but efpecially, those who have devoted themfelves to the improvement of morals, poffefs both the ability and the influence requifite for the work. To you, who even during the courfe of your education exhibited an ardent zeal in this caufe, I need not recommend it further, than by expressing my confidence that your attempts will not fail of fuccefs, if not fo much as you would wifh, perhaps more than you would expect. Evils, no doubt, moral and natural, will remain as long as the world remains; but the certainty of the perpetual exiftence of vice, is no more an argument againft attempting to correct it, than the fame certainty with refpect to difeafe, is a reafon against exercifing the art of medicine,

Adieu!

(169)

LETTER XXI.

ON THE PREVALENCE OF TRUTH.

DEAR SON,

" TRUTH is mighty and will prevail," is the axiom that for ages has administered confolation to those reasoners, whose efforts in a favourite cause have not been crowned with prefent fuccefs. That the foundation of this axiom is folid, I am by no means inclined to difpute; and far be it from me to attempt extinguishing that hope, which has prevented fo many generous friends of mankind from fiaking into defpondency. Yet if its application have in any inflances led to expectations which probably can never be realifed, or if a confident reliance upon it have damped the ardour of due exertion, it may be uleful to reduce it within the limits of flrict reality. In fact, the affertion that " truth muft always finally prevail," appears to me much too general, and not to be acquiefced in without many diffinctions and limitations. The grounds of fome of thefe will be the fubject of my prefent letter.

Of the obftacles to the prevalence of truth, there are fome apparently fo connected with the nature and condition of man, that a majority of the fpecies must ever labour under their influence. Such are, especially, those proceeding from the operation of ungoverned paffions and defires, during which the mind is never permitted to exercise that calm judgment which is abfolutely neceffary for the inveftigation of truth. Every fubject which ftrongly excites the emotions of hope and fear, is liable to this caufe of error. The medium through which it is viewed, is fo ruffled, that it transmits all objects falfe and diftorted. In cafes like thefe, the fpecies receives no improvement, and each individual has the whole process of melioration to go through for himfelf. He must by his own exertions acquire the due regulation of his heart, as much as the free use of his limbs, and the attainments of his predeceffors afford him no affistance. As a man born in the eighteenth century is no better able to endure cold, hunger, and fatigue, than one born in the first, so neither can he better refift the imprefiions of terror and defire.

Now, many of those fubjects in which false opinions are most prevalent, lay fuch hold on the weak parts of man, his passions and affections, that he is in general incapacitated from making proper use of the experience of pass ages, and feems doomed to run a perpetual round of the fame follies and mistakes. This is the cause why

reafon has not been able to do more in abolifhing fuperflition. Various species of it have occafionally been rendered unfashionable by ridicule or detection; but the principle itself ftill keeps its hold in the human breaft, ready to feize every opportunity of regaining all the influence it may have loft. In countries the most enlightened by fcience and letters, it is wonderful how much fuperfition is conftantly lurking among the vulgar of all ranks, nay, among the enlightened themfelves: for where the temper disposes to it, both learning and fcience may be made to afford additional materials for it to work upon. A faith in omens, prophefies, and horofcopes, in fortunate names and numbers, in warnings and apparitions, in fupernatural cures, and other fraudulent pretenfions refpecting the principal objects of hope and fear, is no more likely at the prefent day to be eradicated, than it was at any former period. Reafon has no greater power over thefe delufions; than the Roman fenate had over the influence of the Chaldean foothfayers: Genus hominum (fays Tacitus) quod in civitate nostra et vetabitur semper, et retinebitur." It has rendered them in a certain degree difereditable, and reduced them to operate more in fecret than formerly, and more individuals have been freed from their fway; but he must know little of the actual state of things, who fuppofes their prefent influence to be inconfiderable, or, perhaps, diminishing. It might, in-

P 2

deed, be imagined, that caufes which had gradually been producing a certain effect, might confidently be expected to go on producing it in a greater and greater degree ; but I fear this will not be found to correspond with the real march of human affairs, which, in many cafes, more refembles the motion of a pendulum, which, having fwung to a certain height, thenceforth moves in a contrary direction. Thus it feems as if fuperfition, after having been weakened by the repeated attacks of wits and philosophers, was at prefent recovering its firength. It has obvioufly met with encouragement from perfons of fome note, who have probably feen a connexion between that flate of mind which makes men fubmiffive to fuperflitious belief, and the docility necessary for the reception of fystems of faith which they were interested in fu porting. Mysteries of all forts are allied, and one formula of arguing ferves equally in favour of all-" Becaufe there are certain truths which you cannot help admitting, though apparently contradictory to reafon and analogy, you have no right to object to those we offer you on the ground of fuch contradiction." Thus all a priori conclusions concerning truth and falichood are intercepted, and mankind are left to contend in each individual cafe with the artifices of fophifm and imposture.

I have often thought it a very hazardous mode of argument which the friends of religion, even the more rational, have been accuftomed to use in their controversies with unbelievers. " If (fay they) there be no providence, no future flate, no obligation to divine worfhip, you must, however, acknowledge that no danger can enfue from acting as if there were. But if, on the contrary, thefe things are real, we hold that there is the greatest of all dangers in acting as if they were not." Confider what use may be made of this kind of reafoning by papifts against protestants, and by the narrower fects of the latter against the more liberal. "You aknowledge that a man may be faved in our church if his intentions are upright, and his morals pure; but we deny that falvation is poffible in yours on any conditions. Common prudence should therefore induce you to adopt that which both parties allow to be fafe, rather than that which one alone (perhaps the leaft numerous) thinks to be fo." By thus introducing' prudential confiderations into queflions of truth, fects, in order to gain profelytes, are encouraged to become as dogmatical and uncharitable as poffible, and to aim at frightening men into their narrow pale as the only place of refuge. This, in fact, is an advantage which bigotry has long poffeffed, and probably will ever poffefs, over moderation. Exclusive pretentions, whether refpecting this world or another, will ever find powerful fupports in the hopes and fears of manhind; and he who addreffes both

P 3

these passions will act with double the power of him who applies only to one.

For a fimilar reafon, all those systems of faith which offer men eternal felicity upon eafier terms than their own endeavours-that shift, as it were, the load of refponfibility from them, upon characters of mysterious dignity, who are to be repaid by the cheap fervices of unbounded homage and adoration-that inculcate fears which no confcious rectitude can calm, and nourifh hopes that no felf-examination can warrant, will fcarcely fail of rendering themfelves acceptable to the multitude, fo long as they are fupported by fatisfactory authority. And how is this authority, once received, to be fhaken? If it depend on historical evidence, can a whole people be expected to enter into an examination of events believed at the time of their paffing, and delivered down unqueflioned through many generations of their anceftors? Is not this continuity of belief the best evidence they posses for the truth of all their national records? If it refers to interpretation, will not the fame arguments which have determined the general fenfe of a writing in times palt, continue to operate in any future attempts to interpret it ? I fuppofe, in this cafe, the fame fair intentions, and the fame collateral aids, to exist in both periods.

But nations have, in fact, changed their fyftems. They have; but not, I conceive, from the

174

unaided operation of roafon and argument. In all remarkable changes of this kind, we fhall difcover, befides the more immediate interference of divine power, fuch a concurrence of circumflances, as was capable of a *coercive* action upon men's minds, and which cannot at pleafure be renewed by those who may wish to produce fimilar effects.

For the capability of receiving truth, there muft always be certain preparations. I do not reckon freedom from error one of thefe, for then truth would be abfolutely unattainable; no man being without falfe opinions, who had not already imbibed true ones. But I mean certain qualities, moral and intellectual; which beftow a fitnefs to be acted upon by argument. One of the moft effential of thefe, is the fair honeft defire of difcovering the truth, and following whitherfoever it may lead. But how large a portion of mankind is precluded from this flate by previoufly determined interests and partialities! How few, even among the pretended enquirers after truth, can fay with the ever-memorable John Hales, " For this, I have forfaken all hopes, all friends, all defires, which might bias me, and hinder me from driving right at what I aimed." On the contrary, are we not very fure, that when perfons of certain defcriptions engage in what they call an investigation of truth, they have before-hand decided what conclusions to eftablish, and without fuch a decision would never have undertaken the tafk ?

Further, how much diligence, how much fludy, what freedom from diftractions, what renunciation of common pleafures and purfuits, are not neceffary for the fuccefsful fearch after truth! It can be little lefs than the whole bufinefs of a man's life-" Vitam impendere vero." Ought we then to blame the ancient philosophers when they limited the power of acquiring intellectual truth to a few, and propofed it as the noble prize to be contended for by a number felected from the vulgar ? Truth of no kind is of eafy acquifition-that truth, I mean, which is the refult of examination: for true opinions flumbled upon by chance, and only by following the authority of great names, is no certain poffeffion, and will readily give place to error more highly patronized. Truth in fcience is only arrived at by laborious experiment and patient deduction. Hiftorical truth requires for its inveftigation perfect impartiality, and an acquaintance with every poffible inlet to fraud and miftake. Moral truth demands a heart capable of feeling it. Religious truth is not attained without an union of the requifites for all the other fpecies of truth. Have we, then, any well grounded reafon to hope that the majority of mankind will ever come to a general perception of what is fo obfcured by difficulties in the detail ?

If you fould think the doctrine of this letter fomewhat inconfiftent with my former one On the *purfuit of Improvement*, recellect, that the tenor of that was to fhew the natural progrefs towards perfection in every practical art on which the human faculties are in earneft employed—and the advantage to be derived from that reference to general principles which is properly termed *philof-thy*. To free men from thole weakneffes of their nature which oppofe the admiffion of abftract truth, is a very different attempt; which, however, is not to be given up in defpair becaufe it cannot be fo fuccefsful as we would with.

Truib will prevail-how far? As far as it is purfued with a proper temper, and by perfons properly qualified. Place before fuch men an object of controverfy capable of being decided according to truth. But that falle opinions on fubjects which warmly interest the paffions of mankind will ever cease to fway the multitude, is what I dare not promile myfelf. A fingular example of the different fitnefs of different men to receive truth is afforded by the modern imposture of Animal Magnetifm. When its pretenfions were fubmitted to a board of philosophers in France, its futility was clearly and unanimously established. Still, however, that clafs who are the proper fubjects of deception were deluded by its bold promites, and myiterious reafonings; and among them the delution in fome measure still subfits. It cannot. however, fland long; but its votaries will remain just as prone as before to fall into another plaufible delution.

Meantime, fuch is the intrinsic value of truth, that no other encouragement is wanted to animate to the vigorous purfuit of it, than the distant hope of attaining it for ourfelves, and propagating it among a felect few; for in fact, of all the differences between mortals, the different degree in which they are poffeffors of truth is incomparably the greateft. Nor can it be doubted that a large fhare of it is within the reach of man, though not of all men. Like the inoculation of the fmall pox, it confers indifputable benefits on those who receive it ; yet too few will probably ever receive it to produce firiking effects upon the whole species. Let truth be fairly offered to the world without the veil of mystery, in her own naked radiance. If the world fail to recognize her, and leave her to a few enamoured votaries, let them confole themfelves with the affurance that Truth, like Virtue, is her own reward.

Farewel!

(179)

LETTER XXII.

ON SECOND THOUGHTS AND MIDDLE COURSES.

DEAR SON,

66

SECOND Thoughts are beft," fays a frequently-quoted proverb. Confidered as a prudential maxim, its truth, I believe, cannot be controverted; for there are few points of evil to be avoided. or advantage to be gained, in which mature deliberation is not better than hafty decision. But that they are bell, in the fenfe of being more conformable to moral or natural truth, in my opinion, is fo far from reality, that I should more readily acquiefce in a proposition nearly the reverse-that first impressions are most to be relied on. This, however, I do not mean to affert without limitation.

Where a mind is well prepared for the reception of truth, by rectitude of intention, and a habit of accurately conceiving what is prefented to it, a queftion of moral conduct is almost always beft decided by the feelings immediately confequent upon flating the cafe ; and after-thoughts, in fuch inftances, are usually the fophiltry of felf-intereft or partiality. I afk myfelf, fhall I make a folemn profeffion of what I do not believe. No ! (cries indignantly Firft Feeling)—better to ftarve ! Come (fays Second Thought) let us confider the matter calmly ; for there are many reafons why it would be *convenient* to make this profeffion. Examine its words—fee if they will bear no other fenfe than the most obvious. At any rate, will not the end juftify the means ? It then begins its ingenious operations, and, in conclusion, the thing in done.

I have promifed a man my fupport—fhall I keep my word? Certainly? Can you doubt of it? Would you be a rafcal? But I wifh I could difengage myfelf, for really I do not like the man. His politics or religion are different from what I took them to be; and I fhould do more good by difcouraging him. Befides, every promife is by its very nature conditional, and he has virtually broken his part of the conditions. Indeed ! Then ufe your differentian.

In this manner it is that every triumph, in a heart not vitiated, is gained by cowardice, meannefs, and felfifhnefs, over fpirit, honour, and generofity. Conficience is never dilatory in her warnings. She pronounces clearly and inftantly, and her fuft voice is the true oracle. By prolix and varied repetitions of the queffion, with foreign circumftances introduced for the purpofe of perplexing, the refponfe may at length be rendered almost any thing we wish it, and confeience may be cheated into acquiescence in the most abominable conclusions. It is thus, that in our corporeal mechanism, a deleterious substance taken into the stomach, excites instant and violent efforts for its expulsion; but after a due repetition of doses, properly proportioned and combined, the stimulus ceases to be felt, and abhorrent nature becomes reconciled to the instrument of her destruction.

It was upon the fyftem of Second Thoughts that the famous morality of the Jefuits was founded. They established it as a rule, that in a cafe of conscience, if a probable opinion, or one supported by the authority of a fingle grave doctor, could be brought in favour of inclination, against an opinion confelledly more probable, it was fufficient to justify a determination conformable to it. And they took good care that their cafuifts fhould be furnished with probable opinions of all forts for the ule of those who put their confeiences under the direction of the fociety. The following edifying ftory is related by one of their graveft fathers, from whom it is copied in the celebrated Provincial Letters. "A man who was carrying a large fum of money in order to make reflitution by command of his confestor, called at a bookfeller's shop by the way, and alking if they had any thing new, was thewn a new Sylem of Moral Theology. Turning over the leaves carelefsly, he happened to light on his own cafe, and found that he was not obliged to

0

reftitution; fo that having got rid of the burden of his fcruple, and retaining the burden of his money, he returned home lighter than he went out." Such lucky occafions of fecond thought, the pious author attributes to the fpecial interference of God's providence, by the ministry of a man's guardian angel.

The fpeedieft decifions of Reafon, as well as of Confcience, are frequently the foundeft. Extravagant projects, absurd propositions, impudent pretenfions, are rejected with fcorn when first offered to the mind; and it is only in confequence of rehearings, at which fraud and fophiftry are advocates, with wiles, like those of Comus, "baited with reafons not unplaufible," that they at length work their way. Many high claims there are upon our acquiefcence, which the foul of man would fpurn with contempt and loathing, did it abide by its fpontaneous decifions. It may be affirmed to have been the chief bufinefs of fcholaftic learning for many ages, to ftifle this voice of unbiaffed reafon, and inure men to form determinations contrary to first convictions. How many mighty volumes could I point out to you, the whole purpose of which is to reconcile the mind to fome manifeft contradiction, or to difprove fome felf-evident truth ! I remember to have read, that in the condemnation of fome Janfenist book, the heretical propositions were fo injudiciously felected, that a great prince, into whole hands they were put, miltook them for

articles of faith, and was edified by the perufal. Can it be doubted that here the text was nearer the truth than the comment, and that the prince judged better than the doctors? I have known inflances, in which politions felected out of a political work for the purpole of obtaining its judicial condemnation, have affected impartial readers in a fimilar manner.

By these observations, however, I am far from withing to inculcate a hafty decifion on controverted points in general. Where the queftion relates to matter of fact, a very patient investigation is frequently neceffary. Where it concerns a matter of expedience, it cannot be fafely decided without minutely balancing its probable advantages and difadvantages, and confulting paft experience in fimilar cafes. But where it refers to principles, and must be tried by its conformity with certain notions, if not innate, at leaft early and very generally admitted into the human breaft, it is probably beft judged of when prefented naked to the mind, unmixed with extraneous confiderations, and with no other preparation than to render it perfectly intelligible.

"The middle way is the fafelt," fays another common proverb. If this was adopted from the "medio tutifilmus ibis" of Ovid, it fhould have been remembered that his was a particular precept, not a general maxim. In reality, the middle courfe is very often the work that can be followed in af-

Q 2

fairs of the world, combinining the inconveniences, and miffing the advantages, of the two extremes. It is commonly the paltry expedient of weaknefs and indecifion to get over prefent difficulties, by deelining initead of confronting them-a compromife between right and wrong, between wildom and folly, between enterprize and indolence, which generally meets with the fate of imbecility. In most emergencies, two directly oppesite fystems of action prefent themfelves to our choice. Each has its appropriated character, its favourable and unfavourable circumstances. Each may fucceed; but only when followed fully and decidedly. Every leaning towards its opposite adds to its difficulties, and endangers its failure. This cannot be better illustrated than by military transactions. A. Gene-'ral finds himfelf unexpectedly in face of a fuperior caemy. He has no choice but to fight or retire; Lut the movements for each are incompatible; one requires bold advance, the other, filent retreat. One, however, appears to him too hazardous, and the other, too difgraceful. He therefore takes a middle courfe, in confequence of which he fights to no purpole, and his retreat is intercepted.

One cannot be at all converfant with bufinefs, without feeing perpetual inflances of the mifchief done by this fpirit of throwing in a little of this, and a little of that, in order to fecure a medium. A perfon in a public affembly propofes a vigorous measure, and after fome opposition, carries it.

184

Some weak friend or defigning foe, upon the plea of preventing extremes, then offers a few modifications and refrictions, of a nature directly fubverfive of the purpofe intended to be anfwered by the first mover; and thefe, and for the fake of accommodation, are affented to by the majority : thus the whole fcheme is rendered ineffectual. In a fimilar fpirit, arbitrators fplit a difference, and do justice to neither party—juries bring in verdicts which determine nothing, and leave the court to act as it pleafes—confultations of learned phyficians *neutralize* their plans fo as to do neither good nor harm—and divines play off one virtue against another, till they make their hearers indifferent to both.

Truth may, perhaps, in general, lie fomewhere within oppofite extremes; but it is a groß weaknefs to expect to find it by the mechanical operation of bifecting a line, or calculating an average. Even in cafes where we are *fure* that the two extremes are erroneous, as in the reprefentation of the fame character by adverfe parties, it is a futile method of judging of particular actions, to balance the contrary motives to which they have been attributed, and firike a medium. It is not in this manner that good and evil are compounded in mankind.

The controversalift who thinks, by adopting fomewhat from one fyshem, and fomewhat from another, to fix himself on firm ground, and hold opposite parties in respect, will generally find that

Q 3

he has united both againft him, and has weakened his defences on either part. I could adduce many inflances to fhew you, that in the contefts of theological polemics, the middle way is as far, as it is in real warfare, from being the fafeft. The acute Chillingworth could not find a barrier againft popery, till he had eftablifhed as a fundamental maxim, that the Bible is the only ground of the religion of Proteflants. He perceived, that if church authority were admitted as any thing in the controverfy, the papift would be too hard for him.

Thus you fee that proverbial fayings, the boafted wifdom of ages, are not to be trufted without examination. Aphorifms, in general, indeed, are but dangerous guides. The greater part of them have been formed not fo much from the refults of univerfal reafon and experience, as from the authority of individuals in the infancy of both. A few examples went to eftablifh a rule, and the exceptions flood for nothing, till at length they have often been found more numerous than the exemplifications.

Farewel!

186

(187)

LETTER XXIII.

ON THE PRINCIPAL FAULTS OF POETICAL TRANSLATION.

I N order to affift you in deciding for yourfelf the queftion you afk me refpecting the comparative merits of Pope's and Cowper's translation of Homer, I shall lay before you fome remarks on the chief purpofes and principal faults of poetical translation, which fuggested themselves to my mind in the course of my earlier reading.

As the great end of all poetry is to pleafe, that of a poetical translation must in the first instance be the fame. But besides this general purpose, it has the additional one of gratifying a laudable defire in the reader who does not understand the original, of gaining fome idea how perfons thought and wrote in an age or country often very distant from his own. Hence arises a necessity of preferving, not only the subject matter and the poetical beauties of an original author, but as much as can be done of his peculiar turn of thinking and mode of expreffion. All the great *fchools* of arts and letters are marked with a peculiar flamp of character, derived from the manners and circumflances of the time and country, which are an interefting fubject of fpeculation. The translator, therefore, who fails to reflect an image of his original, with its characteriftic diftinctions, though he may prefent us with a figure graceful and pleafing in itfelf, has not performed his tafk completely.

One of the leading faults of poetical translation from the works of antiquity has been of this kind. Our manners and entiments have become fo very different from those of remote ages, that the two purposes of translating agreeably and faithfully, can with great difficulty be made to coincide. And as the first with of every writer is to be read, he will naturally be led to prefer that mode of translating which will make his work the most generally acceptable. He will therefore rather fludy to bring it down to the taffe of his own times, than to carry his reader back to those which have been long forgotten. Nor can we blame him for fuch an accommodation to the feelings of his cotemporaries as is neceffary to fecure his main end of pleafing. The fault is, that this defign is ufually carried much farther than is neceffary, and fo far as almost entirely to defeat the other purpose of translation.

In translating an author who lived in a rude and uncultivated period, two kinds of accommodation

are neceffary. The one confifts in foftening or fuppreffing fuch images and expreffiens as would give difguil to a modern reader ; the other, in raising and adorning fuch parts as from their extreme fimplicity would appear to him rude and inlipid. Both thefe must be done to a certain degree ; but both require much caution and judgment. The latter, in particular, is a hazardous attempt, demanding a most chastifed and correct taste for its proper execution; and I am furprifed at the unguarded latitude which fo rational a critic as Dr. Johnfon allows in this point. Speaking of Pope's Iliad, he fays, " Homer doubtleis owes to his translator many Ovidian graces not exactly fuitable to his character; but to have added can be no great crime, if nothing be taken away." What ! can there be a groffer violation of every principle of tafte and good fenfe, than to make wanton additions to a writer's work in a ftyle totally different from his own and that of the whole age in which he lived ? What is this but introducing utter confusion of times and manners into the reader's ideas, and bringing all the firiking variety of literary compolition to one uniform measure of unmeaning refinement ?

That this effect has been actually produced by Pope's fpirit of translation, may eafily be shewn in various parts of his works and those of his imitators, and especially in that partnership concern, his Odystry. The original poem is, in my opinion,

almost folely valuable from the curious pictures it difplays of the flate of fociety, both public and domeftic, at the period to which it refers. It was therefore effential to preferve thefe in their genuine and characteriftic colouring; and no graces of modern decoration could atone for the want of this point of refemblance in the copy. Nothing is a more frequent topic in the notes of this translation, than the pleafure derived from fcenes of fimple nature; and many cenfures are paffed upon the fastidious delicacy of French critics who are shocked with the plain unrefined manners of Homer's perfonages. But it is impoffible to violate fimplicity more outrageoufly than has been done by the English translator, especially of some of the books ; and I am forry that the book containing the adventure of Nauficaa, one of the most pleasing in the whole poem, is of the number thus traveflied. It is among those afcribed to Broome, but Pope is answerable for the workmanship of his journeymen. Of this fault, I shall felect a few striking examples, after premifing a remark on one of its principal. fources.

All the words appertaining to royalty, as king, prince, court, palace, &c. have fo long conveyed to the minds of civilized people ideas of dignity and grandeur, that it is difficult, even for a philofopher, to hear them with those imprefilons only which they excited in the early ftages of fociety. Yet without fuch a kind of abftraction, it is evident that the circumftances with which fuch terms are affociated in relations of primitive life must frequently appear highly incongruous, and produce the effect of burlefque. The only means of avoiding this confequence in modern views of antiquity are, either to lower the ideas of royalty, or to exalt the dignity of the fimple manners with which it was then accompanied. The former is the most effectual, and indeed the true method; for if we were taught to conceive of a king of Ithaca as of a chief in the Sandwich Islands, or an Indian Sachem, we should not be furprifed to find the fwineherd one of his principal officers and confidants. But what is then to become of the elevated character of the epopœa, and how are we to be interested in the fate of heroes of fo low a class? Our translator has therefore taken the contrary method, and labours to throw an artificial veil of majefty over things in their own nature mean and trivial. Thus when Eumæus is introduced making himfelf a pair of brogues out of a raw hide, we are told in the note, " that we must not judge of the dignity of men from the employments they followed three thousand years past, by the notions we have of those employments at prefent ;" and this admonition is followed by fome obfervations on the dignity of arts in their infancy, and on the cookery of Achilles, and on the cuftom of the Turkish emperors to learn fome mechanic trade. Now what is this but a laboured attempt to delude ?

The real dignity of any condition can only depend on the qualities requifite to fill it, or the habits of thinking and acting acquired in exercifing its functions. A keeper of fwine and maker of fhoes muft ever derive his manners and ideas from the ftye or the workshop; and his relative confequence in any fociety only exhibits the relative advance of that fociety in power and civilization. Can any thing therefore be more abfurd, than a remark of the fame annotator, on the circumftance, that Melanthius the goatherd, bringing a fupply of meat to the fuitors, is made to fit at table with them ? " We may gather from hence the truth of an obfervation formerly made, that Melanthius, Eumæus, &c. were Perfons of diffinction, and their offices pefls of honour : we fee Melanthius who had charge of the goats of Ulyfies is a companion for princes." This fame Melanthius, just before, on meeting with Eumæus, is by Homer reprefented as infulting him in the groffeft terms, and telling this perfon of difination that he shall foon have to carry him out of the ifland and fell him for a flave. That fuch men were made companions by the fuitors, is indeed a proof how little the fuitors were elevated above them, but furely does not prove that the goatherd and fwineherd were any thing more than goatherds and fwineherds.

This incongruous alliance of modern ideas affixed to the terms of royalty, with the circumflances antiently annexed to the office, has contributed

\$92

more than any thing to give a ludicrous air to many paffages of Pope's Odyfley, and to miflead the Englifh reader in his notions of the ftate of manners in that period. Thus, when Minerva in a dream tells Nauficaa to prepare for her nuptials, for that the beft among the Phœacians, her kinfmen, have for fome time been paying their court to her, the tranflator metamorphofes thefe petty chieftains into fo many potent kings.

Virgin, awake! thy marriage hour is nigh, See from their thrones thy kindred monarchs figh.

The preparation for this royal wedding was that the princefs thould fpend a day in wafhing her foul clothes, and the is admonifhed by the Goddefs to afk from her father a carriage drawn by mules, " for (fays the with great fimplicity) it will be handfomer for you to ride than to walk, as the wafhing pits are at a good diffance from the town." A fearcher after real manners will be pleafed with this throke of nature in uncultivated life; but he can only be difguifted by the tranflator's burlefque attempt at difguifing it.

In pomp ride forth, for pomp becomes the Great, And Majefty der ves a grace from thate.

Nauficaa and her maids mount this "royal car" or wain loaded with foul clothes; and her careful mother puts good flore of provision into a cheft, and fills a goat-fkin with wine. They likewife take a golden cruife full of oil, that they might anoint themfelves after the work was over. Thefe funple circumftances are thus dreffed out by the tranflator.

The Queen, affiduous, to her train affigns The fumptuous viands and the flavorous wines. The train prepare a cruife of curious mold, A cruife of fragrance, form'd of burnifh'd gold; Odour divine, whole foft refreshing ftreams Sleek the fmooth fkin, and fcent the fnowy limbs.

In this flyle is the whole adventure related ; and while actions and difcourfes denoting the very infancy of civilization pafs in review before you, the language perpetually excites images derived from the courts of modern Europe. Where Nauficaa in Homer tells Ulyffes that he will find her mother fitting on the hearth within the blaze of the fire, leaning againft a pillar, the translator fays for her,

Seek thou the Queen along the rooms of flate;

and where the original goes on to fay, that her maids (ufing a word properly meaning female flaves) are fitting behind her, the politer copy gives her an attendance of ladies of honour;

Around a circle of bright damfels fhines.

This is fufficient to exemplify that common fault of modern translation, difguiling the original by a

194

fictitious colouring. It is, I conceive, when carried to the degree of the examples above cited, a fault of the greateft magnitude, depriving the reader of the anufement and information he would receive from a true reprefention of ancient modes of thinking and fpeaking, and giving him nothing inflead but an incongruous mixture of fimplicity in action with refinement in language.

Another fault in translation, generally accompanying the former, though of fomewhat different origin, is the fpirit of exaggeration and hyperbole, which conftantly endeavours to improve upon the original image or fentiment by puffing it to an extravagance beyond the bounds of truth and propriety. This is fo frequent an error, that it would be eafy to multiply examples of it from even our most celebrated writers. Dryden's translation of Virgil abounds with it. Thus, in the flory of Cacus, when Herculus rolls down upon his cave the fragment of a rock, the Roman poet thinks it fufficient to fay, " that the wide ether refounded, and the affrighted river rolled backwards." But Dryden makes the river fairly fink into the ground, and the fky equally terrified, run, no one can tell whither !

The flip forunk upwards with unufual dread, And trembling Tiber div'd beneath his bed.

R 2

Thus, too, where Virgil fays no more than that Turnis lopt off a warrior's head at a blow, and left the trunk on the fand, Dryden adds,

With fireans that issued from the bleeding trunk.

But the most ludicrous hyperbole of this kind that I have met with, is in Rowe's translation of the Pharfalia. Lucan, deferibing an army reduced to great flraits for want of provision, reprefents the foldiers, after having eaten the fields quite bare, as plucking with their teeth the withered herbs from their ramparts. This is extravagant enough, aceording to his ufual manner; but his translator far outdoes him:

Then rav'nous on their camp's defence they fail, And grind with greedy jaws the turfy wall.

It is confidering this fault of translation in too favourable a light to charge it upon an exuberant warmth of imagination, beyond the control of judgment. This might in fome meafure have been the cafe with a Dryden; but a writer of the coldeft imagination may eafily, from the florcs of poetical phrafeology, borrow flowers of hyperbole to interweave at random into the tiffue of a gaudy translation, where he is at no expence for original ideas. This figure is indeed the most common with the most ordinary writers. Pope, as far as I have remarked, is extremely fparing in its ufe; while

396

his coadjutors Broome and Fenton feem to think it the very characteristic of poetical language. A line of the latter in the fourth book of the Odyffey will amule you. It is part of the defcription of the palace of Menelaus.

Above, beneath, around the palace fbines. The fumlefs treafure of exhaufted mines.

With refpect to the prolixity, the unmeaning fuperfluities, and the conftrained expressions, fo commonly to be met with in translations, as they indicate mere want of poetical talents, they are fcarcely objects of criticism. They are evidently much increased by the use of rhyme, which aggravates all the difficulties of bringing the fenfe of the translation into a form and compass refembling that of the original. Yet as long as rhyme is more pleafing to the readers of English poetry in general than blank verfe, I would not affert that translation ought to be deprived of its aid, more than original composition. It never should be forgotten, that the first purpose of writing is to be read ; and that if this be not answered, a book may be an addition to the furniture of a library, without being any to the fock of literary amufement in a country. By this criterion, after all, every performance must be tried: not, indeed, by merely counting the number of its readers, but by eftimating the pleafure derived from it by those who from habit and education are beft prepared for fuch enjoyments. Many of the po-

R 3

197

etical writings of antiquity are, I believe, incapable of pleafing in a tranflation, upon whatever plan it be conducted. When a man of true genius is led to engage in fuch a tafk, we are bound rather to lament the wafte of his powers, than to wafte our own time in trying to relifh the fruit of his injudicious labours.

Adieu !

LETTER XXIV.

ON RUINS.

DO not wonder, my dear Son, at the enthufiafm with which you relate your vifit to the celebrated ruins of _____ Abbey. The natural charms of the fcenery in the midft of which they are placed, their own intrinfic majefty and beauty, the rarity of fuch a spectacle, and the train of ideas affociated with it, all contribute to render it one of the most interesting objects of a traveller's curiofity. I cannot but think, however, that the extraordinary paffion for ruins of every kind which at prefent prevails, has in it a good deal of the rage of a predominant fashion, and goes beyond all bounds of fober indgment. And as in a former letter I ventured to appreciate another point of modern tafte, with which this is confiderably connected, the new ftyle of gardening, I fhall, in this, canvals fome of the principles on which our admiration of ruins is founded.

The first impression made by the view of a mass of ruins can fearcely in any country have been of the pleafing kind. It must have been that of waste and defolation-of decayed art and loft utility. If the "fmiling works of man" in their perfect flate were always objects of delight, their forlorn and dilapidated condition must have excited melancholy emotions. Thus we find that the horrors of the howling wilderness were in the poetical representations of the earlieft writers aggravated by the picture of ruined edifices; nor can we, I imagine, discover in all antiquity, traces of any other ideas affociated with these spectacles. But melancholy itfelf is a fource of pleafure to a cultivated mind, and images of grandeur and fublimity rife to the fancy on contemplating the operation of fome mighty caufe, whole effects do not too nearly intereft us. Hence the refined tafte of modern times occupied at leifure in extracting from every object the whole fum of fentiment it is capable of affording, has attached to ruins a fet of ideas, formerly either little attended to, or overwhelmed by acuter fenfations. Nor have they been only regarded as fentimental objects. The newest and most fashionable mode of confidering them, is with refpect to the place they hold in the pidurefque; and it is chiefly under this character that they have become fuch favourites with landscape painters and landscape writers.

The pleafing effect of ruins on the eye, may be merely the confequence of their having been parts of a grand or beautiful piece of architecture. The relics of Grecian temples, and theatres, or of Roman baths and palaces, the tall Corinthian pillars which fupported fome coloffal portico, the long ranks of a broken colonade, the high-roofed cathedral aile, and Gothic window, with its rich compartments and delicate tracery, are all objects on which the nobleft arts have beftowed intrinfic value. They are also rarities ; and they form a fluiking contrast with the ruffic and folitary fcenes in which ruins are usually found. No wonder, then, that the barbarous hand is execrated which levels with the duft the fair remnants of a cultivated age, nor that the eye of tafte and knowledge lingers in filent admiration on these gems that glitter amid the defart. In this view, however, ruins have no peculiar value as fuch ; on the contrary, the lefs ruinous, the better; and a remain of antiquity in perfect prefervation is the great defideratum to the lover of the arts.

But ruins, ftill as objects of fight, are not without beauties pecaliarly their own, which render them the favourite fubjects of the pencil, and the admiration of all who travel in fearch of the *pisture/que*. According to their feelings, the regular lines of art but ill harmonize with the free ftrokes of nature; and in a landfcape they prefer the ftick-built hovel and thatched cottage to the neat uniformity of an elegant manfion. But in ruins, even of the moft regular edifices, the lines are fo foftened by decay or interrupted by demolition; the ftiffnefs of defign

is fo relieved by the accidental intrufion of fpringing fhrubs and pendant weeds ; that even the richeft decorations of art feem not mifplaced amid the wildness of uncultived nature. This mixture. too, produces fomewhat perfectly fingular; and novelty in itfelf is ever a fource of pleafure. The ivy creeping along gothic arches, and forming a verdant lattice across the difmantled casements; bushes flarting through the chaims of the rifted tower, and wild flowers embracing its battlements; are the fantaftic ftrokes of nature working upon patterns of art, which all the refinement of magnificence cannot imitate. It is, however, obvious, that for a ruin to be worth preferving as a figure in the landfcape, it must have belonged to a work of fome grandeur or elegance, and fill exhibit the faded features of those qualities. A mere mass of rugged mafonry, a cracked gable or tottering wall, can give no other impreffions than those of decay and defolation. They may, indeed, full be pidlarefque in the literal fense of the word; that is, they may with fuitable accompaniments be happily introduced into a pistured landscape ; but this is only a confequence of the imperfection of painting as an imitative art, whereby the harfh and prominent features of deformity are foftened into eafe and fpirit. Who has not feen an old lime-kiln or dilapidated barn wrought by the hand of a mafter into a striking piece of scenery? Yet, I prefume, no perfon of elegant perceptions would choose to have

202

fuch *real* objects confront his eye in the walks which he has led round his cultured domains.

With respect to the fentimental effects of ruins, they are all referable to that principle of affociation which connects animate with inanimate things, and past with prefent, by the relation of place. There cannot be finer topics for addreffes to the imagination than this circumftance affords; and poetry and oratory are full of examples of its application. The view of a field of battle in which the fate of a mighty kingdom was decided; of gloomy towers once confcious to deeds of horror; of ruined pataces, the ancient abodes of fplendour and feftivity ; of deferted towns where fcience and arts formerly flourished; of the roofless choir and mouldering cloifter, once vocal to pious hymns, or facred to contemplation; cannot but powerfully move every fusceptible breaft. The general fentiment infpired by fuch fcenes is that of the mutability of human affairs; and in certain tempers of the foul, nothing can be fo fweetly foothing as the tender yet elevated melanchely excited by the contrait of the spectacle before our eyes, and that beheld by the imagination.

There is a mood,

(I fing not to the vacaat and the young) There is a kindly mood of melancholy, That wings the faul, and points her to the fkies; When tribulation clothes the child of man, When age defeends with forrow to the grave, 'Tis fweetly foothing fyrepathy to pain, A gently wakening call to health and eafe. How mufical, when di-devouring Time, Here fitting on his throne of ruins hear, While winds and tempefts five p his various lyre, How fiveet thy diapafon Melancholy ! DYEE, Ruins of Rome.

But to enjoy this strain of meditation to advantage, it is neceffary that the place or remain should refer to fomewhat really interefting-that the relics should be fufficient to afford fome aid to the fancy -and that the emotions infpired by the recollected fcene be of a kind not incongruous with those we are likely to bring with us to the fpot. I cannot but suspect, that the undistinguishing passion for ruins is only a proof how little their admirers are in general fentimentally affected by them. A. gay party rambling through the walks of a delightful pleasure ground, would find an unpleasant damp striking upon their spirits on approaching an awful pile of religious ruins, did they really feel the force of its affociations. Were they not capable of gazing at them as mere objects of curiofity, they would be fenfible of a certain incongruity of place and occasion. Whilft, on the other hand, the genuine child of fancy, often too much difpofed to a melancholy which our climate and habits of thinking naturally favour, might be led by fuch an adventitious aid to indulge his penfive humour to a hurtful excels.

Upon the principle of affociation it will, however, appear, that the greater part of the relics of antiquity in this country can produce but trifling effects on the heart. The ideas they fuggeft are those of forms of life offering nothing dignified or pleafing to the mind. The caftellated manfion of the ancient Baron, of which nothing is left but a fhattered tower, frowning over the fruitful vale, reminds us only of the ftern tyranny, brutal ignorance and grofs licentioufnefs, which ftained the times of feudal anarchy. And if we look back to the original flate of our ordinary monastic remains, what shall we fee, but a fet of beings engaged in a dull round of indolent pleasures, and superfitious practices, alike debasing to the heart and understanding? We are rejoiced that their date is past; and we can have little inducement to recal them from that oblivion into which they are defervedly funk, and which beft accords with their primitive infignificance.

But there is a fet of literati who will regard all that can be faid about the picturefque and fentimental effects of ruins as mere trifling, and will direct your attention folely to their importance as *hiftorical records*. This weighty topic I shall not attempt to difcufs at any length. But I may venture to suggess, that much of their supposed value in this respect proceeds from the notion, that what would be of no fort of confequence if modern, acquires importance merely from its antiquity.

In a narrative of the king of Pruffia's campaigns, we are content with tracing all his confiderable actions, and entering into his leading defigns, without attempting to afcertain the precife fpot of every encampment, or the scene of every skirmish. But if the antiquary, from the veftiges of a ditch and remains of a rampart, can render it probable that Agricola in his march against the Caledonians occupied fuch or fuch an eminence, he felicitates himfelf as the difcoverer of a fact of high moment, and paffes, among his brethren, as a most able and ingenious elucidator of the early hiftory of Britain. Now, this is fo harmlefs a piece of literary parade, that it may be fpared a rigorous ferutiny. But, in return, the farmer should be allowed an equal attention to the improvement of his land, and not be treated as a barbarian if he indiftinguishably levels both vallum and fosia with his plough.

Since the art of writing has fubfified, all the important transactions of civilized nations have been transmitted in the page of the hiltorian, with a copioninels and accuracy fo infinitely superior to what can be done by monumental remains, that the utmost we can expect to gain from the fludy of the latter with this view, is the obfcure intimation of some fact, thrown as it were, by the cotemporary chronicler, as not worth the pains of recording. Whether in the prefent flate of knowledge it be an object of importance to collect foraps and rubbifh which were rejected in their day even by monks and friars, I leave you to determine for yourfelf.

Farewel !

(208)

LETTER XXV.

REMARKS ON AN ARGUMENT IN FAVOUR OF THE REALITY OF SPECTRAL APPEARANCES.

DEAR SON,

IN a former letter I hinted to you, that fuperflitious belief was yet very far from being banished from this country; and that there was a difpofition in fome perfons, far removed from the vulgar, to favour it. The late Dr. Johnson was of this number. A narrow education and native glcom of temper, might probably be the circumftances which originally enflaved his ftrong mind to the terrors of fuperfition ; but I am convinced that he alfo fuppofed a connexion to exift between the belief of fupernatural events in general, and those on which the evidence of revelation is founded : and therefore was theoretically as well as practically credulous. This appears from the arguments he frequently employed in fupport of fuch pretended events; by which we know that he was able to make an imprefiion on the minds of fome of his

fubmiffive followers, whatever were their effects on his own. One of thefe, in favour of the reality of apparitions of the dead, which he feems to fanction by putting it in the mouth of the Sage in his Raffelas, has a popular plaufibility well calculated to give it weight. As it is alfo of a general nature, and applicable to a variety of illufions which have imposed on the credulity of mankind, I think it worthy of a particular examination.

"That the dead are feen no more, faid Imlac, I "will not undertake to maintain, againft the con-"current and unvaried teftimony of all ages and "nations. There is no people, rude or learned, "among whom apparitions of the dead are not "related and believed. This opinion, which per-"haps prevails as far as human nature is diffuicd, "could become univerfal only by its truth : thofe "that never heard it of one another, would not have "agreed in a tale which nothing but experience "could make credible."

Refpecting this argument of the univerfality of an opinion, it may be faid, that as there are many truths which it greatly helps to confirm, fo, many errors have at all times taken thelter under it. The caufe of this divertity it is of importance to examine.

That a great part of mankind agree in giving eredit to a thing, even though it be former hat which comes under their perfonal observation, will be a very flight argument of its truth, provided there be a manifest fource of error in the cafe, which is of a nature to operate equally upon all. Thus, the once univerfal and ftill common notion, that the earth is flationary, while the fun and other luminaries move round it, is not in the leaft ftrengthened by the numbers who adopt it, fince all have formed their belief upon the very fame teftimony, that of their fenfes, which is liable to the fame error in all as in one. The fame may be afferted of the fuppofition of a fupernatural voice fpeaking in thunder ; of lightning being the weapon of an angry Deity; of the place of future punifhment being a dark cavern under ground; and of various other opinions in which uniform affociations of ideas have occafioned uniform deductions. To apply this principle in the prefent cafe. When mankind, from whatever causes, had admitted the belief of a flate of existence continued beyond the prefent life, they must have endeavoured to form fome conception of the mode of that existence. Now, as the body lay before their eyes, a lifelefs mafs, or was deftroyed by fire, corruption, or other material agents, they must necessarily have had recourfe to fome fubftance of a rarer and fubtler texture, which efcaping from this grofs and perifhable part, might carry with it fuch imprefied marks and qualities, as would preferve the flamp of perfonal identity. How metaphyfical foever this procefs of thinking may appear, it must actually have been gone through by the rudeft people, if they thought

at all on the fubject. Further; that form and figure were capable of being imprefied upon matter of much greater tenuity than their own bodies, they muft experimentally have known, from the familiar inftances of *fbadows*, and the *reflexion* of their image from water or mirrors. In these cases they would plainly perceive, that a *fomething*, refembling themselves, might, in fome measure, ftand apart from their bodies.

Thus, I conceive, it almost neceffarily happened, that all nations formed fimilar ideas of the corporeal attributes of those who had paffed through death without total extinction of being. It was no longer gross body in which they were clad:—that, it was manifest, was left behind. But as, in thinking of the dead, it was impossible to abstract from them shape, lineaments, looks, and gestures, these properties were annexed to a thin, airy, or shadowy body, which, while it might be an object of sight, and perhaps to hearing, was none to the touch.

Ter conatus ibi collo dare brachia circum, Ter fruitra comprenfa manus effugit imago, Par levibus ventis, volucrique fimillima fonno. Æn. VI. 700.

Then thrice around his neck his arms he threw, And thrice the flitting fhadow flip'd away, Like winds, or empty dreams that fly the day. DRYDEN.

This uniformity of conception respecting men in another flate of existence being established, it is, I imagine, an eafy ftep to the fuppolition of their fenfible appearance under fuch a form. Reveries and dreams of the fancy in perfons of heated imaginations are fo extremely like realities, that they are readily taken for fuch. A mourning mother, filled with the vivid image of her loft child, might eafily, in the dark and filent hours of night, when just finking into diffurbed flumber, imagine that the beloved form actually flood before her. The long-revered face of an aged parent, might be fancied to clothe itfelf in a visible garb of light, in order to confole, admonifh, or inform the troubled and folitary child. Still more readily, the murderer, appaled by confcious guilt, and in continual dread of an avenger, might body forth the mangled corple of the flain, to upbraid him with terrific looks and gestures for the bloody deed. All this appears to me fo perfectly natural, and fo correspondent to the universal hiftory of the human mind, that I only wonder fo few perfons, among those who are thoroughly perfuaded of the reality of apparitions, can be met with, who pretend themfelves to have been witneffes of them. And furely, the gradual diminution of thefe suppofed events, now amounting in enlighted countries almost to a total ceffation, is a much stronger argument against them, than the most general concurrence in their belief among ignorant and credulous people, can be in their favour.

In the deep windings of the grove, no more The hag obfcene, and grifly phantom dwell; Nor in the fall of mountain fiream, or roar Of winds, is heard the angry fpirit's yell; No wizard mutters the tremendous fpell, Nor finks convultive in prophetic fwoon; Nor bids the noife of drums and trumpets fwell, To eafe of fancied pangs the labouring moon, Or chafe the fhade that blots the blazing orb of noon. MINSTREL:

Of the various fuperflitions which the poet here reprefents as put to flight by Reafon, fome have been nearly as univerfal as the belief of apparitions of the dead; yet it will not, furely, be now afferted of them, that they have "become univerfal by their truth."*

It may be further obferved, that with regard to fuppofed fpectral appearances, the idea of them has, in different countries and ages, received fuch variations, as might be expected from the operation of the fancy modified by variety of circumftances. One remarkable diverfity is, that fimilar things are reprefented as paffing in a vision and in reality; and fometimes it is not eafy to fay which of the two is intended. The famous defcent of Eneas, after all the difcussion of critics, remains liable to a doubt of this kind. It is, however,

* Cicero adduces this very fame argument of the univerfality of belief as an indubitable proof of the veracity of the Delphic Oracle.—DE DIVIN. lib. 1. clearly in a vifion that Eneas is alarmed by the fhade of Hector announcing the irruption of the Greeks into Troy; and that he is admonifhed by the menacing form of his father Anchifes to relinquifh Dide. On the other hand, Dido herfelf, at the dead of night, but not in her fleep, hears voices calling upon her from her hufband's tomb; and the real fhade of Creufa, in a form larger than life, appears to confole Eneas. Ovid, in his beautiful flory of Ceyx and Alcyone, dreffes up a vifionary being in the form of the drowned hufband to acquaint the fleeping wife with his fate. She flarts awake; and, as the poet very naturally defcribes it, looks round for the image fhe had juft feen before her.

_____et primo fi fit circumípicit illic Qui modo vifus erat.

MET. XI. 973.

This circumftance points out the origin of many of thefe delufions of fancy. The mind ftrongly impreffed with an image which has been haunting it during fleep, is fearcely able to difpel the phantom, whill the violent emotion which roufes from fleep, ftill, in the midft of darknefs and folitude, keeps poffeffion of the feelings. The fenfation on waking from a dream of this kind refembles the tingling of a bell after the ftroke, or the flafh in the clofed eye which has been gazing at the fun. The impreffion for a time continues, but with lefs and lefs force in proportion to the diftance from its original fource. It would be eafy to multiply inflances in which the poets, those faithful recorders of popular fuperflitions, have thus wavered between vifion and reality in their representation of the commerce with aerial beings.

Variations in the fuppofed form and manner under which the dead have appeared, and in the purpose of their apparition, will be found in all nations, corresponding to the manners, religious fystem, and natural scenery, of each country. Thus, fome hear the fhriek of ghofts in the howling ftorm, fee them falk gigantic in the grey mift upon the hill, and recognize their voices cheering the hounds through the dark foreft, or over the wild heath. Others behold them clad in complete armour, mingling in the flock of battle, and announcing to the hero his approaching fate. Where the want of funeral rites was confidered as the greatest of evils, the departed spirit was seen naked, shivering, and with piteous looks and accents earneftly requefting the boon of a little earth to cover its bedily remains. Later systems have prefented cherubic forms of embodied light, haggard shades blackened with infernal fire, and difinal fpectres entreating to be relieved from the torments of purgatory ; and I have heard of a crew of English failors, who were confident they faw their Wapping landlord pafs by them on Mount Vesuvius, and march into hell through a fmoking crevice of the mount tain.

I fhall now leave it to yourfelf to determine, whether univerfal truth, or univerfal illufion, is most likely to affume fuch different garbs; and whether it becomes a man of fense and a philosopher, to reverfe the cafe of the appellant from king Philip, and appeal from the world fober and enlightened, to the world ignorant and fanatical ?

(217]

LETTER XXVI.

ON CHEAP PLEASURES.

DEAR SON,

You well know how much in vain philosophers of all ages have endeavoured to detach man from the love of pleafure, and to fix his attention on fome fole and higheft good, which might render all others foreign and fuperfluous. The voice of nature within him has proved too ftrong to be filenced by artificial precepts; and mankind have ever made it a great object of their lives to enjoy as much and as various pleafure as they have been capable of procuring. Taking the word in its large fenfe, and extending the plan of enjoyment far enough, both as to species and duration, I fee no reason to find fault with the purpose ; and I expect no benefit to arife from eftablishing one fyftem of morals for the schools, and another for real life. Supposing, then, the end of obtaining plezfure to be, within certain limits, an allowable one. the means are a fit fubject on which those who

T

are experienced in the world may communicate their obfervations to thofe who have its leffons yet to learn. It is an interefting topic, and its difcuffion is fairly within the compals of human reafon and knowledge.

The advice of contracting our defires, fo much infifted on by all the moral preceptors of antiquity, is a very important one towards the attainment of true felicity. It would, however, be a miltake to suppose that the suppression of defire, in itself, leads to happineis. There can be no enjoyments without defires; for in their gratification, all enjoyment, as well intellectual as fenfual, confifts. Those fects, therefore, which infifted on the entire abolition of defire, as neceffary to happinefs, were influenced by an artificial philofophy, which fet out with milunderstanding man's real nature and deftination. But, on the other hand, unfatisfied defires, or rather, fuch as we have no reafonable profpect of being able to fatisfy, are the fource of the greatest calamities of life. The true art of happinefs, then confifts in proportioning defires to means, or, in other words, in acquiring a relifh for prost rable pleasures.

There is fearcely a flation in life in which fome attention to this point is not neceffary; for defire is as much difpoled to exceed the range of prefent enjoyment in the higheft, as in the loweft. But it is more peculiarly neceffary in those conditions, where an enlarged plan of education, and free in-

tercourfe with the fuperior ranks in fociety, have foftered lively ideas of gratifications which fortune commonly refufes the means of obtaining. What are termed the genteel profeffions are eminently of this kind; and numbers belonging to them pay a fevere tax for the privileges annexed to their fituation, in the perpetual torment of unattainable wifnes.

. The profeffion you have chofen, my Son, in a peculiar manner forbids indulging those defives which are connected with the poffeffion of opulence. To be made happy it is requisite that you should be made *cheaply* fo; and I please myself with thinking that many fources of enjoyment will befully acceffible to you, which will fearcely leave you behind the most fortunate in the power of fecuring genuine pleasures. Taking for granted that you will feek, and will find, the highest of all gratifications in the performance of your professional duty, I shall now fuggest to you fome of those voluntary objects of purfuit, which may most happily employ your leifure.

At the head of all the pleafures which offer themfelves to the man of liberal education, may confidently be placed that derived from *books*. In variety, durability, and facility of attainment, no other can fland in competition with it; and even in intenfity it is inferior to few. Imagine that we had it in our power to call up the flades of the greateft and wifeft men that ever exifted, and

219

T 2

oblige them to converfe with us on the most interefting topics-what an ineftimable privilege should we think it !- how fuperior to all common enjoyments ! But in a well furnished library we, in fact, posses this power. We can question Xenophon and Cæfar on their campaigns, make Demosthenes and Cicero plead before us, join in the audiences of Socrates and Plato, and receive demonstrations from Euclid and Newton. In books we have the choicest thoughts of the ablest men in their best drefs. We can at pleafure exclude dulnefs and impertinence, and open our dcors to wit and good fenfe alone. It is needlefs to repeat the high commendations that have been bestowed on the fludy of letters by perfons, who had free accefs to every other fource of gratification. Infteed of quoting Cicero to you, I shall in plain terms give you the refult of my own experience on this fubject. If domefic enjoyments have contributed in the first degree to the happiness of my life (and I floald be ungrateful not to acknowledge that they have), the pleafures of reading have beyond all queftion held the fecond place. Without books I have never been able to pais a fingle day to my entire fatisfaction : with them, no day has been fo dark as not to have its pleafure. Even pain and fickness have for a time been charmed away by them. By the eafy provision of a book in my pocket, I have frequently worn through long rights and days in the most difagreeable parts of my

profeffion, with all the difference in my feelings between calm content and fretful impatience. Such occurrences have afforded me full proof both of the poffibility of being cheaply plea'ed, and of the confequence it is of to the fum of human felicity, not to neglect minute attentions to make the most of life as it paffes.

, Reading may in every fense be called a cheap amufement. A tafte for books, indeed, may be made expensive enough; but that is a tafte for editions, bindings, paper and type. If you are fatisfied with getting at the fenfe of an author in fome commodious way, a crown at a ftall will fupply your wants as well as a guinea at a fhop. Learn, too, to diftinguish between books to be perused, and books to be poffeffed. Of the former you may find an ample flore in every fubfcription library, the proper use of which to a fcholar is to furnish his mind without loading his fhelves. No apparatus, no appointment of time and place, is neceffary for the enjoyment of reading. From the midft of buftle and bufinefs you may, in an inftant, by the magic of a book, plunge into fcenes of remote ages and countries, and difengage yourfelf from prefent care and fatigue. " Sweet pliability of man's spirit (cries Sterne, on relating an occurrence of this kind in his Sentimental Journey), that can at once furrender itfelf to illusions, which cheat expectation and forrow of their wearymoments!"

T 3

The next of the procurable pleafures that I shall point out to you is that of conversation. This is a pleafure of higher zeft than that of reading ; fince in converfing we not only receive the fentiments of others, but impart our own; and from this reciprocation a fpirit and interest arife which books cannot give in an equal degree. Fitnefs for converfation must depend upon the store of ideas laid up in the mind, and the faculty of communicating them. Thefe, in a great degree, are the refults of education and the habit of fociety, and to a certain point they are favoured by fuperiority of condition. But this is only to a certain point ; for when you arrive at that clafs in which fenfuality, indolence, and diffipation, are fostered by excess of opulence, you lose more by diminished energy of mind, than you gain by fuperibr refinement of manner and elegance of expreffion. And, indeed, there are numbers of the higher ranks among us, whole convertation has not even the latter qualities to recommend it, but to poverty of fentiment adds the utmost coarfenels of language and behaviour. There is a radical meannefs in debauchery, which even in the most elevated conditions of all, communicates the taint of vulgarity. To hear the high-bred party loudly contending in the praifes of their dogs and horfes, and difcuffing gambling queftions, intermixed with groffer topics, you could not poffibly difcover by the flyle and matter, whether you were liftening . to the mafters above, or the grooms below. It is

by no means unfrequent to find the *beft company*, the *worft converfution*. Should your character and fituation for ever exclude you from fuch focieties you need not repine at your lofs. It will be amply compenfated by the opportunities you are likely to enjoy of free intercourfe with the most cultivated and rational of both fexes, among whom decency of manners and variety of knowledge will always be valued, though very moderately decorated with the advantages of fortune.

I would not, however, inculcate too fastidious a tafte with respect to the subject and style of conversation, provided it posses the effentials of found fense and ufeful knowledge. Among those who have enjoyed little of the benefit of education, you will often find perfons of natural fagacity and a turn for remark, who are capable of affording both entertainment and inftruction. Who would not wish to have been acquainted with Franklin when a journeyman printer, even though he had never rifen to be one of the most diftinguished characters of the age? Information, indeed, may be procured from almost any man in affairs belonging to his particular way of life; and when we fall into company from which little is to be expected with regard to general topics, it is best to give the conversation a turn towards the technical matters with which they may be acquainted, whence fome profit may be made out of the most unpromifing materials. Man, too, in every condition, is a

fubject well worthy of examination; and the fpeculatift may derive much entertainment from obferving the manners and fentiments of all the various claffes of mankind in their feveral occupations and amufements.

Another fource of cheap pleafure is the fudy of nature. So many advantages with refpect to health, tranquility of mind, ufeful knowledge and inexhauftible amufement, are united in this fludy, that I should not fail most warmly to recommend it to your notice, had you not already acquired a decided tafte for its pursuits. Here, again, I canfpeak from my own experience; for the fludy of English botany caused feveral fummers to glide away with me in more pure and active delight than almost any other fingle object ever afforded me. It rendered every ride and walk interefting, and converted the plodding rounds of bufinefs into excursions of pleasure. From the impression of thefe feelings, I have ever regarded as perfectly fuperfluous the pains taken by fome of the friends of natural history, to fnew its utility in reference to the common purpoles of life. Many of their obfervations, indeed, are true, and may ferve to gain patrons for the fludy among those who meafure every thing by the ftandard of economical value : but is it not enough to open a fource of copious and cheap amufement, which tends to harmonize the mind, and elevate it to worthy conceptions of nature and its author ? If I offer a man happinels at an eafy

tate, unalloyed by any debafing mixture, can I confer on him a greater bleffing ? Nothing is morefavourable to enjoyment than the combination of bodily exertion and ardour of mind. This, the refearches of natural hiftory afford in great perfection : and fuch is the immenfe variety of its objects, that the labours of the longeft life cannot exhauft them.

The fludy of nature is in itfelf a cheap fludy; yet it may be purfued in a very expensive manner, by all the apparatus of cabients, purchased collections, prints and drawings. But if you will content yourfelf with the great book of nature and a few of its ableft expositors, together with the riches your own industry may accumulate, you will find enough of it within your compais to answer all reasonable purpoles of instruction and amufement. We are both acquainted with an excellent naturalift,* who, by a proper application of the time and money he has been able to fpare out of a common writing fchool, has made himfelf the poffeffor of more curious and . accurate knowledge than falls to the lot of many owners of the most costly treasures. The recollection of his modeft merit and fcientific content will ever, I am fure, endear to you thefe fertile ftores of cheap delight.

A tafte for the fublime and beautiful of nature, as exhibited in her larger works, and refulting from the varied combinations of her external forms, is alfo productive of many exquisite pleafures, which few

* Mr. Wigg of Yarmouth.

perfons are at all times precluded from enjoying. To feel thefe in a supreme degree, a mind enriched by literature and expanded by fancy and reflection is neceffary ; and, in particular, a high relish for poetry is almost an effential accompaniment. Much pains do not feem requifite in cultivating this fpecies of enjoyment, for it obtrudesitfelf unfought upon every elegant mind, and the danger is, left the defire fhould too foon exhaust its objects. More uneafy longings after what lay beyond my reach, have preyed upon my imagination on reading defcriptions of the ftriking fcenes of nature vifited by travellers, than on reflecting on all the other advantages which fortune and leifure have to beftow. Yet, certainly, I would not wifh to have been less fenfible than I am to this fource of pleafurable emotions. They may be rendered more diffinct and varied, by calling in a tafte for what is properly termed the piclurefque, or a reference of the natural fcene to its imitations and improvements by the pencil. But this I conceive to be almost necessarily connected with practical skill in the art of painting ; and unlefs it were made fubfervient to the purpofes of this art, I should apprehend that more might be lost by opening an inlet to fastidious nicety, than would be gained by viewing things with a more learned eye.

This remark would naturally lead me to confider the pleafures to be derived from the practice of ornamental arts, and from the contemplation of their productions in others. But though I am fully fenfible of the pleafing addition thefe make to the general flock of human enjoyment, yet with refpect to most individuals, they fcarcely come within the catalogue of cheap pleafures. A tafte for them must be formed early in life, must be cultivated with much affiduity, and at confiderable expence both of time and money. They are not of all times and places, but require apparatus and opportunity. They are with difficulty kept within bounds, and are continually difpofed to defert the eafy and fimple, in purfuit of what is more complex and elaborate. A tafte for mufic appears to me, as far as I can judge from obfervation alone, to be eminently of this kind. Where it is marked out by nature, as in fome cafes it manifeftly is, and can be cultivated early and advantageoufly, it is capable, I doubt not, of affording the most exquisite delights ; but then it will probably take place of all other ornamental acquirements. And though fuch a facrifice may be worth making under the circumftances defcribed, yet to make it with a view of creating a tafte for any purfuit merely amufive, is, I think, to estimate falfely the value of things. If, however, experience fhews that mufical pleafures may be enjoyed in moderation, and fo as to make an agreeable variety, without occupying the place of any thing preferable, my objections are at an end. The fame may be faid of drawing, and various other taftes and acquifitions, concerning which, accident and inclination, if regulated by prudence, may be fuffered to determine the choice.

I have now, I think, pointed out to you fources which will fopply fufficient *materials* of eafily procurable pleafure, if you bring to them what is abfolutely effential to the fuccefs of any external means of happinefs—a mind in harmony with itfelf. This, nothing but confcious worth and virtue can beftow. This, " tibi ipfe parabis."

Farewel!

(229)

LETTER XXVII.

ON ATTACHMENT TO COUNTRY.

YOU, I doubt not, have experienced as well as myfelf, that one of the earliest passions which difclofes itfelf in a courfe of liberal education, is Patriotifm. In the moral fystem of the Greeks and Romans, love to country flood fo high in the class of duties, that he who reads their writers, and is imprefied with admiration of their illustrious characters, cannot fail of regarding it as one of the qualities which most ennobles a man. I well recollect the period, when ftories of Curtin and Decii, and the lofty fentences of orators and poets, inculcating the most devoted attachment to country, kindled a flame of enthufiaftic rapture in my breaft; and I verily believe there was nothing in which I could not have imitated the great exemplars of this virtue. Every thing in a youth which carries him out of felf, and difpofes him to make facrifices to principle, deferves encouragement; but when a duty becomes a passion, it is ever ready to pafs its bounds, and encroach upon fome other duty equally facred. In my own cafe, I confefs that I was disposed to go all the lengths of a true Roman; and that the glory and intereft of my country became in my eyes paramount to all confiderations of general justice and benevolence. I adopted in its full meaning the term natural enemies, and in confequence (as thefe fentiments were imbibed during the courfe of a widely-extended war in which we were engaged) heartily hated a great portion of mankind. I am at prefent flocked at the extremes to which I was carried by this fpirit, which certainly was not derived from parental inftruction and example. But it will ferve to illustrate the power of early imprefiions; and also to prove, that the imagination being fo much more concerned than the reafon in forming those impressions, it is of the highest importance in education that proper objects fhould be put in its way. The influence of thefe affociations continued with me after better principles ought to have taken its place ; and national prejudices of every fort had a long reign over my mind.

Circumftances have probably operated in a different manner upon your feelings; but where a point of great coafequence to the formation of character is concerned, it is not right to truft to their cafual operation. Let us examine, then, if we cannot diffeover fome determinate principles to regulate our attachment to country. There are two ways in which this affection may eacert an in-

ATTACHMENT TO COUNTRY. 231

fluence over us ;-as it fways our opinions, and as it directs our conduct.

The opinions of men are perpetually at the mercy of their pathons. Efteem and contempt. run parallel with love and hatred ; and it is as hard to find merit in a foe, as to difcover defects in a friend, or, still more, in ourfelves. But opinions thus biaffed are in reality prejudices, and he whofe purpose is the pursuit of truth, cannot too foon get rid of them. In the comparative estimate commonly made of our own and other countries, the groffeft of partialities prevail, which, though they may occasionally prove useful to the community, yet are always degrading to the individual. Lord Chefterfield, in a paper in the World, on the use of prejudices, introduces an honest cobler who, among other fimilar opinions, entertains a full perfusion that one Englishman can beat three Frenchmen; and his Lordship asks, if it would be right to attempt convincing him that this is an er-I shall not meddle with this roncous notion. queftion ; I shall only fay, that I do not with you to be the cobler. I know, however, feveral perfons, much above his condition, nay even men of learning and talents, who effimate in nearly the fame ratio our fuperiority over other nations, in fcience, literature, and every other valuable endowment. It is common to fay, I am proud of being an Englishman. This is an accurate expreffion, for the emotion of pride has a great concern

U 2

in thefe fentiments. In valuing our country, we fet a value upon ourfelves; and flight grounds ferve us for afferting a pre-eminence in which we perfonally partake. But for that very reafon, we ought to fuspect the validity of our conclusions, efpecially when we fee the universal propenfity to thefe local preferences, which cannot all be wellfounded. Ordinary writers cannot compose a history of the town or county in which they were born, or the fchool where they learned their grammar, without many ridiculous attempts to give them extraordinary confequence. Having been confiderably conversant with topographical publications, I have had an opportunity of obferving the workings of this little fpirit in all its modes; and nothing has contributed more to make me folicitous in detecting my own prejudices, and labouring for their removal.

If, then, after a fober and accurate enquiry, you fhould find reafon to conclude that your country does not fo much excel all others in learning, iaduftry, and liberality as you were inclined to fuppofe, let no prepoficifion in its favour becaufe it is yours, prevent you from admitting the fact with all its confequences. Rather try to fearch out the caufes which may have impeded our progrefs, or even occafioned a retrograde motion; —and doubt not that you will thereby render yourfelf a better friend to your country, as well as a wifer man, than if you were to perfevere in fupporting a flattering delution.

Let me, however, warn you (and myfelf at the fame time) that there is an opposite fource of error. Circumstances may put us in a temporary ill humour with our country; and as the quarrels of kindred are the most inveterate, we may indulge too bitter a refentment on the occasion. In this ftate of mind, we shall be apt to depreciate her advantages, and think worfe of her in every respect than she deferves. In the comparison with other countries, we shall look at her defects alone, and give her rivals credit for more excellence than they really poffefs. This is not only a very unpleafant difposition to ourfelves and others, but leads to error as certainly as the opposite temper. Of one thing, too, we may be well affured-that a country in which our language, habits, and modes of living and thinking have been formed, is better qualified to make us happy, than another which may be intrinfically preferable; and therefore the opinions that we have imbibed in its favour are not, with respect to ourfelves, errors. If the Greenlander's chief delights are feal fifthing and eating whale's fat, he does right in refufing to exchange his icy region for a climate more bleffed with folar influence.

If we now proceed to confider the conduct that a reafonable attachment to country fhould preferibe, I quefion not but we fhall perfectly agree in the moral principles by which it is to be regulated. It cannot be doubted, that by the diffribu-

U 3

tion which Providence has made of mankind into feparate communities, connected in a peculiar manner by ties of mutual advantage, a correfpondent limitation of the focial duties in their general courfe was intended. Our powers of action being confined, the fphere in which they operate muft alfo have its boundaries. Country is the wideft extent to which moft men can diffufe the influence of their conduct. We are therefore bound firft and preferably to promote the welfare of our country, becaufe we *can* promote it to more effect than that of any other. But this, I think, is not the only fource of our obligations to patriotifm.

The debt of gratitude which we have incurred to our country has been very differently effimated by different moralists; nor, perhaps, is it eafy to lay down any universal rule for calculating it. That we have breathed her common air, and been received upon her bofom, feems no great matter for obligation-it is rather a debt owing to the Author of Nature, than to her. The nurture and education we have had, are, in most cafes, the gift of our parents, who have perhaps employed their utmost exertions to procure them for us. We have been protected by the public force ; but of this force we ourfelves, either by our perfons or contributions, have formed a part; and if we have only been fecured in the enjoyment of fuch advantages as the labour of our head or hands might

reafonably entitle us to, we may fairly be reckoned to have balanced accounts with our country. Thofe, indeed, who poffers advantages much beyond the common fhare, for which they contribute nothing adequate in return, and which are held merely through favour of their country's inflitutions, feem to owe it peculiar fervice and attachment. They are penfioners of the ftate, and are in honour bound to exert themselves in a particular manner for its benefit. The foil which feeds them, as it nourifhes the untoiling race of vegetables, may claim their arms at all times for its defence. But it feems enough that one who has done as much for fociety, as fociety for him, fhould comply with those conditions, which the laws under which he continues to live, impofe upon him.

Thefe views of the fubject are, I think, juft, if country be regarded in the abftract, as a kind of geographical idea perfonified; or if a community be confidered as an affemblage of men, totally unconnected in every other refpect, than the purpofe for the fake of which they have formed their union. But is it not in fact fomething more? Does not country comprehend all thofe individuals to whom we lie under every obligation that one human being can incur to another? Cicero fays, finely and juftly, "Omnes omnium caritates patria una complexa eft." I may owe nothing to England, but I owe every thing to Englifhmen. When I reflect, that there fearcely exifts on earth an object of my affection and gratitude which this ifland does not contain, and that all their particular interefts are involved in its general intereft, can I doubt that here the active duties of my life are centered, and that I ought to wish for, and by all juftifiable means to promote, the happiness of those who inhabit this spot of the globe? Thus, the patriotifm that I loft by placing it on too extensive but unfound a foundation, I recover again by narrowing and ftrengthening its bafis. It re-appears, indeed, in a form fomewhat different. It no longer makes me folicitous for laurels and trophies to decorate the Genius of Britain ; for well I know how dearly they are paid for out of the comforts of individuals. Still lefs does it prompt me to with fuccefs to its unjust projects; for I would not defire that my beft friend fhould thrive by fuch means. But it makes me ardently defirous of my country's improvement in knowledge, virtue, freedom, and the arts of peace; for every advance in these respects must be of real benefit, not only to a large number of my fellowcreatures, but to that portion of them which includes all whom I love.

If you feel inclined to propole the queftion, What, upon this fyftem, would become of your patriotifm fhould the majority of your friends be compelled to migrate into another land ?—I will anticipate it by freely confeffing, that the fentiment would follow them.—" Ubi cor, ibi patria." But fuch an event is inconceivable, unlefs fuch principles and practices fhould come to prevail here, as would juftify not only

indifference, but averfion, to a felf-degraded country. I think I could, without murmuring, or a wifh to defert my native foil, fubmit to the neceffary diffreffes brought on by a decline of its profperity, though originally occafioned by its own fault, provided it were attended with juft fentiments, and melioration of character. But if it fhould grow more unprincipled as more diffreffed, and take refuge from the evils of political diffention in voluntary blindnefs and flavery, I fhould think every bond cancelled which attached individuals to fuch a community.

But I will not conclude with fo inaufpicious a fuppolition. I rather hope that we shall be permitted to love and efteem our country, as much from reason, as we have done from habit and prejudice. Such, I am fure, must be the wish of every good heart.

Adieu!

(238)

LETTER XXVIII

ON INDEPENDENCE.

DEAR SON,

ONE of the principal purpofes I had in view when I pointed out to you the fources of cheap pleafure, was to lay a foundation for your *independence* in life. This invaluable poffession, which fo many avow to be the great object of their lives, yet which fo few attain, is well worthy of being made the topic of a feparate letter.

Let us first confider how far the idea of independence can be reafonably carried. It was, you know, the boast of ancient philosophy, that by following its precepts, men might attain a felicity over which nothing external had power; and in the high-flown language of Stoicism, the truly wife man was represented as equally sufficient for his own happines with the Gods themfelves. If this affertion, when accurately examined, had lefs of *impiety* than at first fight appears (fince it was founded rather on the imagined elevation of the human mind to an unattainable degree of perfection, than on a debasement of the divine mind), it was, however, chargeable with originating in falfe conceptions by the nature and condition of man. In fact, we are incapable, by our utmost efforts, of raifing ourfelves above the influence of contingencies, and the most effential comforts of our existence will ever be greatly dependent on things without ourfelves. After all the deductions that the moral fatirist could make from our defires on account of their vanity, he could not deny, that the "found mind in a found body" was a fit object of petition, fince we could not fecure it for ourfelves. It is further certain, that the focial and domestic pleasures, those purest and most fatisfactory of all delights, next to that of confcious virtue, are all at the mercy of the perfons with whom we live. With how fmall a fhare of bodily comforts life might fubfift, and ftill be worth poffeffing, we have not been in the way of trying; but certainly we are not prepared to refign with indifference those we enjoy; and yet their continuance does not abfolutely depend upon our own efforts. No man, therefore, ftrictly fpeaking, is independent. The author of our being has connected us by mutual wants to each other ; and has given no one the power of faying, I will be happy in fpite of my fellow creatures. Experience, however, fhews, that fome men are in a high degree independent compared to others; and from a fuperio, rity in this respect arife fome of the noblest prerogatives of the human character.

That man may be faid to enjoy independence relatively to other men, who wants nothing which they can withhold. If either his utility to them is fuch as to command all the return from them that he wifhes, or if what they have to beftow is a thing on which he fets no value, he is in every ufeful fense independent on them. And if this be his fituation with refpect to the world in general, he is fo far independent on the world. Now, an independence of this kind has ineftimable advantages. It makes a man walk through life erect and fearlefs, beftows on him all due liberty of fpeaking and acting, levels before him all the artificial diftinctions which keep one human being at a diftance from another, and by procuring him his own refpect, goes a great way in acquiring for him that of others, or enables him to difpenfe with it. He who is independent cannot be greater. He looks down on the most prosperous of those, who in the purfuit of wealth and honour enflave themfelves to the will of another, and feels an internal dignity to which they can never arrive. In order to induce him to act in any particular manner, his reafon must be convinced, or his good will conciliated; whereas the bare command of a fuperior is to them a fufficient motive. The imperious necessities which conftrain them on every fide, have no force upon him. When Whifton, in the honeft frank-

nefs of his heart, reproached Sir Richard Steele with giving a vote in parliament contrary to his declared opinion, "Mr. Whifton (faid Sir Richard), you can walk on foot, but I cannot." This was a fair confession of inferiority; and after it. if Steele riding in his chariot could for an inftant fancy himfelf greater than Whilton on foot, he deferved to forfeit all title to a place among the liberal and enlightened fpirits of his time. Whitton, doubtlefs, knew how to estimate him. " Poor man ! (would he probably fay, on feeing him drive by) how low have your wants reduced you !"* Horace has atoned for all his adulation by the independent fpirit which continually breaks forth in his works, and which led him, in one of his epiftles to Mæcenas, very plainly to hint that he was ready to refign all he had conferred upon him, rather than give up his free-agency.

Hac ego fi compellar imagine, cunda religno.

But I need not longer dwell upon the value of

* Whifton was probably in another fenfe the most independent of the two. The poet Linieres, fays Menage, being reproached with always walking on foot, replied extempore in the following epigram.

Je vois d'illustres cavaliers Avec laquais, caroffe & pages; Mais ils doivent leurs equipages, Et je ne dois pas mes fouliers.

X

independence; let us proceed to enquire how it is to be obtained.

In the first place, it certainly is not the necessary refult of a man's absolute fituation in life. Raife his rank and fortune as high as you pleafe, if his ambition, avarice, or love of pleafure, rife beyond them, he becomes as dependent as the wretch who receives his daily bread at the will of a mafter. Nay, fo much does the habit of looking for remote and elaborate fources of enjoyment gain upon the difposition, and furpafs all common means of gratification, that the higheft ranks have in almost all countries been diftinguished by their fuperior fervility. In the molt brilliant periods of the French monarchy, there was not a perfon of quality whole whole existence did not depend upon the nod of the court ; and though almost uncontroled lords of wide domains abounding with delights, a cold look at the levee freze every fpring of pleafure in their fouls. That a man was nothing in France but for the king, and by the king, (pour le roi, et par le roi) came to be the received maxim; and no methods were thought too mean for the haughtieft of mortals to employ, in order to preferve their intereft at court. Very vain, therefore, it is to propole independence as the prize of a life fpent in the fuceefsfel practice of "flooping to rife." The object is loft in the purfuit, for its true feat is in the mind.

To be content with a little, and to fecure that little by the exertions of ufeful indufry, is the

only certain method of becoming independent. Both thefe points must concur; for neither can the wants of life, however few, be fupplied by ourfelves without industry; nor can this quality alone procure content. The Indian fakeer who fits all day with his arms indiffolubly knit, to receive the food that devotees put into his mouth, is no more independent than the buffling miler of Horace, who runs to the Indies through fear of the demon of poverty. Thofe, however, who have made the cultivation of their minds the great ol ject of life, have chiefly purfued the plan of contracting their defires, and forcing nature to be fatisfied with as few things as poffible; for confidering all the time as loft which was fpent upon providing for bodily wants, they began with bringing thefe into the fmallest compass in their power. This was the difcipline of the most celebrated among the ancient philofophers, of which your reading will fuggeft to you many remarkable examples. Some were, no doubt, actuated by vanity in this matter, and made an oftentatious difplay of their fuperiority to common wants and defires ; yet it cannot be denied, that the higheft characters of antiquity, men who not only harangued in the fchools, but acted upon the great theatre of the world, were much indebted to habits of abstinence and frugality for their greatuefs. Many of the most illustrious Greeks, and all the Romans of the first ages, were rendered fuperior to the allurements of profperity and the threats

of adverfity, by the poffeffion of an independence of mind founded on the abftemious virtues.

The afcetics of the Christian church have perhaps carried this plan farther than any of the heathen philosophers; and though the general principle of these mortifications has been abject iuperstition, yet they have enabled fome of the more active among the monaftic orders to overcome difficulties in the way of their religious zeal, which the most ardent courage, not inured to fuch difcipline, must have funk under. Individuals in thefe focieties, confiding in their ability of fuftaining all the hardships that men any where fuftain, and of fubfifting upon as little as they any where fubfift upon, have penetrated in their miffions into regions inacceffible to other natives of a civilized country, and have flruck even favages with admiration of their patience and temperance. Even in the midft of power and fplendour, fome of them, like Ximenes, have practifed the auftere regimen of the cloifter; and thence have been capable of defying every thing that a change of fortune could inflict upon them. How many at this day are probably receiving the benefit of habits of enjoying life upon a little ! It is uppleafant to reflect, that a ciafs of men who have been able to free themfelves to fuch a degree from fubjection to corporeal demands, fhould yet fubmit without refiftance to the most imperious despotifm exercised over their minds.

But it would be abfurd to propofe to one who is defined to live in cultivated fociety, and to form a part of it, an independence founded on renunciation of the common comforts and pleafures of life. Had you strength of mind to attain to this, I certainly should not with it for you, unless it were neceffary to enable you to accomplish fome point of high utility to mankind-which, in your cafe, is a very improbable fuppolition. But what I do wish, is, that you may as much as possible become the mafter of your own happinefs-that you may ever value that true dignity of character which confifts in the free affertion of principle, beyond all the petty objects of gratification to which it is fo commonly facrificed-and that you be content with fuch a fhare of the goods of fortune, as your industry and usefulness may fairly purchase. I do not defire for you that proud independence of fpirit which is disposed to reject as an infult the kind offices of honourable friendship. You will, I truft, possels qualifications which may entitle you to thefe, without incurring a debt of gratitude beyond the power of equally honourable fervices to repay. And it has ever been my fentiment, that one who is ready to confer benefits on his inferiors in condition, needs not, may has no right, to fcruple accepting them from his fuperiors. Every generous mind feels that no pleasure equals that of conferring favours on the deferving : this pleafure, therefore, as it is cagerly coveted, fhould

LETTER XXVIII.

be cheerfully imparted. With refpect to your profeffional labours, there is little doubt that they will be worthy of their reward. Whatever additional advantages your fituation may afford you, it will, I hope, be in your power to compensate for them by additional exertions to beftow pleafure and profit on those with whom you are connected. Many animating examples will prefent themfelves to you, of perfons in your flation, beloved, refpected, and ferved, who have yet never in their lives derogated from a manly independence of character. But all these have been perfons of moderate defires, as well as of active industry. And from every thing I have feen of the world, I am convinced, that more is to be done towards obtaining happiness in general, and its precious ingredient, freedom of action, in particular, by contracting the bounds of our wifhes, than by the utmost extension of our powers in filling a plan of unlimited enjoyment. This, I believe, is not 'fashionable doctrine ; but it is that which the experience of my own heart fuggefts. It would too, I am fure, have been fupported by the fuffrage of your grandfather,* whole memory I know you fo juffly revere. Though by no means what is called a high-fpirited man, he preferved during life an honourable independence, by the fimple method of making nothing effential to his happinels which did not come within the reach of

* The late Rev. Dr. Alkin, of Warrington,

his ufeful and low-priced fervices. I wifh you better health, ftronger fpirits, and perhaps more encouragement from the world, than he had; more knowledge, fuperior talents, higher worth, and a more truly philofophic temper, I need not wifh you, though paternal affection is little inclined to be a niggard in its wifnes.

Adieu!

(248)

LETTER XXIX.

ON THE CHOICE OF A WIFE.

DEAR SON,

HERE is no species of advice which feems to come with more peculiar propriety from parents to children, than that which refpects the marriage Itate: for it is a matter in which the first must have acquired fome experience, and the laft cannot. At the fame time, it is found to be that in which advice produces the least effect. For this, various caufes may be affigned; of which, no doubt, the principal is, that paffion commonly takes this affair under its management, and excludes reafon from her fhare of the deliberation. I am inclined to think, however, that the neglect with which admonitions on this head are treated, is not unfrequently owing to the manner in which they are given, which is often too general, too formal, and with too little accommodation to the feelings of young perfons. If, in defcanting a little upon this fubject, I can avoid these errors, I flatter myfelf you are capable of beftowing fome unforced attention to what an affectionate defire of promoting your happinels, in fo effortial a point, may prompt.

The difference of opinion between fons and fathers in the matrimonial choice may be flated it. a fingle polition—that the former have in their minds the firft month of marriage, the latter, the whole of its duration. Perhaps you will, and with juftice, deny that this is the difference between us two, and will affert that you, as well as I, in thinking of this connexion, reflect on its lafting confequences. So much the better ! We are then agreed as to the mode in which it is to be confidered, and I have the advantage of you only in experience and more extensive observation.

I need fay little as to the fhare that perfonal charms ought to have in fixing a choice of this kind. While I readily admit, that it is defirable, that the object on which the eyes are most frequently to dwell for a whole life, fhould be an agreeable one; you will probably as freely acknowledge, than more than this is of too fanciful and fugitive a nature to come into the computation of permanent enjoyment. Perhaps in this matter I might look more narrowly for you, than you would for yourfelf, and require a fuitablenefs of years and vigour of conflictution, which might continue this advantage to a period that you do not yet contemplate. But dropping this part of the fubject, let us proceed to confider the two main points on which the happinefs to be expected from a female affociate in life must depend—her qualificutions as a *companion*, and as a *helper*.

Were you engaged to make a voyage round the world on the condition of fharing a cabin with an unknown meffmate, how folicitous would you be to difcover his character and difpolition before you fet fail! If, on enquiry, he fhould prove to be a perfon of good fenfe and cultivated manners, and especially of a temper inclined to please and be pleafed, how fortunate would you think vourfelf ! But if in addition to this, his taftes, fludies, and opiuions, should be found conformable to yours, your fatisfaction would be complete. You could not doubt that the circumstance which brought you together, would lay the foundation of an intimate and delightful friendship. On the other hand, if he were reprefented, by those who thoroughly knew him, as weak, ignorant, obilinate and quarreliome, of manners and dispositions totally oppofite to your own, you would probably rather give up your project, than fubmit to live fo many menths confined with fuch an affociate.

Apply this comparison to the domefile companion of the voyage of life—the intimate of all houre—the partaker of all fortunes—the fharer in pain and pleafure—the mother and influcturess of your offspring. Are you not fluck with a fense of the infinite confequence it must be of to you, what are the qualities of the heart and understanding of one who flands in this relation ; and of the comparative infignificance of external charms and ornamental accomplithments? But as it is fcarcely probable that all you would with in these particulars can be obtained, it is of importance to afcertain which qualities are the most effential, that you may make the best compromife in your power. Now, taftes, manners, and opinions, being things not original, but acquired, cannot be of fo much confequence as the fundamental properties of good fenfe and good temper. Poffeffed of thefe, a wife who loves her hufband, will fashion herself in the others according to what fhe perceives to be his inclination; and if, after all, a confiderable diverfity remain between them in fuch points, this is not incompatible with domeffic comfort. But fenfe and temper can never be difpenfed with in the companion for life: they form the bafis on which the whole edifice of happinels is to be railed. As both are abfolutely ellential, it is needlefs to enquire which is fo in the higheft degree. Fortunately, they are oftener met with together than feparate; for the just and reafonable effimation of things which true good fenfe inspires, almost necessarily produces that equanimity and moderation of fpirit in which goodtemper properly confifts. There is, indeed, a kind of thoughtlefs good nature which is not unfrequently coupled with weakness of understanding :

but having no power of felf-direction, its operations are capricious, and no reliance can be placed on it in promoting folid felicity. When, however, this eafy humour appears with the attractions of youth and beauty, there is fome danger left even men of fense should overlook the defects of a shallow capacity, especially if they have entertained the too common notion, that women are no better than play-things, defigned rather for the amufement of their lords and mafters, than for the more ferious purposes of life. But no man ever married a fool without feverely repenting it; for though the pretty trifler may have ferved well enough for the hour of dalliance and gaiety, yet when folly affumes the reins of domeftic, and especially of parental, control, she will give a perpetual heart-ache to a confiderate partner.

On the other hand, there are to be met with inflances of confiderable powers of the underflanding, combined with waywardnefs of temper, fufficient to deflroy all the comfort of life. Malignity is fometimes joined with wit, haughtinefs and caprice with talents, fournefs and fufpicion with fagacity, and cold referve with judgment. But all thefe being in themfelves unaniable qualities, it is lefs neceffary to guard againft the poffeffors of them. They generally render even beauty unattractive; and no charm but that of fortune is able to overcome the repugnance they excite. How much more fatal than even folly they are to all

domestic felicity, you have probably already feen enough of the matrimonial flate to judge.

Many of the qualities which fit a woman for a companion, alfo adapt her for the office of a helper ; but many additional ones are requisite. The origiand purpole for which this fex was created, is faid, you know, to have been, providing man with a help-mate; yet it is, perhaps, that notion of a wife which least occupies the imagination in the feason of courtship. Be affured, however, that as an office for life, its importance ftands extremely high to one whofe fituation does not place him above the want of fuch aid; and fitnefs for it fhould make a leading confideration in his choice. Romantic ideas of domestic felicity will infallibly in time give way to that true flate of things, which will shew that a large part of it must arife from wellordered affairs, and an accumulation of petty comforts and conveniences. A clean and quiet firefide, regular and agreeable meals, decent apparel, a houfe managed with order and economy, ready for the reception of a friend or the accommodation of a stranger, a skilful as well as affectionate nurse in time of ficknefs-all thefe things compole a very confiderable part of what the nuptual flate was intended to afford us; and without them, no charms of perfon or understanding will long continue to beftow delight. The arts of houfewifery fhould be regarded as professional to the woman who intends to become a wife ; and to felect one for

that flation who is defitute of them, or difinclined to exercife them, however otherwife accomplifhed, is as abfurd, as it would be to choofe for your lawyer or phyfician, a man who excelled in every thing rather than in law or phyfic.

Let me remark, too, that knowledge and goodwill are not the only requifites for the office of a helper. It demands a certain energy both of body and mind which is lefs frequently met with among the females of the prefent age than might be wifued. How much foever infirm and delicate health may interest the feelings, it is certainly an undefirable attendant on a connexion for life. Nothing can be more contrary to the qualification of a help-mate, than a condition which conftantly requires that affiftance which it never can impart. It is, I am fure, the farthest thing from my intention to harden your heart against impressions of pity, or flacken those fervices of affectionate kindnefs by which you may foften the calamitous lot of the most amiable and deferving of the species. But a matrimonial choice is a choice for your own benefit, by which you are to obtain additional fources of happinefs; and it would be mere folly in their flead voluntarily to take upon you new incumbrances and diffreffes. Akin to an unnerved frame of body, is that fhrinking timidity of mind, and exceffive nicety of feeling, which is too much encouraged under the notion of female delicacy,

That this is carried beyond all reafonable bounds in modern education, can fearcely be doubted by one who confiders what exertions of fortitude and felf-command are continually required in the courfe of female duty. One who views fociety closely, in its interior as well as its exterior, will know that occasions of alarm, fuffering and difguft come much more frequently in the way of women than of mon. To them belong all offices 'about the weak, the fick, and the dying. When the houfe becomes a fcene of wretchednefs from any caufe, the man often runs abroad, the woman must flay at home and face the worft. All this takes place in cultivated fociety, and in classes of life raifed above the common level. In a favage flate, and in the lower conditions, women are compelled to undergo even the most laborious, as well as the most difagreeable tasks. If nature, then, has made them fo weak in temper and conflicution as many fappole, the has not fuited means to ends with the forefight we generally difcover in her plans.

I confeis myfelf decidedly of the opinion of those who would rather form the two fexes to a refemblance of character, than contrast them. Virtue, wifdom, prefence of mind, patience, vigour, capacity, application, are not *femual* qualities; they belong to mankind—to all who have duties to perform and evils to endure. It is furchy a most degrading idea of the female fex, that they must owe their influence to trick and finesse,

Y 2

to counterfeit or real weaknefs. They are too ' effential to our happiness to need such arts; too much of the pleafure and of the bufinefs of the world depends upon them, to give reafon for apprehenfion that we shall ceafe to join partnership with them. Let them aim at excelling in the qualities peculiarly adapted to the parts they have to act, and they may be excufed from affected languor and coquetry. We fhall not think them lefs amiable for being our beft helpers.

Having thus endeavoured to give you just ideas of the principal requifites in a wife, especially in a wife for one in your condition, I have done all that lies within the compass of an adviser. From the influence of pafion I cannot guard you : I can only deprecate its power. It may be more to the purpose to diffuade you from hasty engagements, because in making them, a perfon of any refolu-, tion is not to be regarded as merely paffive. Though the head has loft its rule over the heart, it may retain its command of the hand. And furely if we are to paule before any action, it fhould be before one on which " all the colour of remaining life" depends. Your reafon must be convinced, that to form a folid judgment of fo many qualities as are requifite in the conjugal union, is no affair of days and weeks, of cafual vifits or public exhibitions. Study your object at home-fee her tried in her proper department. Let the progrefs be, liking, approving, loving, and laftly, declaring; and may you, after the experience of as many years as I have had, be as happily convinced, that a choice fo formed is not likely to deceive !

You may think it ftrange, that I have not touched upon a confideration which generally takes the lead in parental estimates of matrimonial viewsthat of fortune. But I have been treating on the evoman only, not on any thing extraneous to her. Fortune acquired with a wife, is the fame thing as fortune got any other way. It has its value, and certainly no fmall one, in procuring the defirable comforts of life; and to rush into a state in which wants will be greatly increafed, without a reafonable profpect of being able to fupply those wants, is an act, not merely of carelefineis, but of downright folly. But with refpect to the fources whence their fupply is to be fought, that is a particular enquiry to each individual; and I do not think fo ill of your prudence as to apprehend that you will not give it all the attention its importance demands. Another confideration, that of the family connexions formed by marriage, is of a fimilar kind. Its great importance cannot be doubted; but it is an affair to be determined on by the dictates of common prudence, just as in forming those connexions after any other mode; though, indeed, in no other can they be formed equally ftrong. One who is mafter of his deliberations,

may be trufied to decide thefe points, as well as any others that occur in the practice of life. That your decifions may always flew you to be poffeffed of a due power of felf-direction, is the earneft with of

Your truly affectionate, &c.

(259)

LETTER XXX.

VALEDICTORY.

AND now, my dear Son, I feel it time to clofe this feries of letters; not that fubjects are exhausted, but that other things demand my attention. You will perceive that their topics, fo far as they relate to morals and the conduct of life, have been of a kind, supplementary to those instructions which you have received in a fystematic way from books and lectures. Of fuch inftructions it was the chief purpose to establish principles-a point of most effential confequence, which I hope and believe has been fufficiently fecured in your education. My view in writing was rather to place in a ftrong and familiar light fome fubordinate truths belonging to the experimental practice of life, which, though not of the fundamental importance of the former, yet are of no fmall weight in promoting a man's happiness and utility. With refpect to the letters relative to points of talle and literature, it has been their chief aim to obviate prejudices, and to give that turn to your thoughts

which might enable you to judge and to enjoy for yourfelf, without first appealing to the decision of a dictator. For freedom of thinking is the fame thing in matters of greater and of smaller moment; and though I hold it of little confequence how a perfon is pleafed, provided he be innocently fo, yet I would not wish him, even in his pleafures, implicitly to follow the decrees of custom and authority, left it should induce a habit of the fame paffive compliance in affairs of capital importance. But I need fay no more concerning the drift of letters which, I should hope, fufficiently explain themfelves, and do not ill correspond to my favourite motto, of "free fentiments in simple language."

It has happened, that the termination of this epiftolary commerce, is alfo the period of your finally quitting the paternal roof, and launching out into profeffional life. What an intereffing period to us both! How extensive a field of action now opens to your view! What duties to be performed—what leffons to be learned—what new connexions to be formed, and new fcenes to be engaged in ! How much attention will be requifite in order to avoid being in fome measure bewildered in the variety of objects that will prefent themfelves to you; and how much will it behove you to fix your eyes fledfafily on the two cardinal points of *duty* and *improvement* ! You will meet with (doubt it not!) firens of various kinds to tempt you out of your courfe. Be on your guard against them all, and principally against the "improba firen *defidia*"—for that is the charmer whose voice has ever proved most enfnaring to those of your profession. Many and many admonitions and counfels should I add, were my pen to utter all my heart conceives on this eccasion—but to prepare you for it is not, I trutk, a business now to do. To yourfelf I commit you, with "Providence your guide." My dear Arthur, a long farewel!

Your most affectionate

friend and father,

J. A.

London, Nov. 8, 1793.

THE END









